

Local Educational Agencies and their Respective Schools Recommended for Funding Using School Improvement Grant Funds D. Disqualification Summary – Local Educational Agencies that Did Not Meet RFA Requirements		
Local Educational Agency/School	YR 1 Request	Details
Reef-Sunset Unified Avenal Elementary	\$ 2,038,666.00	Narrative has limited information on the process to assess schools, including specific instruments used and multiple sources cited. The implementation charts contain limited detail and do not demonstrate that the LEA has or will meet all required components of the model in the required timeline.
Compton Unified Centennial High Davis Middle Dominguez High Martin Luther King Elementary Vanguard Learning Center Walton Middle Whaley Middle Willowbrook Middle	\$ 19,644,469.00	The LEA budget forms were completed incorrectly. Multiple positions in the LEA budget are replicated in the school budget with different costs. The LEA has not sufficiently described the process of revision of LEA practices and policies. The LEA has not provided a complete plan for the use of resources other than SIG funds to sustain selected models. The outcome and content of stakeholder meetings was not included.
Los Angeles Unified Woodcrest Elementary	\$ 1,944,795.00	The narrative and implementation charts do not demonstrate that the LEA has or will fully meet all required components of the selected intervention model for this school.
Alta Vista Elementary Alta Vista Elementary	\$ 811,711.00	Significant links to needs analysis are omitted and not discussed. No evidence of a connection between assessment results current practice and staff effectiveness. Narrative does not adequately describe the process used to review and reflect prospective providers. There is not a complete plan for use of SIG funds or resources to sustain intervention model beyond SIG years. Stakeholder input has been omitted or not incorporated. LEA did not include a rationale for rejected suggestions. Meetings were not sufficiently described.
Klamath-Trinity Joint Unified Hoopa Valley Elementary	\$ 2,314,184.00	Narrative does not sufficiently describe a process for analyzing assessment findings. The narrative, implementation chart, and budget do not demonstrate that the LEA has or will fully meet all required components of model. The other resources identified minimally align with the needs analysis and lack specificity and coherence. The plan does not sufficiently describe the process for revision and description of the intended revision and expected outcome. The LEA has not provided a complete plan for use of resources other than SIG. The LEA's description does not adequately demonstrate consultation and meetings with stakeholders.

Local Educational Agencies and their Respective Schools Recommended for Funding Using School Improvement Grant Funds D. Disqualification Summary – Local Educational Agencies that Did Not Meet RFA Requirements		
Local Educational Agency/School	YR 1 Request	Details
Palo Verde Unified Palo Verde High	\$ 2,537,660.09	<p>The LEA narrative and implementation charts do not demonstrate that the LEA has or will fully meet all required components of the model.</p> <p>The LEA does not clearly identify its process or describe meetings for consulting with relevant stakeholders regarding the LEAs SIG application.</p>
Antelope Valley Union High Antelope Valley High	\$ 1,997,279.00	<p>The narrative and implementation chart do not fully demonstrate that the LEA will fully meet all required components of the model.</p>
San Diego Unified San Diego Business San Diego MVP Arts	\$ 2,910,408.00	<p>Limited information on the process including specific instruments used; does not include all stakeholders in analyzing the data. Also does not sufficiently describe the process for analyzing assessments.</p> <p>The rationale omits significant links to the needs analysis. There is little to no correlation with needs analysis of selected interventions; rationale for not selecting other models is not provided/weak.</p> <p>Implementation chart and budget forms are incomplete, not able to link to narrative. The LEA provides a very limited description of how it will use SIG funding to implement the intervention model selected.</p> <p>The LEA has identified few, if any, resources planned for use in implementing selected models. The other resources minimally align with the needs analysis and lack specificity with implementation plan.</p> <p>The LEA has not sufficiently developed or described a plan to modify current practices or policies to implement the intervention model; no process for revision is provided.</p> <p>The LEA does not provide a complete plan for use of resources other than SIG funds to sustain selected intervention model.</p> <p>The annual goals for student achievement were not provided.</p> <p>The LEA does not describe services and activities for the Tier III school that is closing in June 2012.</p> <p>The LEA does not clearly identify its process for consulting with relevant stakeholders regarding the LEAs application. LEA's description does not adequately demonstrate consultation with relevant stakeholders regarding the LEAs application. The LEA has not sufficiently described meetings with relevant stakeholders regarding the application.</p>
Alisal Union Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr., Elementary	\$ 791,068.00	<p>The narrative, implementation chart, and budget do not demonstrate that the LEA has or will fully meet the required components.</p> <p>The narrative does not adequately describe the process and qualification criteria to select external providers.</p> <p>The plan for monitoring the identified goals and implementation procedures is not provided.</p>

Local Educational Agencies and their Respective Schools Recommended for Funding Using School Improvement Grant Funds D. Disqualification Summary – Local Educational Agencies that Did Not Meet RFA Requirements		
Local Educational Agency/School	YR 1 Request	Details
Del Norte County Office of Education Castle Rock	\$ 960,816.00	The narrative and implementation charts do not demonstrate that the LEA will fully meet all required components of the selected model. The LEA has not provided a complete plan for use of resources other than SIG funds to sustain model and activities following end of SIG period.
Lindsay Unified Lindsay Senior High	\$ 1,978,988.00	Implementation chart does not demonstrate capacity to cover three years of the grant and conflicts with a three year budget. LEA did not respond to Element IV by stating "This section is not applicable". However, LEA provides evidence throughout application that it is or will be contracting with outside consultants. LEA did not sufficiently develop or describe a plan to modify current practices or policies.
South Monterey County Joint Union High Greenfield High	\$ 4,293,823.00	The narrative does not identify community stakeholders and does not describe a process for analyzing assessment findings. The narrative, implementation chart, and budget do not demonstrate that the LEA has or will fully meet the required components. The narrative does not adequately provide a record of effectiveness and process/criteria for reviewing providers. The other resources identified minimally align with the LEAs needs analysis. The LEA has not sufficiently developed or described a plan to modify current practices or policies to fully implement the selected intervention model. The LEA has not provided the complete plan for use of resources other than SIG funds. The annual goals for student achievement are not sufficiently identified for each school and goals appear limited. The LEA does not clearly identify its process for consulting with relevant stakeholders.