STATE OF CALIFORNIA — DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE

### **ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT**

(REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (REV. 12/2008)

#### See SAM Section 6601 - 6616 for Instructions and Code Citations

DEPARTMENT NAME	CONTACT PERSON	TELEPHONE NUMBER		
Education	Heather Carlson	916-323-2591		
DESCRIPTIVE TITLE FROM NOTICE REGISTER OR FO Open Enrollment-Emergency (version		NOTICE FILE NUMBER Z		
Spirit Emiliani Lanergencj (1968)				
	ECONOMIC IMPACT S	TATEMENT		
A. ESTIMATED PRIVATE SECTOR COST	IMPACTS (Include calculations and assumption	ons in the rulemaking record.)		
Check the appropriate box(es) below to i	ndicate whether this regulation:			
a. Impacts businesses and/or	employees	e. Imposes reporting requirements		
b. Impacts small businesses		f. Imposes prescriptive instead of performance		
c. Impacts jobs or occupations		g. Impacts individuals		
d. Impacts California competiti	veness	h. None of the above (Explain below. Complete the Fiscal Impact Statement as appropriate.)		
h. (cont.) Statute appears	to be a reimbursable mandate and the imp	elementine regulations have costs to LEAs.		
	is checked, complete this Economic Impact St			
2. Enter the total number of businesses im	pacted: Describe the types	of businesses (Include nonprofits.):		
Enter the number or percentage of total	businesses impacted that are small businesse	s:		
Enter the number of businesses that will	be created:	minated:		
Explain:				
explain.				
4. Indicate the geographic extent of impacts	s: Statewide Local or regiona	(List areas.):		
Enter the number of jobs created:	or eliminated; Describe the type:	s of jobs or occupations impacted:		
C William I P	er a v			
Will the regulation affect the ability of Ca	alifornia businesses to compete with other state	s by making it more costly to produce goods or services here?		
Yes No I	f yes, explain briefly:			
D FOTHER DOOTS //				
B. ESTIMATED COSTS (Include calculation	ns and assumptions in the rulemaking record.)			
1. What are the total statewide dollar costs	that businesses and individuals may incur to c	omply with this regulation over its lifetime? \$		
a. Initial costs for a small business: \$	Annual ongoing co	osts: \$Years:		
b. Initial costs for a typical business: \$_	Annual ongoing co	osts: \$Years:		
c. Initial costs for an individual: \$				
	Annual ongoing co	osts: \$Years:		

# ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT cont. (STD. 399, Rev. 12/2008)

2. If multiple industries are impacted, en	ter the share of total costs for e	ach industry:
		osts a typical business may incur to comply with these requirements. (Include the dollar work, whether or not the paperwork must be submitted.): \$
4. Will this regulation directly impact ho number of units:	using costs? Yes	No If yes, enter the annual dollar cost per housing unit: and t
5. Are there comparable Federal regular regulations:	tions? Yes No	Explain the need for State regulation given the existence or absence of Federal
Enter any additional costs to busines	ses and/or individuals that may	be due to State - Federal differences: \$
C. ESTIMATED BENEFITS (Estimation	of the dollar value of benefits is	s not specifically required by rulemaking law, but encouraged.)
Briefly summarize the benefits that m	ay result from this regulation an	nd who will benefit:
2. Are the benefits the result of :  Explain:  3. What are the total statewide benefits	specific statutory requirement	
D. ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULAT specifically required by rulemaking law,		assumptions in the rulemaking record. Estimation of the dollar value of benefits is not
	ribe them below. If no alternativ	ves were considered, explain why not:
2. Summarize the total statewide costs	and benefits from this regulation	n and each alternative considered:
Regulation:	Benefit: \$	Cost: \$
Alternative 1:	Benefit: \$	Cost: \$
Alternative 2:	Benefit: \$	Cost: \$
Briefly discuss any quantification issu	es that are relevant to a compa	rison of estimated costs and benefits for this regulation or alternatives:
	o consider performance standa	rds as an alternative, if a regulation mandates the use of specific technologies or
4. Rulemaking law requires agencies t		
1. The state of th		ormance standards considered to lower compliance costs?  Yes  No
equipment, or prescribes specific act		

## ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT cont. (STD. 399, Rev. 12/2008)

1. Will the estimated costs of this regulation to California business enterprises exceed \$10 million? Yes No (If No, skip the rest of this section.)					
2. Briefly descri	be each equally as an effective alternate	live, or combination of alterna	itives, for which a cost-effectiveness	analysis was performed:	
Alternative 1:					
Alternative 2:					
3. For the regula	ition, and each alternative just describe	d, enter the estimated total c	ost and overall cost-effectiveness rai	lio:	
Regulation:	\$		Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$	tiveness ratio: \$	
Alternative 1:					
Alternative 2: \$					
		FISCAL IMPACT	STATEMENT		
	ECT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENT (Indicated by the control of the control o	cate appropriate boxes1 throu	ugh 6 and attach calculations and as	sumptions of fiscal impact for the current	
1. Additiona	expenditures of approximately \$	in the curre	ent State Fiscal Year which are reim	bursable by the State pursuant to	
Section 6	of Article XIII B of the California Const	itution and Sections 17500 et	seq. of the Government Code. Fund	ting for this reimbursement:	
a.	is provided in	, Budget Act of	or Chapter	, Statutes of	
П ь.	will be requested in the	Governo	r's Budget for appropriation in Budge	et Act of	
	(FISC	AL YEAR)	The state of the s		
2. Additiona	I expenditures of approximately \$	in the curre	ent State Fiscal Year which are not re	eimbursable by the State pursuant to	
Section 6	of Article XIII B of the California Const	tution and Sections 17500 et	seq. of the Government Code beca	use this regulation:	
a.	implements the Federal mandate conta	ained in			
b. i	implements the court mandate set forth	by the			
	court in the case of		vs.		
П с.	implements a mandate of the people of	f this State evergesed in their	approval of Proposition No.	at the	
	election;	i tilis Otate expressed in tileli	approvar or Proposition No.	(DATE)	
П.,		to the term of the			
d. i	is issued only in response to a specific	request from the			
			, which is/ar	e the only local entity(s) affected;	
e.	will be fully financed from the			authorized by Section	
		(FE	ES, REVENUE, ETC.		
-		of the		Code;	
f.	provides for savings to each affected u	nit of local government which	will, at a minimum, offset any additi	onal costs to each such unit;	
g.	creates, eliminates, or changes the per	nalty for a new crime or infrac	tion contained in		
3. Savings	of approximately \$	annually.			
_					
14 No addit	ional costs or savings because this reg	ulation makes only technical	non-cubetantivo or clarifying change	on the manufacture of the control of the con-	

## ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT cont. (STD. 399, Rev. 12/2008)

5. No fiscal impact exists because this regulation does not affect any local entity or program.	
6. Other. Unknown cost	
B. FISCAL EFFECT ON STATE GOVERNMENT (Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 and attack year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.)	ch calculations and assumptions of fiscal impact for the current
1 . Additional expenditures of approximately \$ in the current State Fiscal	Year. It is anticipated that State agencies will:
a. be able to absorb these additional costs within their existing budgets and resources	
b. request an increase in the currently authorized budget level for the	fiscal year.
2. Savings of approximately \$ in the current State Fiscal Year.	
3. No fiscal impact exists because this regulation does not affect any State agency or program	
4. Other.	
C. FISCAL EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDING OF STATE PROGRAMS (Indicate appropriate boxes impact for the current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.)  1. Additional expenditures of approximately \$	
2. Savings of of approximately \$ in the current State Fiscal Year.	
3. No fiscal impact exists because this regulation does not affect any federally funded State ag	pency or program.
4. Other.	
FISCAL OFFICER SIGNATURE	DATE
& Flather Carlow	July 6, 2010
AGENCY SECRETARY 1 APPROVAL/CONCURRENCE	7/8/2010
DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE APPROVAL/CONCURRENCE	DATE

The signature attests that the agency has completed the STD.399 according to the instructions in SAM sections 6601-6616, and understands the
impacts of the proposed rulemaking. State boards, offices, or department not under an Agency Secretary must have the form signed by the highest
ranking official in the organization.

<sup>2.</sup> Finance approval and signature is required when SAM sections 6601-6616 require completion of Fiscal Impact Statement in the STD.399.