

FINAL MINUTES  
State Board of Education  
June 26-27 2002

Thursday, June 27, 2002

California Department of Education  
721 Capitol Mall, Room 166  
Sacramento, California

**Members Present**

Reed Hastings, President  
Joe Nuñez, Vice President  
Robert J. Abernethy  
Erika Goncalves  
Marion Joseph  
Suzanne Tacheny

**Members Absent**

Donald Fisher  
Carlton J. Jenkins  
Susan Hammer  
Nancy Ichinaga  
Vicki Reynolds

**Closed Session**

The Board met in Closed Session from 8:08 a.m. to 9:10 a.m. (See Closed Session Report below.)

**Call to Order: Public Session**

President Hastings called the meeting to order at 9:10 a.m.

**Salute to the Flag**

Superintendent Eastin led the Board, staff, and audience in the Pledge of Allegiance.

**Closed Session Report**

Ms. Belisle reported that the State Board considered three cases: *Williams, et al., v. State of California et al.*; *Chapman, et al., v. California Department of Education, et al.*; and *Ocean View School District, et al., v. State Board of Education, et al.* No actions were taken.

**Announcements/Communications**

President Hastings informed the audience that the Board would be working through lunch.

President Hastings presented Ms. Goncalves with a plaque honoring her year of service as the Student Member of the State Board. Ms. Goncalves addressed the Board and the audience stating that during this year she had learned a lot, enjoyed her experience, and appreciated the

FINAL MINUTES  
State Board of Education  
June 26-27 2002

support and friendship of everyone.

President Hastings announced that the Board would revisit Item 18 before taking up the other items on the agenda and that Item 25 had been withdrawn from the agenda.

ITEM 18	Approve selection of a statewide list of Supplemental Education Service Providers pursuant to Section 1116(e) of No Child Left Behind Act (NCLB).	INFORMATION ACTION
---------	---	--------------------

(Continued from previous day. See minutes for Wednesday, June 26, 2002.)

Ms. Walker advised that the providers had not been informed of any provisional approval on the documents they received. Ms. Belisle asked what would be the difficulty with providers receiving provisional approval if an evaluation is to be performed on the providers after each year anyway and they were advised of the requirement of a good evaluation to stay on the list. Ms. Walker explained that the Department is developing an evaluation process, which is due by September, and the providers are aware they will be assessed. Mrs. Joseph asked that the Board be given the opportunity to review the evaluation criteria.

- ACTION: Mr. Nuñez moved that the State Board amend the action of the preceding day – by which the State Board approved the list of Supplemental Education Service Providers pursuant to Section 1116(e) of the No Child Left Behind Act (NCLB) in accordance with the recommendation of CDE staff – to clarify the approval status of the providers: the approval of these providers is provisional for one year at which time an evaluation shall be made of each provider’s success in improving the academic achievement of the students. Ms. Tacheny seconded the motion. The motion was approved by unanimous vote of the members present.

ITEM 22	Assignment of Numbers for Charter School Petitions.	ACTION
---------	---	--------

Ms. Sterling requested that the Board assign numbers to charter school petitions as recommended by Department staff.

President Hastings asked why the Ravenswood district charter school was not on the list. Superintendent Eastin responded that the Department has been involved with Ravenswood City School District for several years and the district superintendent is currently in contempt of court in an unrelated matter. Ms. Eastin remarked that if the superintendent of Ravenswood is unable to provide special education services in her own district, she is not likely to be able to provide services 400 miles away in Riverside. Superintendent Eastin noted that the Department is scheduled to return to court in September on this issue. Superintendent Eastin stated that she respectfully declines to bring forward this charter petition.

Ms. Tacheny asked if a charter school has ever been denied a number before. Ms. Sterling

FINAL MINUTES  
State Board of Education  
June 26-27 2002

replied that this has not happened before. She added that prior to this, the Department has brought everything forward. Ms. Tacheny stated she was concerned about the limits of the Board's authority in this area. Superintendent Eastin stated that because the Department is involved in legal action with this district and the district's superintendent is in contempt of court, the Department believes this charter school is the exception to the law.

The following individuals addressed the Board:

David Patterson, CANEC

Lisa Corr, representing East Oakland Leadership Academy and Title One Schoolwide Charter School of Technology

President Hastings inquired about the East Oakland charter school and why it was not brought to the Board for action. Ms. Sterling informed the Board that the East Oakland Leadership Academy charter was granted conditionally and the charter document was worded by the Oakland Unified School District so the existence of the school was not clear. Eileen Cubanski, School Fiscal Services Division, added that the Department had worked with the district on the language, but the district used different wording for this charter than for any other charter in the district. President Hastings inquired whether the Oakland district superintendent, if contacted, would now say the charter had been granted. He requested a letter from the district clarifying the status of the charter's approval.

Mr. Abernethy asked for comments from the Board's counsel. President Hastings stated the issue is the Board's authority. In the past, the Board has just numbered the charter schools. Ms. Belisle stated that it is her opinion the law gives the Board a ministerial duty to assign numbers to the charters granted by local educational agencies. The statute does not authorize the Board to inquire into the quality of the charter.

Ms. Cabatic noted that the Title One charter is a unique situation. Ravenswood has not been able to provide services to special education students. The charter document says that Ravenswood will provide the special education services.

Ms. Belisle advised that the statute requiring charter numbers to be assigned does not reference the State Superintendent; it is the State Board of Education that has the ministerial duty. She added that if the Board does not act, the Board is risking legal action to require the Board to assign a number in compliance with its ministerial duties. If the Board makes a policy decision to not assign numbers to some charters granted by local educational agencies, it would have to decide where to draw the line on approval.

Ms. Cabatic stated that this is a unique situation. She added that the Department has brought forward other charters it has had concerns about.

- MOTION FAILS: Mr. Abernethy moved that the State Board:

(1) Assign charter numbers as proposed by CDE staff in the agenda item and supplement.

FINAL MINUTES  
State Board of Education  
June 26-27 2002

- (2) Assign charter number 498 to Title One Schoolwide Charter School of Technology (chartering entity: Ravenswood City School District).
- (3) Assign charter number 499 to East Oakland Leadership Academy (chartering entity: Oakland Unified School District), subject to a determination by the Chief Counsel of the State Board of Education that the action of the chartering entity was sufficient.
- (4) Authorize the President of the State Board to write a letter on the State Board's behalf to the Superintendent of Ravenswood City School District noting the district's obligation to ensure that the students of Title One Schoolwide Charter School of Technology receive special education programs and services in accordance with applicable provisions of law.

Ms. Goncalves seconded the motion. The motion failed by a vote of 5-1. Mr. Nuñez voted against the motion.

- MOTION FAILS: Mr. Nuñez moved that the State Board:

- (1) Assign charter numbers as proposed by CDE staff in the agenda item and supplement.
- (2) Assign charter number 498 to East Oakland Leadership Academy (chartering entity: Oakland Unified School District), subject to a determination by the Chief Counsel of the State Board of Education that the action of the chartering entity was sufficient.
- (3) Hold a closed session later this day to discuss the *Emma C.* litigation and the nature and extent of any impact the assignment of a number to the Title One Schoolwide Charter School of Technology (chartering entity: Ravenswood City School District) might have with respect to that litigation.

Mrs. Joseph seconded the motion. The motion failed by a vote of 5-1. Mr. Abernethy voted against the motion.

President Hastings noted that while no one is excited about extending the Ravenswood district, it appears there is no legal way to avoid assigning a number. Superintendent Eastin remarked that the district's superintendent is on record as not being willing to serve special education students. President Hastings stated that, legally, the charter existed once the district chartered it. Ms. Lange asked that the Board discuss relieving the Department staff from reviewing the charter petitions. President Hastings agreed that her request should be considered given the current law.

Mr. Nuñez asked if the Board had the option of delaying action at this time. President Hastings responded that without a charter number, money could not flow into the school. He added that by not acting, the Board could be sued. Mr. Abernethy remarked that this may be a good fight to fight, but the ministerial act of numbering is not where the fight should be.

Ms. Belisle explained to the Board that there are a variety of ways to deal with the special education issues raised in relationship to *Emma C.*, but a discussion of *Emma C.* should be held

FINAL MINUTES  
State Board of Education  
June 26-27 2002

in Closed Session. She restated her opinion that the Board has a ministerial, non-discretionary duty to assign charter numbers.

Ms. Tacheny asked that the Board meet in Closed Session.

President Hastings called for a Closed Session at 10:04 a.m. The Board met in Closed Session until 10:28 a.m.

**Second Closed Session Report**

Ms. Belisle reported that the State Board considered *Emma C., et al., v. Delaine Eastin, et al.* The following action was taken: The State Board directed counsel to raise the State Board's concerns with the ability of the Ravenswood City School District to oversee the Title One Schoolwide Charter School of Technology in the *Emma C.* action and to pursue the State Board's legal options related to this issue.

Mr. Abernethy said that he was ready to make a motion.

- ACTION: Mr. Abernethy moved that the State Board:
  - (1) Assign charter numbers as proposed by CDE staff in the agenda item and supplement.
  - (2) Assign charter number 498 to Title One Schoolwide Charter School of Technology (chartering entity: Ravenswood City School District), noting the State Board's action taken in closed session.
  - (3) Assign charter number 499 to East Oakland Leadership Academy (chartering entity: Oakland Unified School District), subject to a determination by the Chief Counsel of the State Board of Education that the action of the chartering entity was sufficient.

Ms. Goncalves seconded the motion. The motion was approved by unanimous vote of the members present.

ITEM 23	Request to Fund the Recommended List of Public Charter Schools Grant Program Award Recipients.	ACTION
ITEM 24	Statewide Education Technology Services.	INFORMATION ACTION

President Hastings informed the Board that Items 23 and 24 were proposed consent items.

- ACTION: Mr. Abernethy moved that the State Board approve the CDE staff recommendations regarding Items 23 and 24. Ms. Tacheny seconded the motion. The motion was approved by unanimous vote of the members present.

FINAL MINUTES  
State Board of Education  
June 26-27 2002

ITEM 25	Advisory Commission on Charter Schools: Appointment of Member.	INFORMATION ACTION
---------	--	--------------------

This item was withdrawn.

ITEM 26	High Priority Schools Grant Program Implementation Grant Awards.	INFORMATION ACTION
---------	--	--------------------

ITEM 27	Immediate Intervention/Underperforming Schools Program (II/USP) Implementation Grant Awards.	INFORMATION ACTION
---------	--	--------------------

Wendy Harris, School Improvement Division, presented background information on Items 26 and 27 concurrently. She noted that the schools in these programs have to pursue statutory improvements, but also have flexibility to pursue other improvement strategies. [Attachment 8: II/USP Implementation Grant Awards, Additional School.] The statute requires approval of the two-year expenditure plans of the Immediate Intervention/Underperforming Schools Program (II/USP) schools by July 15. Ms. Harris further noted that 92 II/USP schools have entered into the High Priority Schools Grant Program (HPSGP). These schools are co-funded and receive funds from both programs.

In September 2001, the State Board approved criteria for II/USP plans. Then, Assembly Bill 961 was approved. In November 2001, the Department discussed using the same application process for both the II/USP and HPSGP. The decision was made by Department staff, with the concurrence of Board staff, not to review each plan. Instead, Department staff would 1) review a narrative summary of each plan and 2) require assurances from the district. Under Item 26, the Department is asking for the Board's full approval, conditional approval, and disapproval of various applications, as recommended by Department staff.

Mrs. Joseph commented that she had read a number of plans and in some of them the narrative does not match the assurances. What is not clear is in what year the districts might adopt the instructional materials and participate in the required AB 75 and AB 466 training. She expressed concern that these schools need assistance to understand what the State Board-adopted materials are and what the AB 75 and AB 466 professional development programs are. She added that she would like to help these districts. Ms. Harris noted that the criterion for the applications was State Board-adopted, standards-aligned materials.

Mrs. Joseph stated that AB 466 requires districts to have the instructional materials that were adopted by the State Board after January 1, 2001, and although she is not sure how to go about it, it is her desire to assist the districts. She said that we ought to be very careful when constructing the reporting process. If there will be other application opportunities for HPSGP, the Board should consider changing the application to clarify that the instructional materials must be those adopted after January 1, 2001. Ms. Harris noted that what is unusual about the HPSGP law is

FINAL MINUTES  
State Board of Education  
June 26-27 2002

that it has stringent reporting requirements. Mrs. Joseph suggested the State Board and the Department staff work together to develop the reporting requirements.

The following individual addressed the Board:  
Lisa Ramer, ACSA

Mr. Nuñez remarked that there is confusion in the field about how AB 466 works and it is a good idea to help these districts understand the program.

- ACTION: Mr. Nuñez moved that the State Board take the action to approve, approve with conditions, or disapprove the High Priority Schools Grant Program grant awards in accordance with the recommendation of CDE staff as presented in the agenda supplement. No action was taken on the grant awards listed as “waiver” status. The motion was made with the understanding that staff would review the structure of the reports and make modifications needed for greater clarity in keeping with the discussion at the meeting. Mr. Abernethy seconded the motion. The motion was approved by unanimous vote of the members present.

Mrs. Joseph commented that the Department and the Board could use some assistance from education organizations, such as ACSA and CSBA, to craft a message to the field to clarify the requirements of the HPSGP and the AB 75 and AB 466 professional development programs. President Hastings stated that no motion was needed, the Board could just direct staff to implement this suggestion.

- ACTION: Mr. Nuñez moved that the State Board approve the Immediate Intervention/Underperforming Schools Program grant awards in accordance with the recommendation of CDE staff. Ms. Tacheney seconded the motion. The motion was approved by unanimous vote of the members present.

ITEM 28	Legislative Update: Including, but not limited to, the list of bills that are currently being tracked.	INFORMATION ACTION
---------	--	--------------------

Erika Hoffman, Government Affairs Office, gave a brief report. She drew the Board’s attention to the first three pages of the legislative information packet. The status of the Board’s top bills is included in those three pages. [Attachment 9: Legislative Item.] Ms. Hoffman stated that she would provide the State Board Office with an interim bill list when the Legislature takes summer recess and also prior to August, which is the last month of this year’s session.

No action was taken on this item.

FINAL MINUTES  
State Board of Education  
June 26-27 2002

ITEM 32	Alternative Schools Accountability Model: Request Board approval of extending the timeline for phasing in additional indicators for the Alternative Schools Accountability Model (ASAM).	INFORMATION ACTION
---------	--	--------------------

President Hastings noted that this item was being heard out of order to accommodate the presenters.

Sue Bennett, Educational Options Division, introduced Lynn Wilen and Vicki Barber, the co-chairs of the Alternative Schools Accountability Model (ASAM) Committee. Ms. Barber requested an extension for the implementation of an additional performance measure for the 1,200 schools in the ASAM system. A report on the local assessments that would be used to measure student performance is anticipated from the contractor.

President Hastings asked how hard is it for a school to be included in the ASAM group. Ms. Barber responded that it is very hard. President Hastings asked how many students are in the ASAM schools, and Ms. Bennett estimated that there are approximately 200,000.

- ACTION: Mrs. Joseph moved that the State Board approve the recommendation to extend the timeline for phasing in the requirement that Alternative Schools Accountability Model (ASAM) schools collect and report data on a third additional indicator (above and beyond STAR data where available). Mr. Abernethy seconded the motion. The motion was approved by unanimous vote of the members present.

ITEM 29	California High School Exit Examination (CAHSEE): Including, but not limited to, the Presentation of Year Three Human Resources Research Organization (HumRRO) Report.	INFORMATION ACTION
---------	--	--------------------

Lauress Wise presented a summary of HumRRO's preliminary report. He highlighted the findings and recommendations in the report. [Attachment 10: Independent Evaluation of the CAHSEE: Report of Year 3 Evaluation Activities.]

President Hastings commented that New York has just experienced problems with test item passages. He inquired about the written passages on the CAHSEE and whether there had been any altering of any of those passages. Ms. Chladek replied that no passages have been altered.

President Hastings asked what the pass rate was for students taking the test for the second time. He suggested that schools that had higher than average passing rates for second-time test takers be identified. These schools could be models for other schools.

No action was taken on this item.



FINAL MINUTES  
State Board of Education  
June 26-27 2002

ITEM 30	Report of the Curriculum Development and Supplemental Materials Commission.	INFORMATION ACTION
---------	---	--------------------

Ms. Franklin drew the Board’s attention to State Senator Polanco’s letter requesting a one-year extension of the Board’s policy on Instructional Materials Fund (IMF) expenditures, which expires at the end of June 2002. [Attachment 11: Letter from Senator Polanco.] She noted that Board staff recommends referring the issue to the Curriculum Commission.

The following individuals addressed the Board:  
Lisa Ramer, California Association of Suburban Schools  
Elizabeth Jimenez

President Hastings remarked that districts can still spend 30 percent of their IMF on non-adopted materials. He stated that the State Board would benefit from the Curriculum Commission’s input on this issue. Ms. Tacheny concurred, adding that the Commission’s work is very high caliber. Sherry Griffith, Curriculum Frameworks and Instructional Resources Division, advised that the Curriculum Commission would not be convening until after the Board meets in September. Mrs. Joseph recommended convening the appropriate subject matter committee to move this request forward. Ms. Griffith noted that the Curriculum Commission chair could call a meeting of the appropriate subject matter committee.

Mrs. Joseph observed that some of the instructional materials referenced in Senator Polanco’s letter were submitted for review during the last adoption, but were not adopted. Ms. Griffith concurred that some of the materials have not been adopted. She added that the materials have gone through the legal and social compliance review.

Ms. Belisle commented that the expenditure policy is a very broad policy and under the policy instructional materials could be anything at all relative to structured English emersion.

- **ACTION:** By consensus, the State Board agreed to refer to the Curriculum Commission for guidance (to be received prior to the State Board’s September 2002 meeting if possible) the issue of extending beyond June 30, 2002, the provision of the Instructional Materials Fund expenditure policy under which 100 percent of allocations may be expended for the purchase of non-adopted instructional materials necessary for “Structured English Immersion” in keeping with Proposition 227, as well as the feasibility of conducting a special adoption related to instructional materials for English learners. It was also agreed that the Curriculum Commission chair, in lieu of consideration by the full Commission meeting (which appeared difficult if not impossible to complete prior to the State Board’s September meeting), could assign the matter to the Commission’s Reading/Language Arts/English Language Development Subject Matter Committee, with that committee’s recommendations being presented to the State Board in September 2002.

FINAL MINUTES  
State Board of Education  
June 26-27 2002

ITEM 31	Report of the Curriculum Frameworks and Instructional Resources (CFIR) Division: administrative activities associated with curriculum frameworks, adoptions of instructional materials and school libraries. Report of Bureau of State Audits recommendations to CDE to be released on June 26, 2002.	INFORMATION ACTION
---------	---	--------------------

Ms. Griffith summarized the Bureau of State Audits report on the Los Angeles Unified School District. She stated that there are state-level implications in the findings and recommendations.

Ms. Griffith also provided an update on the frameworks that the Board has approved but are not yet published. She reported that the *Foreign Language Framework* would be published by the end of summer, the *Science Framework* would be out in September or October 2002, and the *Health Framework Addendum* would be out in January or February 2003.

She informed the Board of a free distribution of *Mathematics Framework* and the *Reading-Language Arts Framework* to professors of teacher education, English, and mathematics in the University of California and the California State University systems. The purpose of this free distribution was to ensure that professors use the K-12 curriculum frameworks in courses for students seeking teaching credentials.

No action was taken on this item.

ITEM 33	Permanent Regulations Regarding Funding Determinations for Charter Schools Offering Nonclassroom-based Instruction Pursuant to Senate Bill (SB) 740 (Chapter 892, Statutes of 2001).	ACTION
---------	--	--------

President Hastings called for speakers.

The following individuals addressed the Board:

Peter Stewart, K-12

David Patterson, CANEC

President Hastings noted that some members might have received a letter from former Board Member Mike Kirst regarding the potential of “e-learning.”

- ACTION: Mr. Nuñez moved that the State Board adopt the regulations as presented in the agenda item. Mr. Abernethy seconded the motion. The motion was approved by unanimous vote of the members present.

ITEM 34	Title 5 Regulations on Administration of Medication in Public Schools.	INFORMATION ACTION
---------	--	--------------------

Deputy Superintendent Paula Mishima stated the regulations are permissive. The Department is

FINAL MINUTES  
State Board of Education  
June 26-27 2002

required to develop regulations for the Board’s adoption. [Attachment 12: *Education Code* Section 49423-49425.]

The following individual addressed the Board:  
Samantha Dobbins

Mr. Nuñez commented that many schools do not have school nurses—his district did not for over eight years. The lack of school nurses is a problem. He expressed concern about districts requiring teachers to administer medications and the potential liability for teachers. Ms. Mishima responded that the regulations say unlicensed school staff *may* administer medications and the teachers are not required to do so.

Mr. Nuñez pointed out that teachers might not know that the regulations say “may” and are often not in a position to object to an administrator’s request. Mrs. Joseph stated that students need a method by which to receive their medications at school. President Hastings reiterated Mr. Nuñez’ request for language that gives the option to teachers to refuse to administer medication and ensure that teachers receive the appropriate training if they do administer medications.

Ms. Belisle stated that she has concerns about the proposed regulations. She added that in her opinion the statute is more permissive than the proposed regulations. These regulations also present mandate issues.

No action was taken on this item.

ITEM 35	Request by the Jacoby Creek Elementary School District to Become an All-Charter District.	ACTION
---------	---	--------

Ms. Sterling noted that the Department was recommending approval of the petition.

President Hastings stated in cases like this, when the district is just one school and the district will in effect become an all-charter district if that school becomes a charter school, the charter school law requires districts to seek Board approval.

- ACTION: Mr. Abernethy moved that the State Board join the State Superintendent of Public Instruction in approving establishment of Jacoby Creek Elementary School District as an all-charter district in accordance with *Education Code* Section 47606. Ms. Goncalves seconded the motion. The motion was approved by unanimous vote of the members present.

FINAL MINUTES  
State Board of Education  
June 26-27 2002

ITEM 36	REVISED Waiver Guidelines for short term use of Schiff-Bustamante Standards-Based Instructional Materials Program, “Carryover Funds Only,” Modified to ADD exception for approved, standards-aligned SCIENCE materials.	ACTION
---------	---	--------

Judy Pinegar, Waiver Office, stated that this waiver policy has been discussed before. She noted that the Schiff-Bustamante funds are due to expire in two years and districts would not be able to use those funds after June 2004.

The following individuals addressed the Board:  
 Bob Lucas, Delta  
 Martha Mutz, Conejo Valley Unified School District  
 James Konatz, Los Angeles Unified School District

Mrs. Joseph suggested that the line in the draft policy that says, “designated member of the Curriculum Commission” be amended to refer to a Curriculum Commission member designated by the Curriculum Commission chair.

President Hastings commented that the issue is whether the Board wants to act on this policy while AB 1781 is still winding its way through the Legislature.

- ACTION: Mrs. Joseph moved that the State Board approve the proposed amendments to the waiver guidelines for the Schiff-Bustamante Standards-Based Instructional Materials Program recommended by CDE staff including the modification (pertaining to the Curriculum Commission chair) presented at the meeting. Mr. Nuñez seconded the motion. The motion was approved by unanimous vote of the members present.

**WAIVERS: CONSENT, WITHDRAWN, PROPOSED CONSENT, AND NON-CONSENT**

**CONSENT WAIVERS (WC-1 to WC-15)**  
**INSTRUCTIONAL MATERIALS SUFFICIENCY (Audit Findings)**

ITEM WC-1	Request by Sacramento County Office of Education for a retroactive waiver of <i>Education Code</i> (EC) Section 60119 regarding Annual Public Hearing on the availability of textbooks or instructional materials. This district has an audit finding for the 1999-2000 fiscal year that they failed to hold the public hearing. CDSIS-63-5-2002 (Recommended for APPROVAL)	ACTION
-----------	---	--------

FINAL MINUTES  
State Board of Education  
June 26-27 2002

ITEM WC-2	Request by two districts for a retroactive waiver of <i>Education Code</i> (EC) Section 60119 regarding Annual Public Hearing on the availability of textbooks or instructional materials. These districts have an audit finding for the 2000-2001 fiscal year that they failed to properly notice (10 days) the public hearing. CDSIS-48-5-2002 Pleasant Valley Joint Union Elementary SD CDSIS-47-3-2002 Dublin Unified School District (Recommended for APPROVAL)	ACTION
-----------	---	--------

NONPUBLIC SCHOOL/AGENCY (Annual renewal application timeline)

ITEM WC-3	Request by Sacramento Unified School District to waive <i>Education Code</i> (EC) Section 56366.1(g) the August 1 through October 31 timeline requirement on annual certification renewals. Curtis Park School (NPS) CDSIS-126-3-2002 (Recommended for APPROVAL for the 2002 Renewal Update Application)	ACTION
-----------	---	--------

ITEM WC-4	Request by East County Special Education Local Plan Area (SELPA) to waive <i>Education Code</i> (EC) Section 56366.1(g) the August 1 through October 31 timeline requirement on annual certification renewals. Aimee D. Kalchik Speech Services, Inc. (NPA) CDSIS-26-5-2002 (Recommended for APPROVAL for the 2002 Renewal Update Application)	ACTION
-----------	---	--------

REGIONAL OCCUPATIONAL PROGRAM

ITEM WC-5	Waiver request for Riverside County Office of Education Regional Occupational Program to waive <i>Education Code</i> (EC) 52314.6(a) regarding the 3% limit enrollment of students under the age of 16, in the Regional Occupational Program (ROP). CDSIS-147-4-2002 (Recommended for APPROVAL WITH CONDITIONS) <i>Education Code</i> Section 33051(c) will apply	ACTION
-----------	--	--------

FINAL MINUTES  
State Board of Education  
June 26-27 2002

RESOURCE SPECIALIST

ITEM WC-6	Request by Center Unified School District to waive <i>Education Code</i> (EC) Section 56362(c); which allows the district to exceed the maximum caseload of 28 students (but not more than 32) for each resource specialist. Jennifer Loehr, North Country Elementary School Evelyn Webster, Oak Hill Elementary School CDSIS-109-4-2002 (Recommended for APPROVAL WITH CONDITIONS)	ACTION
-----------	---	--------

STATE MEAL MANDATE (Saturday Session)

ITEM WC-7	Requests by Leggett Valley Unified School District and Bret Harte Union High School District to waive <i>Education Code</i> (EC) Section 49550, the State Meal Mandate during the Saturday school session. CDSIS-145-4-2002 CDSIS-100-4-2002 (Recommended for APPROVAL) <i>Education Code</i> Section 33051(c) will apply	ACTION
-----------	---	--------

STATE MEAL MANDATE (Summer School Session--Original)

ITEM WC-8	Request by 2 school districts to waive <i>Education Code</i> (EC) Section 49550, the State Meal Mandate during the summer school session. CDSIS-177-4-2002 Montebello Elementary SD CDSIS- 8-5-2002 Potter Valley Community USD (Recommended for APPROVAL)	ACTION
-----------	---	--------

ITEM WC-9	Request by 9 school districts to waive <i>Education Code</i> (EC) Section 49550, the State Meal Mandate during the summer school session. (See attached list) (Recommended for APPROVAL)	ACTION
-----------	--	--------

STATE MEAL MANDATE (Summer School Session—Renewal)

ITEM WC-10	Renewal requests by 22 districts to waive <i>Education Code</i> (EC) Section 49550, the State Meal Mandate during the summer school session (See attached list) (Recommended for APPROVAL)	ACTION
------------	--	--------

ITEM WC-11	Renewal requests by 24 districts to waive <i>Education Code</i> (EC) Section 49550, the State Meal Mandate during the summer school session (See attached list) (Recommended for APPROVAL)	ACTION
------------	--	--------

FINAL MINUTES  
State Board of Education  
June 26-27 2002

ITEM WC-12	Renewal requests by 7 districts to waive <i>Education Code</i> (EC) Section 49550, the State Meal Mandate during the summer school session (See attached list) (Recommended for APPROVAL)	ACTION
ITEM WC-13	Renewal requests by 13 districts to waive <i>Education Code</i> (EC) Section 49550, the State Meal Mandate during the summer school session (See attached list) (Recommended for APPROVAL)	ACTION
ITEM WC-14	Renewal requests by 8 district to waive <i>Education Code</i> (EC) Section 49550, the State Meal Mandate during the summer school session (See attached list) (Recommended for APPROVAL)	ACTION
ITEM WC-15	Request by Twain Harte-Long Barn Union School District to waive <i>Education Code</i> (EC) Section 49550, the State Meal Mandate during the summer school session. CDSIS-57-5-2002 (Recommended for APPROVAL)	ACTION

Ms. Pinegar informed the Board that there were no corrections to the consent waivers.

- ACTION: Mr. Nuñez moved that the State Board approve the requests in Items WC-1 through WC-15 in accordance with the recommendations of CDE staff. The motion included the conditions and/or limitations recommended regarding Items WC-3, WC-4, WC-5, and WC-6; and recognized that the provisions of *Education Code* Section 33051(c) would apply to the requests in Items WC-5 and WC-7. Ms. Tacheney seconded the motion. The motion was approved by unanimous vote of the members present.

**WITHDRAWN WAIVERS (W-10, W-12, and W-22)**

**INSTRUCTIONAL TIME PENALTY**

ITEM W-10	Request by Fremont Unified School District under the <i>Education Code</i> (EC) Section 46206 to waive <i>Education Code</i> Section 46201(c), the full longer-day instructional time penalty for the 2001-2002 school year for Washington and Irvington High Schools. CDSIS-49-1-2002 (Recommended for APPROVAL WITH CONDITIONS)	ACTION
-----------	---	--------

FINAL MINUTES  
State Board of Education  
June 26-27 2002

INSTRUCTIONAL MATERIALS PETITIONS

ITEM W-12	Petition request under <i>Education Code</i> (EC) Section 60200(g) by East Whittier City School District to purchase nonadopted Instructional Resources ( <i>Everyday Mathematics, Grades K-3, c. 2001; Grades 4-5, c. 2002</i> ) using Instructional Materials Fund (IMF) monies. CDSIS-34-4-2002 (Recommended for APPROVAL)	ACTION
-----------	---	--------

PHYSICAL EDUCATION

ITEM W-22	Request by the Hanford Elementary School District for a renewal waiver to reduce the required physical education instructional minutes as specified in Education Code Section 51222 (from 400 to 250 minutes) for students below grade level in reading or math at two middle schools participating in the Immediate Intervention Underperforming Schools Program (II/USP) CDSIS-5-5-2002 (Recommended for DENIAL) per Education Code 33051(a)(1) and (4)	
-----------	---	--

Ms. Pinegar announced that waiver items W-10, W-12, and W-22 had been withdrawn from the agenda.

**PROPOSED CONSENT WAIVERS (W-3 through W-7, W-13 through W-21, and W-25 through W-30.**

EMPLOYMENT—RETIRED SCHOOL TEACHER

ITEM W-3	Renewal request by Kings Canyon Unified School District to waive <i>Education Code</i> (EC) Section 45134(c) – to allow the employment of a retired schoolteacher as a classified school bus driver. CDSIS-161-4-2002 (Recommended for APPROVAL) E.C. 33051(c) will apply	ACTION
----------	---	--------

EQUITY LENGTH OF TIME

ITEM W-4	Renewal request by Konocti Unified School District to waive <i>Education Code</i> (EC) Section 37202, the equity length of time requirement for kindergarten at Pomo Elementary School. CDSIS-38-5-2002 (Recommended for APPROVAL)	ACTION
----------	--	--------



FINAL MINUTES  
State Board of Education  
June 26-27 2002

ITEM W-5	Request by Conejo Valley Unified School District to waive <i>Education Code</i> (EC) Section 37202, the equity length of time requirement for kindergarten programs at Cypress, Madrona, Manzanita, and Wildwood Schools. CDSIS-35-5-2002 (Recommended for APPROVAL WITH CONDITION)	ACTION
----------	---	--------

EVALUATION OF TENURED TEACHERS

ITEM W-6	Request by San Lorenzo Unified School District to renew a waiver of <i>Education Code</i> (EC) Section 44664(a), relating to bi-annual evaluations of tenured teachers. CDSIS-143-4-2002 (Recommended for APPROVAL)	ACTION
----------	---	--------

ITEM W-7	Renewal request by Glendale Unified School District to waive <i>Education Code</i> (EC) Section 44664 to allow permanent teachers to be evaluated on a three-year cycle (instead of a two-year cycle). CDSIS-45-5-2002 (Recommended for APPROVAL) E.C. 33051(c) will apply	ACTION
----------	--	--------

MATHEMATICS PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT

ITEM W-13	Request by Corona-Norco Unified School District for a waiver of <i>Education Code</i> (EC) Section 44721(a) to allow use of AB 1331 Grant funds for per diem and release time for teacher training in mathematics instruction. CDSIS-82-5-2002 (Recommended for APPROVAL)	ACTION
-----------	---	--------

9<sup>th</sup> GRADE CLASS SIZE REDUCTION

ITEM W-14	Renewal waiver request by Perris Union High School District to waive <i>Education Code</i> (EC) Sections 52084(a) and 52086 under the 9 <sup>th</sup> Grade Class Size Reduction (Morgan-Hart) Act to receive funding for two English courses in Grade 9 and one Grade 9 Math course (three courses total). CDSIS-17-5-2002 (Recommended for APPROVAL WITH CONDITIONS)	ACTION
-----------	--	--------

FINAL MINUTES  
State Board of Education  
June 26-27 2002

ITEM W-15	Request by San Gabriel Unified School District to waive portions of <i>Education Code</i> (EC) Section 52084(a); 9 <sup>th</sup> Grade Class Size Reduction (Morgan-Hart) Act to receive funding for students at risk of failing the CAHSEE to take Literacy Lab or Math Lab in addition to their Grade 9 English and Math classes. CDSIS-72-5-2002 (Recommended for APPROVAL WITH CONDITIONS)	ACTION
-----------	--	--------

NONPUBLIC SCHOOL/AGENCY (Child Specific—Out-of-State)

ITEM W-16	Request by Hayward Unified School District for a retroactive waiver 1/01/99 to 8/30/99 <i>Education Code</i> (EC) Section 56366.1(a), certification requirement, for an uncertified nonpublic agency to provide instruction to a specific special education student, Julian B. Stellar Academy for Dyslexics CDSIS-2-11-2001 (Recommended for APPROVAL)	ACTION
-----------	---	--------

ITEM W-17	Request by Orange Unified School District to waive <i>Education Code</i> (EC) Section 56366.1(a), the certification requirement, for an uncertified nonpublic agency to provide services to two special education students Van O. and Noah S. St. Joseph Home Care Services CDSIS-1-11-2001 (Recommended for APPROVAL)	ACTION
-----------	---	--------

ITEM W-18	Request by Belleview Elementary School District to waive <i>Education Code</i> (EC) Section 56366.1(a), certification for an uncertified nonpublic school, Bancroft School, located in Haddonfield, New Jersey to provide services to one special education student, Taylor S. CDSIS-40-3-2002 (Recommended for APPROVAL)	ACTION
-----------	---	--------

OPPORTUNITY SCHOOLS

ITEM W-19	Request by Shasta County Office of Education (SCOE) to waive <i>Education Code</i> (EC) Section 48640 regarding Opportunity Schools, the restrictions on county-run programs based on total county average daily attendance (ADA) over 8,000. CDSIS-41-5-2002 (Recommended for APPROVAL WITH CONDITIONS)	ACTION
-----------	--	--------

FINAL MINUTES  
State Board of Education  
June 26-27 2002

PEER ASSISTANCE AND REVIEW (PAR) PROGRAM

ITEM W-20	Request by San Jacinto Unified School District to waive <i>Education Code</i> (EC) Section 44501(a) pertaining to the Peer Assistance and Review (PAR) program, to allow a teacher with less than 3 consecutive years employment in the district to function as a consulting teacher in the PAR program. CDSIS-4-4-2002 (Recommended for APPROVAL) E.C. 33051(c) will apply	ACTION
-----------	---	--------

PHYSICAL EDUCATION

ITEM W-21	Elk Grove Unified School District request to waive <i>Education Code</i> (EC) Section 51222(a) the physical education minute requirement for students at Toby Johnson Middle School and Franklin High School in order to implement block scheduling. CDSIS-15-3-2002 (Recommended for APPROVAL WITH CONDITIONS) E.C. 33051(c) will apply	ACTION
-----------	---	--------

SCHIFF-BUSTAMANTE WAIVER

ITEM W-25	General waiver request of <i>Education Code</i> (EC) Sections 60450(b) and 60451(b) – Schiff-Bustamante Standards-Based Instructional Materials Program by Modesto City School District to purchase nonadopted Instructional Resources ( <i>Houghton Mifflin Mathematics, Grade 6</i> ) using Schiff-Bustamante Funds. CDSIS-114-4-2002 (Recommended for APPROVAL from date of SBE action through May 31, 2004.)	ACTION
-----------	--	--------

ITEM W-26	General waiver request of <i>Education Code</i> (EC) Sections 60450(b) and 60451(b) – Schiff-Bustamante Standards-Based Instructional Materials Program by Chawanakee Joint School District to purchase nonadopted Instructional Resources ( <i>Houghton Mifflin Mathematics, Grade 6</i> ) using Schiff-Bustamante Funds. CDSIS-176-4-2002 (Recommended for APPROVAL from date of SBE action through May 31, 2004.)	ACTION
-----------	--	--------

FINAL MINUTES  
State Board of Education  
June 26-27 2002

ITEM W-27	General waiver request of <i>Education Code</i> Sections 60450 (b) and 60451(b) Schiff-Bustamante Standards-Based Instructional Materials Program by Twin Ridges Elementary School District to purchase nonadopted Instructional Resources (Everyday Mathematics: Grades K-3, c. 2001; Grades 4-5, c. 1999) using Schiff-Bustamante Funds. CDSIS-155-4-2002 (Recommended from date of SBE action until May 30, 2004 with CONDITIONS)	ACTION
ITEM W-28	General waiver request of <i>Education Code</i> (EC) Sections 60450(b) and 604519b) Schiff-Bustamante Standards-Based Instructional Materials Program by Selma Unified School District to purchase nonadopted Instructional Resources (Houghton Mifflin Mathematics, Grade 6) using Schiff-Bustamante Funds. CDSIS-32-5-2002 (Recommended from date of SBE action through May 31, 2004)	ACTION

SCHOOL SITE COUNCIL

ITEM W-29	Request by the Buellton Union School District to waive <i>Education Code</i> (EC) Section 52852, to allow one school site council to continue to function for two school sites as the district transitions from a single-site district to a two-site district. CDSIS-91-4-2002 (Recommended for APPROVAL)	ACTION
ITEM W-30	Renewal request by Tulelake Basin Joint USD to waive <i>Education Code</i> (EC) Section 52852, relating to the composition of school site council; (2 students instead of 4) as required for each school participating in the School-based Coordination Act. CDSIS-27-5-2002 (Recommended for APPROVAL)	ACTION

Ms. Pinegar reported that there were a number of corrections to the waivers. The following corrections were noted:

- W-19 *Education Code* Section 33051(c) is intended to apply.
- W-21 *Education Code* Section 33051(c) will apply, and the Department's recommendation has changed from denial to approval.
- W-23 The Department's recommendation has changed from denial to approval based on information that the teacher checked the wrong box on the waiver request form.
- W-29 The district's request has been revised, and the district is requesting that the Board waive *Education Code* Section 52852 instead of 52012, which has actually "sunset."

FINAL MINUTES  
State Board of Education  
June 26-27 2002

W-30 The box for an “apportionment related audit finding” was checked in error, and there is not an audit finding.

W-31 The conditions of approval apply to only these 11 districts and the condition that states “any district failing to meet this deadline will be automatically denied” should say “will be automatically recommended for denial.”

Ms. Pinegar noted that waiver items W-3 through W-7, W-13 through W-21, and W-25 through W-30 were proposed consent waivers. [Attachment 13: Waiver Chart.]

- **ACTION:** Ms. Tacheny moved that the State Board approve the requests in Items W-3 through W-7, W-13 through W-21, and W-25 through W-30 in accordance with the recommendations of CDE staff. The motion recognized the corrections noted by CDE staff regarding Items W-19, W-21, W-29, and W-30; included the conditions and/or limitations recommended regarding Items W-5, W-14, W-15, W-19, W-21, W-25, W-26, W-27, and W-28; and recognized that the provisions of *Education Code* Section 33051(c) would apply to the requests in Items W-3, W-19, W-20, and W-21. Ms. Goncalves seconded the motion. The motion was approved by unanimous vote of the members present.

**NON-CONSENT WAIVERS**

**CALIFORNIA PUBLIC SCHOOL LIBRARY ACT OF 1998**

ITEM W-1	General waiver request of <i>Education Code</i> (EC) Section 18183 California Public School Library Act of 1998 by Los Angeles USD to pay for elementary library aide positions in FY 2002-2003 instead of purchasing library materials and equipment. CDSIS-30-5-2002 (Recommended for DENIAL) per Education Code 33051(a)(1) and (3)	ACTION
----------	--	--------

Ms. Pinegar advised that this waiver is the first such waiver request to come before the Board. The Los Angeles Unified School District (LAUSD) wants to take money intended for library resources to fund library aides.

Ms. Griffith explained the Department’s reasons for denying this waiver. Among the reasons mentioned were the limited funding for libraries in the state budget and the concern that there would be no resources available for middle or high school. The LAUSD currently has inadequate and outdated library materials. The average of nine books per elementary student does not adequately address the students’ needs. In addition, an appropriate advisory committee did not review the district’s request.

The following individuals addressed the Board:

James Konatz, Los Angeles USD

Connie Moreno, California School Employees Association

Jeannie Nelson, President, California School Library Association

FINAL MINUTES  
State Board of Education  
June 26-27 2002

Pamela Todd, president, Los Angeles School Library Association  
Sandy Schuckett, library media teacher.

Superintendent Eastin stated that since taking office she has worked hard to get more books into school libraries. The LAUSD libraries were the worse in the state. She strongly urged the Board to deny the waiver request.

Ms. Tacheny commented that the Board has been handed is a false dilemma of choosing between library aides and library books. The Legislature clearly intends this money to be spent on books. If it denies this waiver, the Board would be voting to protect books.

- MOTION FAILS: Ms. Tacheny moved that the State Board deny the request in Item W-1, citing the justifications set forth in *Education Code* Section 33051(a)(1) and (4), in accordance with the recommendation of CDE staff. Mrs. Joseph seconded the motion. The motion failed by a vote of 4-0-2. Mr. Abernethy and Mr. Nuñez did not vote on the motion.

President Hastings noted that the waiver item would return to the State Board at the next regular meeting (September 2002). If no action is taken at that time, the waiver will be automatically approved for one year (commencing in October 1, 2002) under the provisions of *Education Code* Section 33052.

COMMUNITY DAY SCHOOL

ITEM W-2	Renewal request by Santa Barbara High School District to waive of <i>Education Code</i> (EC) Section 48661(a) relating to the placement of a district community day school on the same site as La Colina Junior High School, Las Alturas Continuation High School, and Open Alternative School. CDSIS-69-5-2002 (Recommended for APPROVAL) E.C. 33051(c) will apply	ACTION
----------	---	--------

Ms. Pinegar reported that the school has a good safety record. President Hastings inquired why this waiver was not on the proposed consent list. Ms. Franklin responded that the only reason it was not on the proposed consent list was bring to the Board's attention that *Education Code* Section 33051(c) will apply.

- ACTION: Mrs. Joseph moved that the State Board approve the request in Item W-2 in accordance with the recommendation of CDE staff. The motion recognized that *Education Code* Section 33051(c) would apply to the request. Mr. Nuñez seconded the motion. The motion was approved by unanimous vote of the members present.

FINAL MINUTES  
State Board of Education  
June 26-27 2002

HIGH PRIORITY SCHOOLS GRANT PROGRAM (HPSGP)

ITEM W-8	Request by Oakland Unified School District to waive <i>Education Code</i> (EC) Section 52055.610(c)(1-3) in the High Priority Schools Grant Program (HPSGP) from August 15, 2002 through December 30, 2002 in order to delay the timelines for participating schools in the district. CDSIS-62-5-2002 (Recommended for APPROVAL)	ACTION
ITEM W-9	Request by three districts to waive <i>Education Code</i> (EC) Section 52055.610(c) in the High Priority Schools Grant Program (HPSGP) from August 15, 2002 through December 15, 2002 in order to delay the timelines for participating schools in the district. CDSIS – see attached list (Recommended for APPROVAL)	ACTION

Ms. Pinegar noted that these waivers are the first to come to the Board since the clean-up legislation passed. These districts are requesting a waiver of the new deadlines that were set by the clean-up legislation.

- ACTION: Mrs. Joseph moved that the State Board approve the requests in Items W-8 and W-9 in accordance with the recommendations of CDE staff. Ms. Tacheny seconded the motion. The motion was approved by unanimous vote of the members present.

INSTRUCTIONAL MATERIALS FUND PETITIONS

ITEM W-11	Petition request under <i>Education Code</i> (EC) Section 60200(g) by Conejo Valley Unified School District to purchase nonadopted Instructional Resources ( <i>Everyday Mathematics, grades K-3, c. 2001; grades 4 – 6, c. 2002</i> ) using Instructional Materials Fund (IMF) monies. CDSIS-25-4-2002 (Recommended for APPROVAL)	ACTION
-----------	--	--------

Ms. Pinegar reviewed the Board’s current petition and waiver policies. [Attachment 14: Letter from Superintendent Eastin.]

Mrs. Joseph commented that the petition policy is old. It was developed before the academic content standards and is out of date. President Hastings inquired whether the petition policy was a policy or a regulation.

Mr. Brandsma remarked that former State Board Executive Director John Mockler repeatedly stressed that instructional materials waivers and petitions should all be viewed through the lens of the Board’s Schiff-Bustamante policy. Superintendent Eastin commented that Mr. Mockler also said that high performing districts should be given greater flexibility.

FINAL MINUTES  
State Board of Education  
June 26-27 2002

Mrs. Joseph stated that it is true the Board has to discuss more fully the high-performing schools; however, it is also true that the 2002 *Everyday Mathematics* materials have not been reviewed.

Ms. Belisle noted that if the Board approves the waiver, *Everyday Mathematics* 2002 would fall under the Board's regulations for "otherwise authorized" instructional materials for AB 466. Then, any district could get AB 466 training on the 2002 edition, which has not been reviewed. She said that the Board might want to have the Curriculum Commission review the materials.

Ms. Griffith commented that the Board could grant a waiver for grades K-3, the 2001 edition, today. She expressed concern about what may become an ongoing adoption process if every new edition is reviewed. She added that the Board might want to consider the issue of review of new editions as part of the Curriculum Commission's work on the petition review process.

The following individuals addressed the Board:  
Martha Mutz, Curriculum Director, Conejo Valley USD  
Janet Doman, teacher, IMAP member  
Ann Martin, teacher  
Diane McKay, parent  
Alex Hayes, Shasta County Office of Education  
James Konatz, LAUSD

Mr. Nuñez requested that the record reflect he has received calls from teachers on both sides of this issue. He stated that the Board has clarified numerous times that we use the Schiff-Bustamante guidelines for waivers and petitions. Ms. Belisle commented that it makes no sense to set up two different types of criteria for decision-making on instructional materials. The Board has consistently said that it wants a review of the instructional materials and evidence of exemplary academic performance. Mr. Nuñez noted that Department staff is giving the Board advice that does not reflect the Board's desire to use Schiff-Bustamante guidelines for waivers and petitions and asked how the Board could change this situation. President Hastings suggested considering the unification of the two policies before dealing with future waivers and petitions.

Superintendent Eastin recommended approving this petition and the other four similar petitions that are in the Waiver Office because the districts have "played by the rules."

President Hastings commented that the Board could act on the petition now or not act until the policy clarification has been addressed.

Ms. Franklin noted that if the Board elects to approve the waiver, any district would be able to receive AB 466 training on the 2002 unreviewed materials. Ms. Tacheny stated that the impact on AB 466 is a serious issue and she would not want to act without a review of the materials.

- ACTION: Ms. Tacheny moved that the State Board approve the request in Item W-11, but only to the extent of the additional percentage of funds reflected in the petition for the



FINAL MINUTES  
State Board of Education  
June 26-27 2002

purchase of *Everyday Mathematics*, grades K-3, c. 2001. Mrs. Joseph seconded the motion. The motion was approved by unanimous vote of the members present.

President Hastings asked if the Board would consider acting on Superintendent Eastin's recommendation to approve the petition for grades 4-6, the 2002 edition of *Everyday Mathematics*.

- MOTION DIES FOR LACK OF SECOND: Ms. Goncalves moved that the State Board approve the request in Item W-11 to the extent of the additional percentage of funds reflected in the petition for the purchase of *Everyday Mathematics*, grades 4-6, c. 2002. The motion died for lack of a second.
- ACTION: With respect to the request in Item W-11, Mrs. Joseph moved that the State Board request that the content of *Everyday Mathematics*, grades 4-6, c. 2002, be reviewed by the Curriculum Commission chair, or her designee, with assistance from mathematics content experts, teachers, school administrators, and other parties as may be necessary, consistent with the process outlined in the State Board's waiver guidelines for the Schiff-Bustamante Standards-Based Instructional Materials Program (*Exception for Mathematics*). The motion recognized the possibility that the content review might be completed in time for a report to be made to the State Board at the special meeting planned for late-July. Under those circumstances, further consideration of that portion of the request in Item W-11 would be authorized for inclusion on the agenda for the special meeting. Mr. Abernethy seconded the motion. The motion was approved by unanimous vote of the members present.

RESOURCE SPECIALIST CASELOAD

ITEM W-23	Request by Raisin City School District to waive <i>Education Code</i> (EC) Section 56362(c), which allows the district to exceed the maximum caseload of 28 students (but not more than 32) for each resource teacher, Angelica Creek assigned at Raisin City School. CDSIS-60-5-2002 (Recommended for DENIAL)	ACTION
-----------	--	--------

Ms. Pinegar explained that the resource teacher had incorrectly marked the box stating she disapproved of the increased caseload when she had intended to state her agreement to the increase. Based on the correct information from the teacher, the Department is recommending that the Board approve this waiver with the conditions that the caseload not exceed 32 students and an instructional aide assists the teacher.

- ACTION: Mr. Nuñez moved that the State Board approve the request in Item W-23 in accordance with the revised recommendation of CDE staff, including the conditions recommended by CDE staff. Mr. Abernethy seconded the motion. The motion was approved by unanimous vote of the members present.

FINAL MINUTES  
State Board of Education  
June 26-27 2002

ITEM W-24	Request by Fillmore Unified School District to waive <i>Education Code</i> (EC) Section 56362(c), which allows the district to exceed the maximum caseload of 28 students (but not more than 32) for each resource specialist, Patricia Hopkins, Janey Brunton Munoz and Maureen Speakman, assigned at Fillmore Middle School, Steve Miech assigned at San Cayetano Elementary School, and Dianne Grant assigned at Sespe Elementary School. CDSIS-40-5-2002 (Recommended for DENIAL)	ACTION
-----------	---	--------

Ms. Pinegar reported that there is opposition to the increased caseload.

- ACTION: Mr. Nuñez moved that the State Board deny the request in Item W-24 in accordance with the recommendation of CDE staff. Mr. Abernethy seconded the motion. The motion was approved by unanimous vote of the members present.

STATE MEAL MANDATE (Summer School Session)

ITEM W-31	Renewal requests by 11 districts to waive <i>Education Code</i> Section 49550, the State Meal Mandate to serve meals to each needy child during the summer school session. (See attached list) (Recommended for APPROVAL WITH CONDITIONS)	ACTION
-----------	---	--------

Ms. Pinegar commented that this is the first time she has seen a staff recommendation to deny state meal mandate waivers. She noted that the districts did not meet the required two-out-of-four criteria for approval and that special consideration was being given due to the late timing of the requests. Ms. Pinegar stated that the Department is recommending approval on the condition that the districts make any renewal requests to the Department for the summer of 2003 by February 15, 2003, so the Board can act on the requests at its April meeting. Waiver requests for the summer of 2003 from any of the 11 districts that do not meet this deadline will be automatically recommended for denial.

- ACTION: Mr. Nuñez moved that the State Board approve the requests in Item W-31 in accordance with the recommendations of CDE staff. The motion included the condition (as revised) recommended by CDE staff pertaining to the submission of renewal requests (for summer 2003) by any or all of the 11 school districts whose requests are included in this item. Mrs. Joseph seconded the motion. The motion was approved by unanimous vote of the members present.

ITEM 37	California School Information Services (CSIS) Data Dictionary Version 4.0.	INFORMATION
---------	--	-------------

Kathleen Barfield, Data Management Office, drew the Board's attention to a one-page list of

FINAL MINUTES  
State Board of Education  
June 26-27 2002

typographical errors. [Attachment 15: CSIS Typographical Errors.]

Bob Friedman, CSIS Director, provided a brief update on the progress of California School Information Services. He reported that the Los Angeles Unified School District is ready to implement CSIS. He noted that the funding has been reduced from \$11 million to \$7 million.

President Hastings thanked Mr. Friedman for the great work on CSIS.

**Adjournment of Meeting:** President Hastings adjourned the meeting at 2:40 p.m.