## STATE OF CALIFORNIA ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS)

| DEPARTMENT NAME   | CONTACT PERSON   | TELEPHONE NUMBER<br>319-0658   |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| Education   |  |  |  |
| DESCRIPTIVE TITLE FROM NOTICE REGISTEROR FORM 400<br>Charter Renewal (version 1-24-11)  |  | NOTICE FILE NUMBER   |  |
| EC  | CONOMIC IMPACT STATEMEN  | T  |  |
| A. ESTIMATED PRIVATE SECTOR COST IN   | IPACTS (Include calculations and assumption  | s in the rulemaking record.)   |  |
| 1 Check the appropriate box(es) below to indicate   | whether this regulation  |  |  |
| a. Impacts businesses and/or empl   | oyees . Imposes r  | porting requirements   |  |
| b. Impacts small businesses   | 🔲 f. Imposes p   | rescriptive instead of performance standard  |  |
| c. Impacts jobs or occupations  | g. Impacts in  | dividuals  |  |
| d. Impacts California competitivene   |  | h. None of the above (Explain below. Complete the<br>cal Impact Statement as appropriate.) |  |
| h. (cont.) The proposed regulations<br>class-size reduction funding and would not in  | specify the procedures required for charte mpose any additional costs to the private set   |  |  |
| (If any box in Items 1 a through g is checked, co   | omplete this Economic Impact Statement.)   |  |  |
| 2 Enter the total number of businesses impact   | cted: Describe the types of bu   | sinesses (Include nonprofits)  |  |
|   |  |  |  |
| Enter the number or percentage of total busines   | sses impacted that are small businesses  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Enter the number of businesses that will be c</li> </ol>   |  |  |  |
| Explain:  |  |  |  |
| 4. Indicate the geographic extent of impacts:   |  | as):   |  |
| 4. moleate me geographic extern or impacts.   |  |  |  |
| 5. Enter the number of jobs created:  | or eliminated: Describe the type   | es of jobs or occupations impacted:  |  |
|   | a. anning an <u> </u>  |  |  |
|   |  |  |  |
|   |  |  |  |
| 6. Will the regulation affect the ability of Californ   | <ul> <li>Pare 1 - A Strategy of the contrast of the count</li> </ul>   |  |  |
|   | aia businesses to compete with other states<br>s, explain briefly  |  |  |
| or services here? Yes No If ye  | s, explain briefly   | *  |  |
| or services here? Yes No If ye  | s, explain briefly<br>and assumptions in the rulemaking record.  |  |  |
|   | s, explain briefly<br>and assumptions in the rulemaking record.  |  |  |
| or services here? Yes No If ye  | s, explain briefly<br>and assumptions in the rulemaking record.<br>resses and individuals may incur to comply with t<br>Annual ongoing costs: \$                             | his regulation over its lifetime? \$<br>Years  |  |
| or services here? Yes No If ye<br>B. ESTIMATED COSTS (Include calculations<br>1 What are the total statewide dollar costs that busin  | s, explain briefly<br>and assumptions in the rulemaking record.<br>resses and individuals may incur to comply with t<br>Annual ongoing costs: \$                             | his regulation over its lifetime? \$<br>Years  |  |
| or services here? Yes No If ye<br>B. ESTIMATED COSTS (Include calculations<br>1 What are the total statewide dollar costs that busin<br>a. Initial costs for a small business: \$ | s, explain briefly<br>and assumptions in the rulemaking record.<br>lesses and individuals may incur to comply with t<br>Annual ongoing costs: \$<br>Annual ongoing costs: \$ | his regulation over its lifetime? \$<br>Years<br>Years                                     |  |

gacdb-csd-may11item03 Attachment 4 Page 2 of 4

| 2 If multiple industries are impacted, ent                                  | er the share of total costs for                 | each industry              |  |
|---|---|----------------------------|--|
| 2. If the regulation imposes reputing rea                                   |   |                            |  |
|   |   |                            | a may incur to comply with these requirements. (Include the<br>or not the paperwork must be submitted.): |
| 4. Will this regulation directly impact hou                                 | sing costs? Yes                                 | No If yes, e               | nter the annual dollar cost per housing unit: \$   |
| and the number of units:  |   |                            |  |
| 5 Are there comparable Federal regulati                                     | ons? 🗌 Yes 🗌 No                                 | Explain the need           | for State regulation given the existence or absence of   |
| Federal regulations:  |   |                            |  |
| Enter any additional costs to business                                      | es and/or individuals that may                  | y be due to State - Feo    | eral differences: \$   |
| C. ESTIMATED BENEFITS (Estimati   | on of the dollar value of bene                  | fits is not specifically r | equired by rulemaking law, but encouraged.)  |
| <ol> <li>Briefly summarize the benefits that ma</li> </ol>                  | y result from this regulation a                 | nd who will benefit:       |  |
| Explain3. What are the total statewide benefits fi                          |   |                            |  |
| D. ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGU<br>benefits is not specifically required by rul |   | ions and assumptions       | in the rulemaking record Estimation of the dollar value of   |
| 1 List alternatives considered and descri                                   | be them below. If no alternati                  | ves were considered,       | explain why not:   |
| 2 Summarize the total statewide costs a                                     | nd benefits from this regulatio                 | n and each alternative     | considered.  |
| Regulation  | Benefit. \$                                     | Cost                       | s  |
| Alternative 1   | Benefit: \$                                     | Cost                       | S  |
| Alternative 2   | Benefit. \$                                     | Cost                       | s  |
| <ol> <li>Briefly discuss any quantification issue</li> </ol>                | s that are relevant to a compa                  | arison of estimated cos    | sts and benefits for this regulation or alternatives.  |
| 4 Rulemaking law requires agencies to c                                     | onsider performance standar                     | ds as an alternative, if   | a regulation mandates the use of specific technologies or  |
| equipment or prescribes specific actions                                    |   |                            |  |
| Explain   | A MATURA AN AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND | and the second second      |  |

gacdb-csd-may11item03 Attachment 4 Page 3 of 4

|   | ECONOMIC AND F  | SISCAL IMPACT S                                  | TATEMENT cont.(STD  | . 399, Rev. 2-98)                                |   |
|---|---|--|---|--|---|
|   | ATIONS (Include calculation<br>offices and departments are su   |  |   | aith and Safety Code sec                         | stion 57005.                            |
| 1. Will the estimated co                | osts of this regulation to Californ   | nia business enterprises                         | exceed \$10 million ?   | Yes 🔲 No (If No, ski                             | p the rest of this section)             |
| 2 Briefly describe each                 | n equally as effective alternative  | e or combination of alter                        | matives, for which a cost-effe                                | ectiveness analysis was                          | performed                               |
| Alternative 1                           |   |  |   |  |   |
| Alternative 2                           |   |  |   |  |   |
| 3. For the regulation, a                | nd each alternative just describ  | ed, enter the estimated                          | total cost and overall cost-ef                                | fectiveness ratio                                |   |
| Regulation                              | \$  |  | Cost-effectiveness ratio.                                     |  |   |
| Alternative 1                           | \$  |  | Cost-effectiveness ratio:                                     |  |   |
| Alternative 2                           | \$  |  | Cost-effectiveness ratio.                                     |  |   |
|   | /F  | ISCAL IMPACT                                     | STATEMENT   |  |   |
| A. FISCAL EFFECT                        | T ON LOCAL GOVERNME   |  | te boxes 1 through 6 and rent year and two subsequer          |  | assumptions of fiscal                   |
|   | enditures of approximately \$   |  |   |  |   |
|   | ided in (Item   |  |   |  |   |
| b, will be                              | requested in the(Fiscal Yo  | Governor's                                       | s Budget for appropriation in                                 | Budget Act of                                    |   |
| 2 Additional experience pursuant to Sec | enditures of approximately \$<br>ction 6 of Article XIII B of the Ca<br>nents the Federal mandate cont<br>nents the court mandate set for | in the<br>ilifornia Constitution and<br>ained in | e current State Fiscal Year w<br>Sections 17500 et seq. of th | hich are not reimbursabl<br>e Government Code be | e by the State<br>cause this regulation |
| court in                                | n the case of   |  | vs  |  |   |
| -                                       | nents a mandate of the people   |  |   |  |   |
| d is issue                              | ed only in response to a specific   | c request from the                               |   |  |   |
| -                                       |   | whic   | n is/are the only local entity(                               | s) affected,                                     |   |
| e will be                               | fully financed from the   | HESH   | I VENUE, ETC 1  | au   | thorized by                             |
| Section                                 | nof1  |  |   | Code   |   |
|   | s for savings to each affected u  |  |   |  | o each such unit                        |
| 3. Savings of appr                      | roximately \$   | annually   |   |  |   |
| 4. No additional corregulations         | osts or savings because this re   | gulation makes only tech                         | nnical, non-substantive or cla                                | rifying changes to currer                        | nt law and                              |

gacdb-csd-may11item03 Attachment 4 Page 4 of 4

## ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT cont. (STD. 399, Rev. 2-98)

5 No fiscal impact exists because this regulation does not affect any local entity or program

5 Other

B. FISCAL EFFECT ON STATE GOVERNMENT (Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 and attach calculations and assumptions of fiscal impact for the current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.)

|   | 1 Additional expenditures of approximately \$ | in the current State Fiscal Year | It is anticipated that State agencies will: |
|---|---|----------------------------------|---|
| _ | i ridditional experiatenes of approximately o | in the concine orare hacai reat  | the annopated that drate agencies with      |

a be able to absorb these additional costs within their existing budgets and resources.

b. request an increase in the currently authorized budget level for the \_\_\_\_\_\_ fiscal year

2. Savings of approximately \$ \_\_\_\_\_\_ in the current State Fiscal Year

3. No fiscal impact exists because this regulation does not affect any State agency or program

Other The requirement to continue eligibility for CSR funding in the proposed regulations would create unknown and potentially significant state costs, the extent of which would be dependent on the number of charter school renewals by a different authorizer and charter school participation in the CSR program

C. FISCAL EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDING OF STATE PROGRAMS (Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 and attach calculations and assumptions of fiscal impact for the current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.)

1 Additional expenditures of approximately \$ \_\_\_\_\_\_ in the current State Fiscal Year.

2 Savings of approximately \$ \_\_\_\_\_ in the current State Fiscal Year

3 No fiscal impact exists because this regulation does not affect any federally funded State agency or program

4 Other

| SIGNATURE  | m Lewo                 | TITLE<br>Ed Fiscal Services Consultant |
|--|------------------------|--|
| AGENCY SECRETARY <sup>1</sup><br>APPROVAL/CONCURRENCE      | & Sunta Cost alealo    | DATE 2/11/2011                         |
| DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE <sup>2</sup><br>APPROVAL/CONCURRENCE | PROGRAM BUDGET MANAGER | DATE                                   |

1. The signature attests that the agency has completed the STD. 399 according to the instructions in SAM sections 6600-6680, and understands the impacts of the proposed rulemaking. State boards, offices, or departments not under an Agency Secretary must have the form signed by the highest ranking official in the organization.

Finance approval and signature is required when SAM sections 6600-6670 require completion of the Fiscal Impact Statement in the STD, 399.