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Session Goals

• Background
• Stakeholder Engagement Requirements
• Stakeholder Engagement Section in the Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP)
• Considerations for meaningful stakeholder engagement
Background

FOUNDATIONAL PRINCIPLES AND FRAMING
Foundational Principles of LCFF

• Subsidiarity
  ◦ A principle of social organization that holds that social and political issues should be dealt with at the most immediate level
  ◦ Necessitates transparency and collaboration with the community

• Equity
  ◦ Additional funding to address specific identified needs of students who are low income, English learners, and/or foster youth
  ◦ Requirement to Increase or Improve Services in proportion to the increase in funding

• Continuous Improvement
Flexibility to Ensure Student Success

• LCFF provides for an increased level of local flexibility to determine which programs and/or services have the greatest likelihood of ensuring that each student will succeed in relation to each of the eight LCFF state priorities.

• In exchange for this flexibility, the LCFF model requires greater local responsibility for selecting appropriate and effective programs.

• This necessitates transparency and engaging stakeholders in analysis and decision-making.
Framing the LCAP

The LCAP development process serves three distinct, but related functions:

• Comprehensive Strategic Planning
• Meaningful Stakeholder Engagement
• Accountability and Compliance
Meaningful Stakeholder Engagement

• The LCAP development process should result in an LCAP that reflects decisions made through meaningful stakeholder engagement.

• Local stakeholders possess valuable perspectives and insights about an LEA's programs and services.

• Effective strategic planning will incorporate these perspectives and insights in order to identify potential goals and actions to be included in the LCAP.
Requirements

REQUIREMENTS FOR STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT IN DEVELOPING THE PLAN
Reminders

• The prompts in the LCAP Template are required to be written in a way that is understandable and accessible to parents.

• The Instructions provide technical information for local educational agencies (LEAs) to complete the template properly.
  ◦ Instructions have the full force of the law and supersede the prompts.
  ◦ LCAP approval criteria include adherence to the template
  ◦ Instructions are part of the template and must be included when posting the LCAP
Statute defines the floor, not the ceiling.
Stakeholder Engagement Process

- Consultation
- Advisory Committees (Districts and COEs)
- Review of School Plans (Districts and COEs)
- Consult with SELPA (Districts and COEs)
- Public Hearing (Input)
- Public Meeting (Adoption)
- Adopted LCAP

*See Addendum 1 for descriptive text*
### Consultation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SCHOOL DISTRICTS AND COES</th>
<th>CHARTER SCHOOLS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• teachers,</td>
<td>• teachers,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• principals,</td>
<td>• principals,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• administrators,</td>
<td>• administrators,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• other school personnel,</td>
<td>• other school personnel,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• local bargaining units,</td>
<td>• parents, and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• parents, and</td>
<td>• pupils</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• pupils</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Student Consultation

• To consult with pupils means a process to enable pupils, including unduplicated pupils and other numerically significant pupil subgroups, to review and comment on the development of the LCAP.

• This process may include surveys of pupils, forums with pupils, pupil advisory committees, or meetings with pupil government bodies or other groups representing pupils.
Advisory Committees

The governing board of the school district or county office of education (COE) must establish a parent advisory committee.

- The committee is a committee of parents, including parents of low income, English learner, or foster youth students.

If the enrollment of the school district or COE includes at least 15% English learners and the school district or COE enrols at least 50 pupils who are English learners the school district or COE must establish an English learner parent advisory committee.
Input From Advisory Committees

Before the governing board of a school district or county office of education considers the adoption of the LCAP the superintendent of the district or county superintendent of schools must:

• Present the LCAP or annual update to the LCAP to the parent advisory committee and the English learner parent advisory committee, as applicable, for review and comment; and

• Respond, in writing, to comments received from the advisory committees.
Presenting the LCAP to Advisory Committees During the Pandemic

The method of LCAP presentation to Advisory Committees is a local decision made in consultation with the LEA’s stakeholders.

◦ LEA’s may present the LCAP and invite stakeholders to provide feedback in a non-meeting setting (e.g. surveys, mailed letters, emails, etc.).

◦ If an LEA chooses to present the LCAP in a meeting, Executive Order N-29-20 allows for meetings to take place virtually while the state is under restrictions for in-person gatherings.
Public Opportunity to Submit Written Comment

• School districts and COEs are required to provide members of the public with the opportunity to submit written comments regarding the actions and expenditures proposed in the LCAP.

• Notifications should be made using the most efficient method of notification possible.

• School districts and COEs are required to ensure that written notifications are translated into the primary language of any student population that make up 15% or more of the LEA’s students who speak a primary language other than English.
Review of School Plans

• A school district or COE shall review all School Plans for Student Achievement (SPSA) for schools within the district or COE to ensure that the specific actions included in the LCAP are consistent with strategies included in the schools’ SPSAs.
Consultation With SELPA

A school district or COE is required to consult with its Special Education Local Plan Area (SELPA) administrator(s) to:

• Determine that specific actions for individuals with exceptional needs (i.e. Students with Disabilities) are included in the LCAP; and

• Are consistent with strategies included in the annual assurances support plan for the education of individuals with exceptional needs.
Public Hearing (Prior to Adoption)

• Before the LEA considers adoption of the LCAP or an annual update to the LCAP, the LEA shall:

• Hold at least one public hearing to solicit the recommendations and comments of members of the public regarding the specific actions and expenditures proposed to be included in the LCAP or annual update to the LCAP.

  ◦ The agenda for the public hearing must be posted at least 72 hours in advance and must include the location where the LCAP will be available for public inspection.
Public Meeting (i.e. Adoption)

- A governing board of an LEA must adopt the LCAP or an annual update to the LCAP in a public meeting.

- This meeting shall be held after, but not on the same day as, the public hearing (discussed in the previous slide).

- This meeting must be the same meeting as that during which the governing board of the school district/COE adopts a budget.
  - This is also the same meeting at which an LEA reports the California School Dashboard local indicators.
The Plan Summary
A well-developed Plan Summary section provides a meaningful context for the LCAP.

- This section provides information about an LEA’s community as well as relevant information about student needs and performance.
- In order to provide a meaningful context for the rest of the LCAP, the content of this section should be clearly and meaningfully related to the content included in the subsequent sections of the LCAP.
Reflections: Successes and Identified Needs for the 2021–2022 LCAP

• For the 2021–22 LCAP reflections on successes and identification of needs should be based on a review of available state and local data, including input from stakeholders (teachers, principals, administrators, other school personnel, local bargaining units*, parents, and pupils).

• Data should demonstrate both what the successes were and what the identified needs were.

*Note: Charter schools are not required to consult with local bargaining units
Reflections: Identified Needs for the 2021–22 LCAP

• Based on stakeholder input and analysis of available data, the LEA reports on its areas of opportunity and explains how it plans to address identified needs of its pupil populations.
  ◦ This includes identifying specific needs of the LEA’s foster youth, English learners, and low-income students, and how the LEA plans to address these areas of need.
Reflections: Identified Need (1)

• Referring to the Dashboard, an LEA will identify:
  ◦ any state indicator for which overall performance was in the “Red” or “Orange” performance category or any local indicator where the LEA received a “Not Met” or “Not Met for Two or More Years” rating AND
  ◦ any state indicator for which performance for any student group was two or more performance levels below the “all student” performance.
Reflections: Identified Need (2)

• LEA identifies the steps that are being planned to take to address these areas of low performance and performance gaps.

• Other needs may be identified using locally collected data including data collected to inform the self-reflection tools and reporting local indicators on the Dashboard.
The LCAP

STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT SECTION
Purpose (1)

• Significant and purposeful engagement of parents, students, educators, and other stakeholders, including those representing the student groups identified by LCFF, is critical to the development of the LCAP and the budget process.

• Consistent with statute, such stakeholder engagement should support comprehensive strategic planning, accountability, and improvement across the state priorities and locally identified priorities (EC 52064(e)(1)).

• Stakeholder engagement is an ongoing, annual process.
Purpose (2)

• This section is designed to reflect how stakeholder engagement influenced the decisions reflected in the adopted LCAP.

• The goal is to allow stakeholders that participated in the LCAP development process and the broader public understand how the LEA engaged stakeholders and the impact of that engagement.

• LEAs are encouraged to keep this goal in the forefront when completing this section.
Prompt 1

A summary of the stakeholder process and how the stakeholder engagement was considered before finalizing the LCAP

Instructions

• Describe the stakeholder engagement process used by the LEA to involve stakeholders in the development of the LCAP, including, at a minimum, describing how the LEA met its obligation to consult with all statutorily required stakeholder groups as applicable to the type of LEA.

• A sufficient response must include general information about the timeline of the process and meetings or other engagement strategies with stakeholders. A response may also include information about an LEA’s philosophical approach to stakeholder engagement.
Summary of Feedback

Prompt 2  A summary of the feedback provided by specific stakeholder groups

Instructions  • Describe and summarize the stakeholder feedback provided by specific stakeholders.

• A sufficient response to this prompt will indicate ideas, trends, or inputs that emerged from an analysis of the feedback received from stakeholders.
Prompt 3:
A description of the aspects of the LCAP that were influenced by specific stakeholder input.

Instructions:
• A sufficient response to this prompt will provide stakeholders and the public clear, specific information about how the stakeholder engagement process influenced the development of the LCAP.

• The response must describe aspects of the LCAP that were influenced by or developed in response to the stakeholder feedback described in response to Prompt 2. This may include a description of how the LEA prioritized stakeholder requests within the context of the budgetary resources available or otherwise prioritized areas of focus within the LCAP.
Description of Aspects (2)

For the purposes of this prompt, “aspects” of an LCAP that may have been influenced by stakeholder input can include, but are not necessarily limited to:

- Inclusion of a goal or decision to pursue a Focus Goal
- Inclusion of metrics other than the statutorily required required metrics
- Determination of the desired outcome on one or more metrics
- Inclusion of performance by one or more student groups in the Measuring and Reporting Results subsection
- Inclusion of action(s) or a group of actions
- Elimination of action(s) or group of actions
- Changes to the level of proposed expenditures for one or more actions
For the purposes of this prompt, “aspects” of an LCAP that may have been influenced by stakeholder input can include, but are not necessarily limited to:

- Inclusion of action(s) as contributing to increased or improved services for unduplicated services
- Determination of effectiveness of the specific actions to achieve the goal
- Determination of material differences in expenditures
- Determination of changes made to a goal for the ensuing LCAP year based on the annual update process
- Determination of challenges or successes in the implementation of actions
Stakeholder Engagement

CONSIDERATIONS
Benefits of Engaging Stakeholders

WHY?
Engaging stakeholders is not only a requirement; research has identified it as a key practice of effective LEAs.

MANY POTENTIAL BENEFITS
• Better understanding of current needs and possible solutions
• More informed decision-making
• Greater likelihood of positive outcome given access to broader input and information
• Greater trust
• Stronger and longer lasting partnerships
The Dual Capacity-Building Framework, for Family-School Partnerships, Version 2


https://www.dualcapacity.org/
Planning (1)

• As LEAs plan for future stakeholder engagement activities, they may consider various strategies for telling their local stakeholder engagement story.

• Please note that it is important to know the needs of the local stakeholder engagement community in designing the approach that will work best for each LEA.
Planning (2)

In general, LEAs are encouraged to consider developing approaches that will promote:

• Inclusiveness, Accessibility and Equity
• Strong stakeholder relationships (focus on building respectful, collaborative and trusting relationships)
• Partnerships to support improved student outcomes
• Dual capacity building for meaningful stakeholder engagement
• Transparency
• Meaningful engagement in the LCAP development process
Strategies: Continuous Improvement

• Reflect upon prior stakeholder engagement approaches and practices.
• Understand needs and interests of each stakeholder group.
• Ask for feedback to improve engagement efforts/outcomes over time.
• Identify strategies that have worked well and areas for possible improvement.
• Update stakeholder engagement plans/practices based on requirements, data, information, reflection, needs, resources, etc.
Strategies: Systems/Structures

• Dedicate resources and staff to support stakeholder engagement.

• Dedicate time for collaboration.

• Develop practices to embed stakeholder engagement into the “fabric” of the LEA vs “one-time engagement events.”

• Establish partnerships with community based organizations to support student learning/development.
Strategies: Conditions and Climate

- Communicate early, often using multiple formats/strategies
- Create and maintain welcoming environments where all stakeholders are valued.
- Understand the languages and cultures of student groups.
- Build trust, relationships, and collaboration.
- Promote understanding of content to be discussed.
- Clearly reflect how the stakeholder engagement process influenced the development of the LCAP.
Strategies: Knowledge and Capacity

• Support staff capacity for stakeholder engagement

• Implement strategies to build staff capacity (e.g. stakeholder engagement, facilitation, cultural competency, facilitating data conversations, etc.).

• Provide training to administrators and staff in planning and facilitating stakeholder engagement sessions.

• Support the development of stakeholder capacity so that stakeholders may take a more active role in the stakeholder engagement process.
Strategies: Participation and Representation

• Consider potential barriers to stakeholder engagement and possible options for addressing those barriers:
  ◦ Hold meetings at varying times during the day.
  ◦ Holding multiple meetings in various places, rather than only in the LEA headquarters.
  ◦ Hold meetings in multiple formats

• Implement a communication strategy that uses multiple methods, avenues and opportunities to reach stakeholders, including those who may be difficult to reach and underrepresented.
Strategies: Meaningful Engagement

• Provide stakeholders with information regarding data and concepts/content in a clear, understandable manner.

• Allow time for information to be reviewed, translated and made accessible.

• Provide accommodations and supports to ensure meetings or hearings are accessible (e.g. materials in alternative formats for use by persons with disabilities).

• Allow all stakeholders who are participating in meetings or hearings to provide substantive input.
Closing Thoughts
Trusting Relationships Are Key

• Subsidiarity will not work without trusting relationships
  ◦ Trusting relationships must be developed and maintained
    ◦ Respect
    ◦ Honesty
    ◦ Openness
    ◦ Being responsive
The Through-line

Process and Opportunities to Engage

*See Addendum 2 for descriptive text

Input Provided

Descriptions in the Plan Summary

Descriptions in the Stakeholder Engagement Section

Goals, Metrics, and Actions in the LCAP

*See Addendum 2 for descriptive text
Resources (1)

The following list provides selected resources that LEAs may find useful or informative in engaging stakeholders or when considering their stakeholder engagement strategy.


Resources (2)


Resources (3)


Resources (4)


Resources (5)


Resources (6)

• California Department of Education’s Connecting with Stakeholders web page available at https://www.cde.ca.gov/qs/vi/stakeholders.asp


Resources (7)


Resources (8)

Upcoming Webinars

TUESDAYS @ 2

• 2/2: LCAP and Annual Update Templates and Instructions for the 2021-22 School Year
• 2/9: Developing Focus Goals and Maintenance Goals for the LCAP

THURSDAYS @ 3

• 1/28: Data and the LCAP
• 2/4: Developing Broad Goals for the LCAP
• 2/11: The CA School Dashboard Local Indicator Process for 2021-22
Questions

If you have any questions, please contact the Local Agency Systems Support Office at LCFF@cde.ca.gov

Tuesdays @ 2 webpage:
https://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/tuesdaysat2.asp
Addendum 1

Process diagram of 4 light green rectangles stacked vertically labeled with Consultation, Advisory Committees (Districts and COEs), Review of School Plans (Districts and COEs), and Consult with SELPA (Districts and COEs). Then 3 rectangles (colored dark blue, dark brown, and fuchsia) linked vertically to the right of the initial 4 rectangles, are labeled as follows: Public Hearing (Input), Public Meeting (Adoption), and Adopted LCAP.
Addendum 2

A large arrow pointing from left to right with 5 colored circles (blue, aqua green, green, olive green, and brown) in the middle that illustrates how the process should be implemented in chronological order. First is “Process and Opportunities to Engage”. Second dot is “Input Provided”. Third dot is “Descriptions in the Plan Summary”. Fourth dot is “Descriptions in the Stakeholder Engagement Section”. Fifth dot is “Goals, Metrics, and Actions in the LCAP”.