STATE OF CALIFORNIA

## **ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT**

(REGULATIONS AND ORDERS)

STD 399 (Rev. 2-98)	See SAM Sections 6600 - 6680 for I	nstructions and Code Citations
DEPARTMENT NAME	CONTACT PERSON	TELEPHONE NUMBER
Education	Linda M Lewis	319-0658
DESCRIPTIVE TITLE FROM NOTICE REGISTEROR FORM 400 Charter Renewal		NOTICE FILE NUMBER
E	CONOMIC IMPACT STAT	EMENT
A. ESTIMATED PRIVATE SECTOR COST	IMPACTS (Include calculations and as	ssumptions in the rulemaking record.)
Check the appropriate box(es) below to indica	te whether this regulation:	
a. Impacts businesses and/or em	S ACT TO SOUTH OF STATE OF STA	nposes reporting requirements
b. Impacts small businesses		nposes prescriptive instead of performance standards
		npacts individuals
☐ d. Impacts California competitiveness ☐ h. None of the above (Exp		None of the above (Explain below. Complete the pact Statement as appropriate.)
h. (cont.) The proposed regulatio class-size reduction funding and would no	ns specify the procedures required for	or charter renewal and the continued eligibility for
(If any box in Items 1 a through g is checked,	complete this Economic Impact Stat	ement.)
		bes of businesses (Include nonprofits):
2. Enter the total number of such losses imp	dottod.	es si sasinososo (morado nonpremo).
Explain:	created: eliminated	d:
5. Enter the number of jobs created:	_ or eliminated:Describe	e the types of jobs or occupations impacted:
		er states by making it more costly to produce goods
B. ESTIMATED COSTS (Include calculation	s and assumptions in the rulemaking	g record.)
1. What are the total statewide dollar costs that but	sinesses and individuals may incur to cor	nply with this regulation over its lifetime? \$
a. Initial costs for a small business: \$	Annual ongoing costs: \$	Years:
b. Initial costs for a typical business: \$		23.44-Work District Control of Co
c. Initial costs for an individual: \$		
d. Describe other economic costs that may occur:		

2. If multiple indus		OMIC AND FISCAL IN			). 399, Rev. 2-98)	
dollar costs to d	, , ,				comply with these requirements are work must be submitted.):	
	ion directly impact t	nousing costs? Yes	□ No I	f yes, enter the annu	al dollar cost per housing uni	t: \$
	-	lations? Yes		17	ulation given the existence or	r absence of
1000 Acres		esses and/or individuals that			es: \$	
C. ESTIMATED	BENEFITS (Estir	nation of the dollar value of b	enefits is not speci	fically required by rul	emaking law, but encourage	d.)
Briefly summari	ize the benefits that	may result from this regulati	on and who will ber	efit:		
Explain:		specific statutory requireme ts from this regulation over it			ency based on broad statuto	ry authority?
	VES TO THE RE ecifically required by	GULATION (Include cald rulemaking law, but encoura		nptions in the rulema	king record. Estimation of th	e dollar value of
List alternatives	s considered and de	scribe them below. If no alte	rnatives were consi	dered, explain why r	ot:	
2. Summarize the	total statewide cos	s and benefits from this regu	ulation and each alte	ernative considered:		ř
F	Regulation:	Benefit: \$		Cost S		
	Alternative 1:	Benefit: \$		Cost S		
	Alternative 2:	Benefit: \$		Cost \$		
5. Briefly discuss i	any quantification is	sues that are relevant to a co	omparison or estima	ated costs and benef	its for this regulation or altern	natives:
		to consider performance sta			mandates the use of specific	technologies or
		ons of procedures, were per		o considered to lowe	Compliance costs:	103 🗀 110
- aprium.						

## ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT cont.(STD. 399, Rev. 2-98)

E. MAJOR REGULATION CAI/EPA boards, office		assumptions in the rulemaking record.) o the following additional requirements per Health	and Safety Code section 57005.
Will the estimated costs	of this regulation to California bus	siness enterprises exceed \$10 million ?	s No (If No, skip the rest of this section)
2. Briefly describe each ed	qually as effective alternative, or co	ombination of alternatives, for which a cost-effective	veness analysis was performed:
Alternative 1:			
Alternative 2:			
3. For the regulation, and	each alternative just described, en	ter the estimated total cost and overall cost-effect	iveness ratio:
Regulation:	\$		
Alternative 1:	\$		
Alternative 2:	\$		
	FISC	AL IMPACT STATEMENT	
A. FISCAL EFFECT C		Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 6 and atta impact for the current year and two subsequent Fi	
b. will be req  2. Additional expending pursuant to Section	uested in the(Fiscal Year). tures of approximately \$ n 6 of Article XIII B of the California	Budget Act of or (Chapter  Governor's Budget for appropriation in Chapter	dget Act of  h are not reimbursable by the State Government Code because this regulation:
b. implement	s the court mandate set forth by the	he	
court in th	e case of	vs	or white
c. implemen election;	ts a mandate of the people of this	State expressed in their approval of Proposition N	No at the
d. is issued o	only in response to a specific reque	est from the	
-		which is/are the only local entity(s) a	affected;
e. will be fully	y financed from the	(FEES, REVENUE, ETC.)	authorized by
process of the latest of the l			
	r savings to each affected unit of le	ocal government which will, at a minimum, offset	any additional costs to each such unit.
3. Savings of approxi	mately \$	annually.	
4. No additional costs regulations.	or savings because this regulation	on makes only technical, non-substantive or clarify	ing changes to current law and

## ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT cont.(STD. 399, Rev. 2-98)

<ul> <li>5. No fiscal impact exists because this regulation does not affect any local entity or program.</li> <li>6. Other.</li> </ul>					
B. FISCAL EFFECT ON STA	ATE GOVERNMENT (Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 arimpact for the current year and two subsequ	nd attach calculations and assumptions of fiscal ent Fiscal Years.)			
	approximately \$ in the current State Fiscal Year It is anti	icipated that State agencies will:			
b. request an incre	ease in the currently authorized budget level for the fisca	I year.			
2 Savings of approximately	\$ in the current State Fiscal Year				
3. No fiscal impact exists bed	cause this regulation does not affect any State agency or program.				
4. Other. The requirement to continue eligibility for CSR funding in the proposed regulations would create unknown and potentially significant state costs, the extent of which would be dependent on the number of charter school renewals by a different authorizer and charter school participation in the CSR program.					
C. FISCAL EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDING OF STATE PROGRAMS (Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 and attach calculations and assumptions of fiscal impact for the current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.)					
1. Additional expenditures of	approximately \$ in the current State Fisc	cal Year.			
2. Savings of approximately \$	2. Savings of approximately \$ in the current State Fiscal Year				
3. No fiscal impact exists bed	cause this regulation does not affect any federally funded State agenc	by or program.			
4. Other.					
SIGNATURE		TITLE			
& Junda M	n. Deuro	Ed Fiscal Services Consultant			
AGENCY SECRETARY 1	1.11	DATE			
APPROVAL/CONCURRENCE	& Sust Cat alcala	11/5/2010			
DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE 2	PROGRAM BUDGET MANAGER	DATE			
APPROVAL/CONCURRENCE	Ø.				

- The signature attests that the agency has completed the STD. 399 according to the instructions in SAM sections 6600-6680, and understands
  the impacts of the proposed rulemaking. State boards, offices, or departments not under an Agency Secretary must have the form signed by the
  highest ranking official in the organization.
- 2. Finance approval and signature is required when SAM sections 6600-6670 require completion of the Fiscal Impact Statement in the STD. 399.