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## Acronyms and Initialisms Used in the CAA Technical Report

| AA-AAS | Alternate Achievement Standards |
| :--- | :--- |
| AIS | average item score |
| AU | autism |
| CAAs | California Alternate Assessments |
| CAASPP | California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress |
| CCCs | core content connectors |
| CCSS | Common Core State Standards |
| CDE | California Department of Education |
| CR | constructed response |
| DFA | Directions for Administration |
| DIF | differential item functioning |
| ELA | English language arts |
| ETS | Educational Testing Service |
| ID | intellectual disability |
| IDP | Item Development Plan |
| IEP | individualized education program |
| IRT | item response theory |
| LCI | Learner Characteristics Inventory |
| LEA | local educational agency |
| MC | multiple choice |
| MH | Mantel-Haenszel |
| MS | multiple select |
| OHI | other health impairment |
| SD | standard deviation |
| SLD | specific learning disability |
| SLI | speech or language impairment |
| SMD | standardized mean difference |
| SR | selected response |
| SRC | student response check |
| SS | single select |
| ZN | zone |

## Chapter 1: Introduction

## Overview

The California Alternate Assessments (CAAs) are based on alternate achievement standards (AA-AAS) aligned with the Common Core State Standards (CCSS) for students with significant cognitive disabilities. The goal of the alternate assessment is to ensure that students with significant cognitive disabilities achieve increasingly higher academic outcomes and leave high school ready for post-secondary options. The alternate assessments are part of the California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress (CAASPP) System.
The goal of the CAASPP System is to provide assessments that can assist teachers, administrators, students and parents/guardians with a better understanding of college and career readiness. Further, the system supports this objective by promoting high-quality teaching and learning through the use of a variety of assessment approaches and item types. The assessments, where applicable and valid, produce scores that can be aggregated and disaggregated for the purposes of federal and state accountability. As a component of the CAASPP System, overall responsibility for CAASPP expansion activities, including the development, management, and administration of the CAAs to every eligible student in California, were assigned to Educational Testing Service (ETS), the CAASPP contractor, with oversight from the California Department of Education (CDE).
ETS administered the new CAAs for the first time in spring 2015 for English language arts (ELA) and mathematics during the 2014-15 California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress (CAASPP) administration to all students in grades three through eight and grade eleven with a significant cognitive disability. A goal of this first year of testing was to try out new computer-enabled items that had not yet been presented to this student population in California.
CAAs rely on online, computer-based technology for registration and test administration. Test items were aligned with the CCSS and were based on the Core Content Connectors (CCCs) that were developed with three tiers of complexity. The 2014-15 CAAs consisted of approximately 15 items in each content area and were anticipated to take 45 to 60 minutes per content area. The tests are not aligned with the CAA test blueprint because the State Board of Education adopted the blueprints after the 2014-15 items and forms were developed.

The first year of CAA testing examined the performance of test items and did not yield any individual score reports. The purpose of this technical report is to summarize the procedures and findings of the 2014-15 CAA analyses.

## Intended Population

The CAAs for English language arts (ELA) and mathematics were administered to students in grades three through eight and grade eleven whose individualized education program teams had determined that the student's cognitive disabilities prevented him or her from taking the online CAASPP Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments. This population of students has, in previous years, been assigned to take the California Alternate Performance Assessment for ELA and mathematics.

Parents had the option to submit a request to have their child exempted from taking the CAAs (or any or all parts of the tests within the CAASPP System).

## Testing Window and Times

The CAAs were administered between April 15 and June 10, 2015.
The CAA tests were untimed. This assessment was administered individually and the testing time varied from one student to another, based on factors such as the student's response time and attention span.

## Overview of the Final Report

This report describes the characteristics of the CAAs administered in April through June 2015 and summarizes the findings in the following additional chapters:

- Chapter 2 presents the assessment design, item types, and item development specifications that were used. Tables that contain the Item Development Plan for the ELA and mathematics assessments are included in Appendix A.
- Chapter 3 summarizes the results of the 2014-15 test administration. It includes qualitative observations and the results of quantitative analyses. Tables that provide more detailed information in support of the analyses in Chapter 3 are included in appendixes $B$ through $G$ at the end of the document.
- Chapter 4 highlights the findings and implications for future administrations.

Each chapter contains summary tables within the body of the text.

## Chapter 2: Item Development

## Overview

Educational Testing Service (ETS) developed approximately 15 items per grade in English language arts (ELA) and mathematics for grades three through eight and grade eleven for the first year of the California Alternate Assessments (CAA). The items were designed to be engaging for the target student population and represented a variety of item types. Some items required a test examiner to apply a rubric to evaluate the student's response during test administration; in some instances, the teacher needed to actually click on or otherwise select the response indicated by the student via eye gaze, gesture, or other means. Other items were completed by students independently.
The majority of the items were written to stimuli (e.g., graphic, text, passage, video, and audio) as tiered item sets. The tiers, or levels, are Tier 1 (low complexity), Tier 2 (medium complexity), and Tier 3 (high complexity). A few items were written as untiered, meaning the item may be a discrete item or tied to a stimulus but only written to one level. Figure 2.1 below demonstrates the tiered and untiered approach for the ELA and mathematics assessments.


Figure 2.1 Item development plan

## Assessment Design

The CAAs are structured to require one-on-one administration by a test examiner and may require items be read to the student. Students who are able may select responses using a mouse, touchscreen, or other supported input device. In some cases during test administration, students needed to use other modes of communication to indicate responses to the test examiner. The test examiner entered these responses into the testing device for the student.

## Item Development Plan

Table A. 1 on page 27 shows the target number of ELA items to be developed by standard for 2014-15 testing; Table A. 2 on page 40 shows the target number of items for mathematics. The 2015 Item Development Plan (IDP) for ELA was based on passage development. Once passages were reviewed, revisions to the IDP were required to accommodate CCC standards that can be supported by finalized passage content.

## Item Types

ETS intended to use a variety of technology-enabled item types that required the student, or the test examiner on behalf of the student, to respond to a question in ways different from typical selected-response items. In addition to technology-enabled items, constructed response (CR) items were also used in the assessment. They were items which required students to type in a text box or read out loud. Assessment developers considered the standard being assessed as well as the cognitive complexity to target when selecting the item type to use.
The following technology-enhanced item types were included in the 2014-15 CAAs. All item types were designed to be machine scorable, except for a small subset of CR items scored by the test examiner using provided rubrics. Table 2.1 provides a detailed description of the technology enhanced items used.

- Multiple choice (MC) (single select and multiple select)
- Inline choice list (Single select and multiple select)
- Fraction
- Numeric
- Zone (single select and multiple select)
- Bar graph (single select and multiple select)
- Grid single select
- Match (single select and multiple select)

Table 2.1 Item Types for the 2014-15 CAAs

| Item Type/ <br> Response Type |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| MC | Multiple choice <br> single select | Item that generally consists of a stem and list of choices; test taker can select <br> only one choice to respond. May also include a stimulus. |
| MC | Multiple choice <br> multiple select | Item that generally consists of a stem and list of choices; test taker can select <br> one or more choices to respond. May also include a stimulus. |
| MC | Inline choice list <br> single select | The stem contains a single blank, and the test taker must fill the blank by <br> selecting a choice from its corresponding choice list. |


| Item Typel Response Type |  | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MC | Inline choice list multiple select | The stem contains two or more blanks, and test taker must fill each blank by selecting a choice from the corresponding choice lists. |
| Short CR | Fraction | The test taker responds by filling in the numerator and denominator of a fraction. |
| Short CR | Numeric | The test taker responds by filling in a single entry box with a numeric value. The entry box may be standalone, in line with text, or displayed on top of an image. |
| MC | Grid single select * | Test taker responds by marking a single cell in a table grid. |
| Hot Spot | Zones single select | Item where the answer choices are predefined "hotspots" on an image. When the test taker selects (clicks) on the spot, the selection is highlighted, shaded, or outlined in red. The test taker selects one zone to respond. |
| Hot Spot | Zone multiple select * | Item where the answer choices are predefined "hotspots" on an image. When the test taker selects (clicks) on the spot, the selection is highlighted, shaded, or outlined in red. The test taker selects two or more zones to respond. |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Drag } \\ & \& \\ & \text { Drop } \end{aligned}$ | Match single select * | The test taker responds by dragging and dropping a single choice ("source") into the appropriate location ("target"). For the CAA items, students do not drag items, they simply select (click) the source and then the target area, and the source snaps to the target area. <br> There are four main varieties of this item type: <br> - Target Table-text-based sources with targets arranged in table structure <br> - Target Passage-text-based sources with targets arranged in paragraphs of text <br> - Target Positions-text-based sources with targets arranged on top of an image <br> - Image Map-image-based sources, and both sources and targets are arranged on top of an image |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Drag } \\ & \& \\ & \text { Drop } \end{aligned}$ | Match multiple select * | The test taker responds by dragging and dropping two or more choices ("sources") into the appropriate locations ("targets"). For the CAA items, students do not drag items, they simply select (click) the source and then the target area, and the source snaps to the target area. <br> There are four main varieties: <br> 1. Target Table-text-based sources with targets arranged in table structure <br> 2. Target Passage-text-based sources with targets arranged in paragraphs of text <br> 3. Target Positions-text-based sources with targets arranged on top of an image <br> 4. Image Map-image-based sources, and both sources and targets are arranged on top of an image <br> These varieties allow for following scenarios: <br> - Exact matching (i.e., ordering) <br> - Sources correctly placed in multiple different targets <br> - Reuse sources <br> - Reuse targets <br> - Partial scoring |
| Short CR | Bar graph single select * | The test taker responds by manipulating a single bar on a graph. Bars can be solid or consist of stacked icons (e.g., dollar signs representing money, stick figures representing people, etc.). Bars can be horizontally or vertically oriented. |


| Item Type/ <br> Response Type |  | Description |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Short <br> CR |  |  |
| Bar graph multiple <br> select * |  |  |
| The test taker responds by manipulating two or more bars on a graph. Bars can <br> be solid or consist of stacked icons (e.g., dollar signs representing money, stick <br> figures representing people, etc.). Bars can be horizontally or vertically <br> oriented. |  |  |
| * indicates technology-enhanced items |  |  |

ETS incorporated the following media into item stimuli. In each case, the media were used if a Core Content Connector (CCC) made it necessary to present the item content in one of the following modes.

- Video-no more than 30 seconds and no more than 10 total videos
- Audio-no more than 30 seconds and no more than 15 total audio files
- Animation-no more than 30 seconds and no more than 10 total animations

No items were developed that require human scoring by ETS. All technology-enabled items were machine scored. For constructed-response items, item-specific rubrics were also developed and included in the Directions for Administration to be used by the test examiner for rating a student's response. All rubric-based scoring/rating was done by the test examiner during test administration.

## Test Assembly and Administration

The CAAs were made available to all eligible students with significant cognitive disabilities in grades three through eight and grade eleven. There were three forms in each content area and grade containing the same items but ordered differently to vary the order in which different students received the items. Item orders within item sets were the same but the item sets were ordered differently among the three forms. ETS administered all three forms to the target student population per grade and content area; therefore, there was no student sampling. Tiered and untiered items associated with the same stimulus were administered as a set.

The 2014-15 CAAs consisted of approximately 15 items in each content area. These tests are not aligned with the CAA test blueprint. ETS provided the California Department of Education (CDE) with an opportunity to preview items before the start of testing.

The CAAs were available online only. No paper, large-print, or braille versions of the 201415 CAAs were produced.

ETS estimates that the time it takes a test examiner to read the tiered items to the student and then for the student to respond can vary by a few minutes. The length of the stimulus and the speed at which a student responds to different item types can vary. The estimated duration for 2014-15 testing was 45 to 60 minutes per content area, but it was expected some students may need more time. Test examiners were instructed to administer the tests in sessions appropriate in length for each student within the specified testing window.
ETS also developed instructions for test administration and necessary ancillary documentation consisting of a nonsecure CAA Field Test Administration Manual and secure, grade-specific Directions for Administration for the assessments. Both were provided electronically, but test examiners were given the option of printing documents as needed. These documents were submitted to the CDE for review and approval.

## Chapter 3: Summary Statistics for the 201415 Administration

## Overview

This chapter includes both qualitative observations and results from quantitative analyses for the 2014-15 California Alternate Assessments (CAAs) administration. Educational Testing Service (ETS) conducted qualitative observations of the 2014-15 administration in selected local educational agencies (LEAs) in northern and southern California. Specifically, the following topics are summarized in this chapter:

1. qualitative observations findings,
2. optional Learner Characteristic Inventory (LCI) results,
3. Student Response Checks (SRC) results completed by the test examiners,
4. characteristics of the student samples used in the statistical analyses, and
5. item level statistics obtained including classical item analyses and differential item functioning (DIF) results.

## Qualitative Observations

Observations for the English language arts (ELA) administrations were completed in Riverside, Encinitas, and Chula Vista unified school districts. Observations for the mathematics administrations were completed in the Butte County Office of Education, Sacramento City, and San Juan Unified school districts. For both subject areas, the classrooms observed were self-contained and where the student disabilities ranged from mild to moderate to severe.

For both sets of observations, issues related to the Directions for Administration (DFA) emerged. Test examiners appeared to not be aware of the importance of the DFA and did not understand that the DFA is an integral part of the test administration. Various nonstandardized test administration practices were observed when both the ELA and mathematics assessments were given. Administering the online test alone, without the support of the DFA, undermined the standardized nature of the test administrations. Detailed observation notes are presented in Appendix B. Appropriate measures are being taken for subsequent CAA administration years to emphasize the critical nature of the DFA.

## Learner Characteristic Inventory (LCI)

For the 2014-15 administration, an optional LCI was available within the CAA online testing interface for each student. The LCI questions were to be answered by the CAA test examiner before the start of ELA and mathematics testing for each student. Responses were based on the test examiner's familiarity with that student. The information collected was designed to help test developers improve future assessment development.
For the 2014-15 CAAs, ten learner characteristics were assessed:

1. Expressive communication profile
2. Augmentative and alternative communication use
3. Receptive communication profile
4. Vision
5. Hearing
6. Motor function
7. Engagement
8. Health issues/Attendance
9. Reading proficiency
10. Mathematics proficiency

The LCI was optional and was completed by the CAA test examiners. Appendix C presents frequency counts for each content and grade and shows fairly consistent response rates. In general, approximately 60 percent of student test records included LCl responses for either ELA or mathematics. Table 3.1 shows a summary of the LCI response rates for all students.

Table 3.1 Summary of LCl for All Students

| LCI | ELA | Mathematics |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | \% | N | \% |
| Expressive Communication (check the one that best describes this student) |  |  |  |  |
| o Uses symbolic language to communicate: Student uses verbal or written words, signs, braille, or language-based augmentative systems to request, initiate, and respond to questions, describe things or events, and express refusal. | 11,898 | 46\% | 11,667 | 47\% |
| o Uses intentional communication, but not at a symbolic language level: Student uses understandable communication through such modes as gestures, pictures, objects/textures, points, etc., to clearly express a variety of intentions. | 3,651 | 14\% | 3,470 | 14\% |
| o Student communicates primarily through cries, facial expressions, change in muscle tone, etc., but no clear use of objects/textures, regularized gestures, pictures, signs, etc., to communicate. | 766 | 3\% | 599 | 2\% |
| No responses | 9,286 | 36\% | 9,016 | 36\% |
| Does this student use an augmentative communication system in addition to or in place of oral speech? |  |  |  |  |
| - Yes | 2,374 | 9\% | 2,184 | 9\% |
| - No | 13,909 | 54\% | 13,518 | 55\% |
| No responses | 9,318 | 36\% | 9,050 | 37\% |
| Receptive Language (check the one that best describes this student) |  |  |  |  |
| - Independently follows 1-2 step directions presented through words (e.g. words may be spoken, signed, printed, or any combination) and does NOT need additional cues. | 8,607 | 34\% | 8,453 | 34\% |
| o Requires additional cues (e.g., gestures, pictures, objects, or demonstrations/models) to follow 1-2 step directions. | 6,728 | 26\% | 6,475 | 26\% |
| o Alerts to sensory input from another person (auditory, visual, touch, movement) BUT requires actual physical assistance to follow simple directions. | 758 | 3\% | 642 | 3\% |
| o Uncertain response to sensory stimuli (e.g., sound/voice; sight/gesture; touch; movement; smell). | 169 | 1\% | 108 | 0\% |
| No responses | 9,339 | 36\% | 9,074 | 37\% |


| LCI | ELA |  | Mathematics |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | \% | N | \% |
| Vision (check the one that best describes this student) |  |  |  |  |
| o Vision within normal limits. | 12,198 | 48\% | 11,792 | 48\% |
| o Corrected vision within normal limits. | 3,452 | 13\% | 3,363 | 14\% |
| o Low vision; uses vision for some activities of daily living. | 475 | 2\% | 426 | 2\% |
| o No functional use of vision for activities of daily living, or unable to determine functional use of vision. | 151 | 1\% | 109 | 0\% |
| No responses | 9,325 | 36\% | 9,062 | 37\% |
| Hearing (check the one that best describes this student) |  |  |  |  |
| o Hearing within normal limits. | 15,446 | 60\% | 14,915 | 60\% |
| o Corrected hearing loss within normal limits. | 289 | 1\% | 288 | 1\% |
| o Hearing loss aided, but still with a significant loss. | 239 | 1\% | 234 | 1\% |
| o Profound loss, even with aids. | 132 | 1\% | 132 | 1\% |
| o Unable to determine functional use of hearing. | 176 | 1\% | 126 | 1\% |
| No responses | 9,319 | 36\% | 9,057 | 37\% |
| Motor (check the one that best describes this student) |  |  |  |  |
| o No significant motor dysfunction that requires adaptations. | 14,632 | 57\% | 14,244 | 58\% |
| o Requires adaptations to support motor functioning (e.g., walker, adapted utensils, and/or keyboard). | 848 | 3\% | 788 | 3\% |
| o Uses wheelchair, positioning equipment, and/or assistive devices for most activities. | 420 | 2\% | 376 | 2\% |
| - Needs personal assistance for most/all motor activities. | 365 | 1\% | 275 | 1\% |
| No responses | 9,336 | 36\% | 9,069 | 37\% |
| Engagement (check the one that best describes this student) |  |  |  |  |
| o Initiates and sustains social interactions. | 9,291 | 36\% | 9,112 | 37\% |
| o Responds with social interaction, but does not initiate or sustain social interactions. | 5,807 | 23\% | 5,539 | 22\% |
| - Alerts to others. | 1,000 | 4\% | 888 | 4\% |
| o Does not alert to others. | 173 | 1\% | 146 | 1\% |
| No responses | 9,330 | 36\% | 9,067 | 37\% |
| Health Issues/Attendance (check the one that best describes this student) |  |  |  |  |
| o Attends at least $90 \%$ of school days. | 14,339 | 56\% | 13,857 | 56\% |
| o Attends approximately $75 \%$ of school days; absences primarily due to health issues. | 1,573 | 6\% | 1,494 | 6\% |
| o Attends approximately $50 \%$ or less of school days; absences primarily due to health issues. | 163 | 1\% | 151 | 1\% |
| o Receives Homebound Instruction due to health issues. | 23 | 0\% | 23 | 0\% |
| o Highly irregular attendance or homebound instruction due to issues other than health. | 178 | 1\% | 167 | 1\% |
| No responses | 9,325 | 36\% | 9,060 | 37\% |


| LCI | ELA |  | Mathematics |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | \% | N | \% |
| Reading (check the one that best describes this student) |  |  |  |  |
| o Reads fluently with basic (literal) understanding from paragraphs/short passages with narrative/informational texts in print or braille. | 3,189 | 12\% | 3,162 | 13\% |
| o Reads basic sight words, simple sentences, directions, bullets, and/or lists in print or braille. | 8,017 | 31\% | 7,894 | 32\% |
| o Aware of text/braille, follows directionality, makes letter distinctions, or tells a story from the pictures that is not linked to the text. | 3,732 | 15\% | 3,551 | 14\% |
| o No observable awareness of print or braille. | 1,316 | 5\% | 1,068 | 4\% |
| No responses | 9,347 | 37\% | 9,077 | 37\% |
| Mathematics (check the one that best describes this student) |  |  |  |  |
| o Applies computational procedures to solve real-life or routine word problems from a variety of contexts. | 1,089 | 4\% | 1,079 | 4\% |
| o Does computational procedures with or without a calculator. | 7,778 | 30\% | 7,693 | 31\% |
| o Counts with 1:1 correspondence to at least 10, and/or makes numbered sets of items. | 4,571 | 18\% | 4,435 | 18\% |
| o Counts by rote to 5 . | 1,713 | 7\% | 1,592 | 6\% |
| o No observable awareness or use of numbers. | 1,109 | 4\% | 878 | 4\% |
| No responses | 9,341 | 36\% | 9,075 | 37\% |

## Student Response Check (SRC)

CAA test examiners administered an SRC to each student at the beginning of testing. The purpose of the SRC was to document that the student had a consistent and observable way to communicate his or her response to the test items, using the intended response mode(s).
The SRC appeared on the test delivery system right after launching either the ELA or mathematics assessment. An SRC was only required at the beginning of the first CAA content area administered to each student. Once completed in one content area, the SRC did not necessarily need to be repeated in the second content area. There were three possible outcomes from the SRC:

1. The student demonstrates an observable, consistent response. Note that the answer to the item does not have to be correct, only that it demonstrates that the student is oriented to the item choices.
2. The student demonstrates an observable, but inconsistent, response.
3. The student does not demonstrate any observable responses.

If the outcome was " 1 ," the test examiner administered the entire assessment. If the outcome was " 2 ," the test examiner administered the first four ELA or mathematics items. If a consistent, observable response was elicited for any of these four items, the entire assessment was administered. If the outcome was " 3 ," the test examiner was instructed not to administer the assessment.

In the second part of the SRC check, test examiners were asked to select communication modes for their students from the following list:
A. Student will use a mouse or a computer keyboard
B. Student will provide a verbal response
C. Student will use a touch screen, gestures, or points to indicate a response
D. Student will use the print-on-demand accommodation and will circle responses on paper
E. Student will use an augmentative and/or alternate communication device
F. Student will use eye gaze
G. Other

Table 3.2 shows SRC outcome and test completion status for all students. Overall, 61 percent of students demonstrated observable consistent response on SRC and the test examiner administered the entire assessment. 22 percent of students demonstrated an observable, but inconsistent, response, and 15 percent of student did not demonstrate any observable response on the SRC. Although the SRC outcome indicated that students did not demonstrate any observable responses on the SRC ( $N=4,690$ ), 23 percent and 19 percent of students were able to complete the ELA or mathematics tests respectively. These results confirmed the qualitative observation findings that DFAs were not followed consistently by test examiners during the test administration.
Appendix D presents the results of the SRC summarized by grades. It shows that grade three students had a lower rate of demonstrating observable consistent response than other grade levels.

Table 3.2 Summary of Student Response Check and Test Completion Status

| Response Check |  | $\begin{aligned} & \bar{\pi} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \mathbf{Z} \\ & \mathbf{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{\stackrel{y}{む}}{\stackrel{\circ}{0}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. The student demonstrates an observable, consistent response. | 18,995 | 61\% | 99\% | 0\% | 0\% | 97\% | 1\% | 1\% |
| 2. The student demonstrates an observable, but inconsistent, response. | 6,873 | 22\% | 83\% | 10\% | 6\% | 78\% | 9\% | 11\% |
| 3. The student does not demonstrate any observable responses. | 4,690 | 15\% | 23\% | 5\% | 71\% | 19\% | 3\% | 68\% |
| No SRC administered | 680 | 2\% | 16\% | 1\% | 81\% | 15\% | 1\% | 63\% |
| Total | 31,238 | 100\% | 82\% | 3\% | 14\% | 79\% | 3\% | 14\% |

Table 3.3 presents the communication mode for students who completed either ELA or mathematics assessments. In general, most students are reported to be using three major modes of communication: mouse or keyboard, verbal response, or touch screen/gesture/ pointer, or the combination of the three major modes.

Table 3.3 Summary of Student Communication Modes

| Communication Mode | ELA Complete |  | Mathematics Complete |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | \% | N | \% |
| Student will use a mouse or a computer keyboard | 7,898 | 31\% | 7,735 | 31\% |
| Student will provide a verbal response | 5,105 | 20\% | 4,919 | 20\% |
| Student will use a touch screen, gestures, or points to indicate a response | 3,862 | 15\% | 3,589 | 14\% |
| Student will use a mouse or a computer keyboard/Student will provide a verbal response | 1,815 | 7\% | 1,778 | 7\% |
| Student will provide a verbal response/Student will use a touch screen, gestures, or points to indicate a response | 2,475 | 10\% | 2,410 | 10\% |
| Student will use a mouse or a computer keyboard/Student will provide a verbal response/Student will use a touch screen, gestures, or points to indicate a response | 2,189 | 9\% | 2,153 | 9\% |
| Other possible combinations | 2,257 | 9\% | 2,168 | 9\% |
| Total | 25,601 | 100\% | 24,752 | 100\% |

## Samples Used for the Analyses

The CAAs were delivered to all eligible students with significant cognitive disabilities in grades three through eight and grade eleven. ETS administered all items to the target student population per grade and content area. Therefore, there were no student sampling.
Table 3.4 includes the number of students taking each test. The "Incomplete" column in the table presents the number of student who demonstrated an observable, but inconsistent, response. These students responded to less than the first four items and stopped the test. The "Complete" column presents the number of students who demonstrated a consistent and an observable response and responded to more than four items. Note that analyses in this report were performed using only test takers with complete responses for all items.

Table 3.4. Sample Status

|  | ELA |  |  |  | Mathematics |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Incomplete * |  | Complete |  | Total | Incomplete * | Complete | Total |  |
| Grade 3 | 111 | $3 \%$ | 3,840 | $97 \%$ | 3,951 | 153 | $4 \%$ | 3,666 | $96 \%$ |
| Grade 4 | 130 | $3 \%$ | 3,843 | $97 \%$ | 3,973 | 136 | $3 \%$ | 3,788 | $97 \%$ |
| Grade 5 | 134 | $3 \%$ | 3,841 | $97 \%$ | 3,975 | 138 | $4 \%$ | 3,697 | $96 \%$ |
| Grade 6 | 204 | $5 \%$ | 3,718 | $95 \%$ | 3,922 | 158 | $4 \%$ | 3,637 | $96 \%$ |
| Grade 7 | 197 | $5 \%$ | 3,560 | $95 \%$ | 3,757 | 135 | $4 \%$ | 3,431 | $96 \%$ |
| Grade 8 | 193 | $5 \%$ | 3,515 | $95 \%$ | 3,708 | 108 | $3 \%$ | 3,444 | $97 \%$ |
| Grade 11 | 73 | $2 \%$ | 3,284 | $98 \%$ | 3,357 | 92 | $3 \%$ | 3,089 | $97 \%$ |

* Incomplete: The test examiner did not administer the assessment after the first four ELA or mathematics items as a result of the SRC.
The demographic information of CAA test takers is provided in Table E. 1 and Table E.2. Table 3.5 provides definitions of the demographic groups included in the tables.

Table 3.5. Subgroup Definitions

| Subgroup | Definition |
| :---: | :---: |
| Gender | - Male <br> - Female |
| Ethnicity | - African American <br> - American Indian or Alaska Native <br> - Asian <br> - Asian Indian <br> - Cambodian <br> - Chinese <br> - Hmong <br> - Japanese <br> - Korean <br> - Laotian <br> - Vietnamese <br> - Other Asian <br> - Hispanic or Latino <br> - Pacific Islander <br> - Guamanian <br> - Native Hawaiian <br> - Samoan <br> - Tahitian <br> - Other Pacific Islander <br> - Filipino <br> -White (not Hispanic) |
| English-language Fluency | - English only <br> - Initially fluent English proficient <br> - English learner <br> - Reclassified fluent English proficient <br> - To Be Determine |
| Economic Status | - Not economically disadvantaged <br> - Economically disadvantaged |
| Primary Disability | - Intellectual disability <br> - Hearing impairment <br> - Speech or language impairment <br> - Visual impairment <br> - Emotional disturbance <br> - Orthopedic impairment <br> - Other health impairment <br> - Specific learning impairment <br> - Deaf-blindness <br> - Multiple group <br> - Autism <br> - Traumatic brain injury |

## Item-Level Statistics

Item-level statistics obtained for the CAAs administered during the 2014-15 administration are reported in this section. Statistics presented below are divided into three subsections in the following order:

1. Classical item analyses for the whole assessment
2. Classical item analyses by item types
3. DIF analyses

A description of each of these sets of analyses follows in this section. Data supporting these analyses can be found in the following the appendixes:

1. Appendix $F$ on page 61 presents classical item analyses including $p$-value/average item score (AIS), item-total correlation coefficient, and associated flags. In addition, the mean, minimum, and maximum $p$-value and item-total correlation for each item are presented in Table 3.6 on page 17.
2. Appendix G on page 73 presents the results of the DIF analyses applied to all items for which sufficient student samples were available. In this appendix, items flagged for significant DIF are listed. Also provided are the distributions of items across DIF categories.

## Classical Item Analyses

Classical item analyses are used to evaluate the performance of all items with respect to item difficulty, item discrimination, and student raw score performance on key-based selected response (SR) items and rule-based machine-scored items (constructed response, or CR) items.

The following statistics and associated flagging rules were used to identify items that were not performing as expected:

## Item Difficulty

The percent of maximum possible score is computed for each item as an indicator of item difficulty with a range of 0.0 to 1.0. A relatively higher value indicates an easier item. An item difficulty of 1.0 indicates that all students received a perfect score on the item. An average item score of 0.0 for an item indicates that no students answered the item correctly or received partial credit for the item in the case of polytomous or CR items.
For dichotomous items and SR items, the percent of maximum possible score is simply equivalent to the percentage of students who answered the item correctly. The formula for $p$-value for selected response is

$$
\begin{equation*}
p-\text { value }_{\text {sR }}=\frac{\sum X_{i c}}{N_{i}}, \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where,
$X_{i c}$ is the number of students that answered item $i$ correctly, and
$N_{i}$ is the total number of students observed for item $i$.
A polytomous item is an item that is scored with more than two ordered categories, such as the scores from the ELA CR item. For polytomous items, the $p$-value is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
p-\text { value }_{c R}=\frac{\sum X_{i j}}{N_{i} \times \operatorname{Max}\left(X_{i}\right)}, \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where
$X_{i j}$ is the score assigned for a given CR item $i$ and $X_{i}$ is the score levels associated with the item $i$.
Another interpretation is that item difficulty for constructed-response items is the mean score for the item (AIS) divided by the maximum number of score points. For example, for a 3point polytomous item with scores ranging from a low score of zero to three (as the maximum) and the observed mean score was 2.1 , the observed percent of maximum can be calculated as $2.1 / 3=0.70$, or 70 percent. In this example 70 percent of the maximum score points were obtained on average by students on this hypothetical CR item. When a dichotomous CR item is used, the maximum possible score is one by definition and defaults to the selected-response $p$-value.

## Item Discrimination

Item discrimination evaluates how well an item distinguishes between low and high ability students, and generally is referred to as "item-total correlation." The expectation is that high ability students will outperform low ability students on a good discriminating item. The item discrimination statistic is calculated as the correlation coefficient between the item score and total score. A relatively high item-total correlation coefficient value is desired, as it indicates that students with higher scores on the overall test tended to perform better. In general, item-total correlation ranges from -1.0 (for a perfect negative relationship) to 1.0 (for a perfect positive relationship). However, a negative item-total correlation typically signifies a problem with the item, as the higher-ability students generally are getting the item wrong or a low score and the lower-ability students are getting the item right or are assigned a higher score level.

Some coefficients used in computing item-total correlations are the point-biserial and polyserial correlation coefficient. The point-biserial correlation is used for dichotomous items; the polyserial correlation is used for polytomous items. The point-biserial correlation coefficient is a special case of the Pearson correlation coefficient used for dichotomous items. The point-biserial correlation is computed using

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{p t b i s}=\frac{\left(\bar{X}_{+}-\bar{X}_{-}\right)}{s_{t o t}} \sqrt{p q} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where,
$\bar{X}_{+}$is the mean criterion score of examinees answering the item correctly,
$\bar{X}_{-}$is the mean criterion score of the examinees answering the item incorrectly,
$S_{\text {tot }}$ is the standard deviation of the criterion score,
$p$ is the proportion of examinees answering the item correctly, and $q$ equals $(1-p)$.
The polyserial correlation measures the relationship between a polytomous item and the criterion score. Polyserial correlations are based on a polyserial regression model (Olsson, 1979; Drasgow, 1988), which assumes that performance on an item is determined by the
examinee's position on an underlying latent variable that is normally distributed at a given criterion score level. Based on this approach, the polyserial correlation can be estimated as

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{\text {polyreg }}=\frac{\beta s_{\text {tot }}}{\sqrt{\beta^{2} s_{t o t}^{2}+1}} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where,
$S_{\text {tot }}$ is the standard deviation of the students' total scores; (criterion score) and $\beta$ is the item parameter to be estimated from the data, with the estimate denoted as $\hat{\beta}$, using maximum likelihood.
$\beta$ is a regression coefficient (slope) for predicting the continuous version of a binary item score onto the continuous version of the total score. There are as many regressions as there are boundaries between scores with all sharing a common slope, $\beta$. For a polytomously scored item, there are $k-1$ regressions, where $k$ is the number of score points on the item. Beta $(\beta)$ is the slope for all $k-1$ regressions.

The polyserial correlation is sometimes referred to as a discrimination index because it is an indicator of the degree to which students who do well on the total test also do well on a given item. An item is considered discriminating if high-ability students tend to receive higher scores and low-ability students tend to receive lower scores on the item.
The biserial correlation could have been chosen for dichotomous items, but the pointbiserial and its interpretation is more familiar to many users.

## Distractor Analysis

For each SR item, distractor analyses were conducted. The quality of distractors is an important component of an item's overall quality. Distractors should be clearly incorrect, but at the same time plausible and attractive to lower-ability students. The following distractor analyses were conducted to evaluate the quality of distractors.

- The percentage of students at each response option is calculated. For the key (i.e., the correct answer), this percentage is the item difficulty value. If the percentage of students who selected a distractor is greater than the percentage of students who selected a key, the item should be examined to determine if it has been incorrectly keyed or doublekeyed.
- The point-biserial correlation is calculated for each response option. While the key should have a positive point-biserial correlation with the criterion score, the distractors should exhibit negative point-biserial correlations (i.e., lower ability students would likely choose the distractors, while the higher ability students would not).

For each constructed-response item, the following statistics were evaluated.

- The percentage of students at each score level is calculated. If there were very few students at certain score levels, this might suggest that some score categories need to be collapsed or that the scoring rubric needs adjustment.
- The item-test correlation is computed using the polyserial correlation.

Items with negative or extremely low correlations can indicate serious problems with the item itself or can indicate that students have not been taught the content.

A descriptive summary of the classical item statistics for the overall test are presented in Table 3.6.

The item-by-item values are presented in Table F. 1 through Table F.14. Some items were flagged for unusual statistics; these flags are shown in the tables. Although the flag definition appears in the heading of each table, the flags are displayed in the body of the tables only where applicable for the specific CAA presented. The flag classifications are as follows:

- Difficulty flags
- A: Low average item score (less than .33 for MC, .30 for CR)
- H: High average item score (greater than .95 for MC, .80 for CR)
- Discrimination flag

R: Item-total correlation less than .20

- Omit/nonresponse flag
- O: Omit/nonresponse rates greater than 5 percent for MC, greater than 15 percent for CR

Table 3.6 Item Difficulty and Item-Total Correlation

|  | Grade | No. of items | No. of Examinees | Mean |  | Minimum |  | Maximum |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{2}{3} \\ & \vdots \\ & \text { U } \\ & \text { U4 } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| ELA | 3 | 15 | 3,840 | 0.57 | 0.55 | 0.32 | 0.32 | 0.87 | 0.80 |
|  | 4 | 14 | * 2,498 | 0.55 | 0.54 | 0.10 | 0.38 | 0.88 | 0.75 |
|  | 5 | 15 | 3,841 | 0.47 | 0.50 | 0.18 | 0.17 | 0.78 | 0.76 |
|  | 6 | 14 | 3,718 | 0.41 | 0.48 | 0.20 | 0.28 | 0.83 | 0.61 |
|  | 7 | 16 | 3,560 | 0.44 | 0.50 | 0.21 | 0.29 | 0.72 | 0.77 |
|  | 8 | 15 | 3,515 | 0.36 | 0.44 | 0.12 | 0.11 | 0.82 | 0.75 |
|  | 11 | 15 | 3,284 | 0.35 | 0.53 | 0.04 | 0.23 | 0.70 | 0.78 |
| Math | 3 | 15 | 3,666 | 0.43 | 0.48 | 0.10 | 0.23 | 0.63 | 0.74 |
|  | 4 | 15 | 3,788 | 0.37 | 0.46 | 0.08 | 0.06 | 0.66 | 0.76 |
|  | 5 | 15 | 3,697 | 0.35 | 0.52 | 0.02 | 0.22 | 0.70 | 0.73 |
|  | 6 | 15 | 3,637 | 0.42 | 0.49 | 0.10 | 0.28 | 0.67 | 0.71 |
|  | 7 | 15 | 3,431 | 0.36 | 0.51 | 0.10 | 0.25 | 0.59 | 0.75 |
|  | 8 | 15 | 3,444 | 0.49 | 0.47 | 0.21 | 0.23 | 0.83 | 0.74 |
|  | 11 | 15 | 3,089 | 0.40 | 0.47 | 0.13 | 0.30 | 0.67 | 0.73 |

*Results for version 3 in the CAA for ELA (Grade 4) were excluded because of an item mis-sequencing issue.

## Differential Item Functioning (DIF) Analyses

Analyses of DIF can provide evidence of the degree to which an item score interpretation or use is valid for individuals who differ in their demographic characteristics.

DIF analyses were performed on all items for which sufficient student samples were available. The sample size requirements for the DIF analyses were 100 in the focal group and 400 in the combined focal and reference groups. These sample sizes were based on standard operating procedures with respect to DIF analyses at ETS.

The DIF analyses for multiple choice items utilized the Mantel-Haenszel (MH) DIF statistic (Mantel \& Haenszel, 1959; Holland \& Thayer, 1985). This statistic is based on the estimate of constant odds ratio and is described as the following:
The $\alpha_{M H}$ is the constant odds ratio taken from Dorans and Holland (1993, equation 7) and computed as the following:
$\alpha_{M H}=\frac{\left(\sum_{m} R_{r m} \frac{W_{f m}}{N_{t m}}\right)}{\left(\sum_{m} R_{f m} \frac{W_{r m}}{N_{t m}}\right)}$
MH D-DIF $=-2.35 \ln \left[\alpha_{M H}\right]$
where,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R=\text { number right, } \\
& W=\text { number wrong, } \\
& N=\text { total in: } \\
& \quad f m=\text { focal group at ability } m, \\
& r m=\text { reference group at ability } m, \text { and } \\
& t m=\text { total group at ability } m .
\end{aligned}
$$

Items analyzed for DIF at ETS are classified into one of three categories: A, B, or C. Category A contains items with negligible DIF. Category B contains items with slight to moderate DIF. Category C contains items with moderate to large values of DIF.
The definitions of the categories based on evaluations of the item-level MH D-DIF statistics are as follows:

| DIF Category | Definition |
| :--- | :--- |
| A (negligible) | - Absolute value of MH D-DIF is not significantly different from zero, <br> or is less than one. |
| - Positive values are classified as "A+" and negative values as "A-." |  |
|  | - Absolute value of MH D-DIF is significantly different from zero but <br> not from one, and is at least one; OR |
|  | - Absolute value of MH D-DIF is significantly different from one, but is |
| less than 1.5. |  |

## DIF Category <br> Definition

C (large) • Absolute value of MH D-DIF is significantly different from one, and is at least 1.5.

- Positive values are classified as "C+" and negative values as "C-."

DIF analyses of the polytomously scored CAA items are completed using two procedures. The first is the Mantel-Haenszel (MH) ordinal procedure, which is based on the Mantel procedure (Mantel, 1963; Mantel \& Haenszel, 1959). The MH ordinal procedure compares the proportion of examinees in the reference and focal groups obtaining each item score after matching the examinees on their total test score. As with dichotomously scored items, the common odds ratio is estimated across the matched score groups. The resulting estimate is interpreted as the relative likelihood of obtaining a given item score for members of two groups that are matched on ability.
As such, the common odds ratio provides an estimated effect size; a value of one indicates equal odds and thus no DIF (Dorans \& Holland, 1993). The corresponding statistical test is $H_{0}: \alpha=1$, where $\alpha$ is a common odds ratio assumed equal for all matched score categories $s=1$ to $S$. Values of less than one indicate DIF in favor of the focal group; a value of one indicates the null condition; and a value greater than one indicates DIF in favor of the reference group. The associated $\left(\mathrm{MH} \chi^{2}\right)$ is distributed as a Chi-square random variable with one degree of freedom.
The MH $\chi^{2}$ Mantel Chi-square statistic is used in conjunction with a second procedure, the standardization procedure (Dorans \& Schmitt, 1993). This procedure produces a DIF statistic based on the standardized mean difference (SMD) in average item scores between members of two groups that have been matched on their overall test score. The SMD compares the item means of the two studied groups after adjusting for differences in the distribution of members across the values of the matching variable (total test score).
The standardized mean difference is computed as the following:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S M D=\sum_{m} w_{m}\left(E_{f m}-E_{r m}\right) / \sum_{m} w_{m} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where,
$w_{m} / \sum w_{m}$ is the weighting factor at score level $m$ supplied by the standardization group to weight differences in item performance between a focal group ( $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{fm}}$ ) and a reference group ( $\mathrm{Erm}_{\mathrm{r}}$ ) (Doran \& Kulick, 2006).
A negative SMD value means that, conditional on the matching variable, the focal group has a lower mean item score than the reference group. In contrast, a positive SMD value means that, conditional on the matching variable, the reference group has a lower mean item score than the focal group. The SMD is divided by the standard deviation (SD) of the total group item score in its original metric to produce an effect-size measure of differential performance.

Items analyzed for DIF at ETS are classified into one of three categories: A, B, or C. Category A contains items with negligible DIF. Category B contains items with slight to moderate DIF. Category C contains items with moderate to large values of DIF.

The ETS classification system assigns items to one of the three DIF categories on the basis of a combination of statistical significance of the Mantel Chi-square statistic and the magnitude of the SMD effect-size:

DIF Category Definition

| A (negligible) | - The Mantel Chi-square statistic is not statistically significant (at the 0.05 level) or $\mid$ SMD $/$ SD $\mid<0.17$. |
| :---: | :---: |
| $B$ (moderate) | - The Mantel Chi-square statistic is statistically significant (at the 0.05 level) and $0.17 \leq\|S M D / S D\|<0.25$. |
| $C$ (large) | - The Mantel Chi-square statistic is statistically significant (at the 0.05 level) and $\mid$ SMD $/$ SD $\mid>0.25$. |

In addition, the categories identify which group is being given an advantage; categories are displayed in Table 3.7. The categories have been used by all ETS testing programs for more than 20 years.

Table 3.7 DIF Flags Based on the ETS DIF Classification Scheme

| Flag | Descriptor |
| :--- | :--- |
| A- | Negligible favoring members of the reference group |
| B- | Moderate favoring members of the reference group |
| C- | Large favoring members of the reference group |
| A+ | Negligible favoring members of the focal group |
| B+ | Moderate favoring members of the focal group |
| C+ | Large favoring members of the focal group |

Category C contains items with large values of DIF. As shown in Table 3.7, items classified as C+ tend to be easier for members of the focal group than for members of the reference group with comparable total scores. Items classified as C - tend to be more difficult for members of the focal group than for members of the reference group whose total scores on the test are like those of the focal group.
The results of the DIF analyses are presented in Appendix G, which starts on page 73. Test developers are instructed to avoid selecting items flagged as having shown DIF that disadvantages a focal group (C-DIF) for future operational test forms unless their inclusion is deemed essential to meeting test-content specifications.
Table 3.8 lists specific subgroups that were used for DIF analyses for the 2014-15 CAA administration.

Table 3.8 Subgroup Classification for DIF Analyses

| DIF Type | Reference Group | Focal Group |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gender | Male | Female |
| Race/Ethnicity | White | - African American <br> - American Indian <br> - Asian <br> - Combined Asian Group (Asian/Pacific Islander/Filipino) <br> - Filipino <br> - Hispanic/Latin American <br> - Pacific Islander |
| Disability | Intellectual Disability (ID) | - Autism (AU) <br> - Deaf-blindness (DB) <br> - Emotional disturbance (EMN) <br> - Hearing impairment (HI) <br> - Multiple disabilities (MD) <br> - Orthopedic impairment (OI) <br> - Other health impairment (OHI) <br> - Specific learning disability (SLD) <br> - Speech or language impairment (SLI) <br> - Traumatic brain injury (TBI) <br> - Visual impairment (VI) |

## Chapter 4: Discussion and Implications

## Summary of Findings

## Observations of Administration

Test examiners appeared not to be aware of the importance of the Directions for Administration (DFA) for the California Alternate Assessments (CAA) and did not understand that the DFA is an integral part of test administration. Various nonstandardized test administration practices were observed in both English language arts (ELA) and mathematics test administrations. Administering the online test alone without the support of the DFA is insufficient in administering a standardized test. Educational Testing Service (ETS) is taking appropriate measures to emphasize the critical nature of the DFA for subsequent CAA administration years.

## Learner Characteristics Inventory (LCI)

An optional LCI was available within the CAA online testing interface for each student. The LCl questions were expected to be answered by the CAA test examiner before the start of testing for either ELA or mathematics. As the summary in Chapter 3 shows, the response rate of the LCl is above 60 percent across the grades. Approximately 30 percent of students could read basic sight words, simple sentences, directions, bullets, and/or lists in print or braille for ELA. Also, a similar percentage of the students could perform computational procedures with or without a calculator.

## Student Response Check (SRC)

Overall, 61 percent of students demonstrated observable consistent response on the SRC and the test examiner would administer entire assessment. 22 percent of students demonstrated an observable, but inconsistent, response, and 15 percent of student did not demonstrate any observable response on the student response check (SRC). Although the SRC outcome indicated that students did not demonstrate any observable responses on the SRC, 23 percent and 19 percent of students were able to complete the ELA or mathematics assessments respectively in Table 3.2. It confirmed the qualitative observation findings that test examiners did not follow the DFA consistently during test administration.

## Classical Item Analyses

Approximately 15 items in the various computer-enabled item types for each content area were delivered to each student. A descriptive summary of the classical item statistics by item type is presented in Table 4.1 (ELA) and Table 4.2 (mathematics). Drag-and-drop and hotspot items tended to be more difficult than other item types for ELA, whereas short constructed response items tended to be harder for mathematics.

Table 4.1 Summary of the Classical Item Statistics by Item Type for ELA

|  |  | Mean |  |  |  | Minimum |  | Maximum |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Item Type | No. of <br> Items | No. of <br> Examinees | $\boldsymbol{P}$ - <br> value | Biserial | value | Biserial | value | Biserial |  |
| Grade 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Drag \& Drop | 3 | 3,840 | 0.55 | 0.69 | 0.32 | 0.63 | 0.78 | 0.77 |  |
| MC | 9 | 3,840 | 0.61 | 0.44 | 0.33 | 0.32 | 0.87 | 0.56 |  |
| Short CR | 2 | 3,840 | 0.48 | 0.80 | 0.47 | 0.79 | 0.49 | 0.80 |  |
| Hotspots | 1 | 3,840 | 0.44 | 0.68 | 0.44 | 0.68 | 0.44 | 0.68 |  |


| Item Type | No. of Items | No. of Examinees | $\underset{\substack{P \text { - } \\ \text { value }}}{\text { Me }}$ | Biserial | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mini } \\ P \text { - } \\ \text { value } \end{gathered}$ | mum <br> Biserial | $\begin{gathered} \text { Maxi } \\ P \text { - } \\ \text { value } \end{gathered}$ | mum <br> Biserial |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Drag \& Drop | 1 | 2,498 | 0.22 | 0.71 | 0.22 | 0.71 | 0.22 | 0.71 |
| MC | 12 | 2,498 | 0.57 | 0.51 | 0.10 | 0.38 | 0.88 | 0.75 |
| Short CR | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Hotspots | 1 | 2,498 | 0.54 | 0.73 | 0.54 | 0.73 | 0.54 | 0.73 |
| Grade 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Drag \& Drop | 1 | 3,841 | 0.23 | 0.67 | 0.23 | 0.67 | 0.23 | 0.67 |
| MC | 13 | 3,841 | 0.50 | 0.48 | 0.18 | 0.17 | 0.78 | 0.76 |
| Short CR | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Hotspots | 1 | 3,841 | 0.30 | 0.60 | 0.30 | 0.60 | 0.30 | 0.60 |
| Grade 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Drag \& Drop | 3 | 3,718 | 0.41 | 0.56 | 0.24 | 0.49 | 0.57 | 0.61 |
| MC | 9 | 3,718 | 0.44 | 0.43 | 0.30 | 0.28 | 0.83 | 0.56 |
| Short CR | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Hotspots | 2 | 3,718 | 0.27 | 0.56 | 0.20 | 0.52 | 0.33 | 0.60 |
| Grade 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Drag \& Drop | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| MC | 14 | 3,560 | 0.47 | 0.46 | 0.21 | 0.29 | 0.72 | 0.59 |
| Short CR | 2 | 3,560 | 0.24 | 0.76 | 0.23 | 0.75 | 0.26 | 0.77 |
| Hotspots | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Grade 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Drag \& Drop | 1 | 3,515 | 0.12 | 0.63 | 0.12 | 0.63 | 0.12 | 0.63 |
| MC | 11 | 3,515 | 0.42 | 0.39 | 0.21 | 0.11 | 0.82 | 0.60 |
| Short CR | 1 | 3,515 | 0.39 | 0.75 | 0.39 | 0.75 | 0.39 | 0.75 |
| Hotspots | 2 | 3,515 | 0.15 | 0.50 | 0.15 | 0.42 | 0.16 | 0.58 |
| Grade 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Drag \& Drop | 2 | 3,284 | 0.10 | 0.59 | 0.04 | 0.51 | 0.17 | 0.67 |
| MC | 8 | 3,284 | 0.38 | 0.44 | 0.06 | 0.23 | 0.66 | 0.62 |
| Short CR | 2 | 3,284 | 0.43 | 0.78 | 0.42 | 0.77 | 0.43 | 0.78 |
| Hotspots | 3 | 3,284 | 0.36 | 0.58 | 0.13 | 0.43 | 0.70 | 0.66 |

Table 4.2 Summary of the Classical Item Statistics for Mathematics

| Item Type | No. of Items | No. of Examinees | Mean |  | Minimum |  | Maximum |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $P$-value | Biserial | $P .$ value | Biserial | $P$-value | Biserial |
| Grade 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Drag \& Drop | 3 | 3,666 | 0.58 | 0.68 | 0.48 | 0.64 | 0.63 | 0.70 |
| MC | 9 | 3,666 | 0.45 | 0.33 | 0.24 | 0.23 | 0.63 | 0.45 |
| Short CR | 3 | 3,666 | 0.22 | 0.72 | 0.10 | 0.70 | 0.47 | 0.74 |
| Hotspots | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Grade 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Drag \& Drop | 1 | 3,788 | 0.28 | 0.54 | 0.28 | 0.54 | 0.28 | 0.54 |
| MC | 10 | 3,788 | 0.49 | 0.41 | 0.24 | 0.06 | 0.66 | 0.55 |
| Short CR | 3 | 3,788 | 0.11 | 0.61 | 0.10 | 0.47 | 0.13 | 0.76 |
| Hotspots | 1 | 3,788 | 0.08 | 0.43 | 0.08 | 0.43 | 0.08 | 0.43 |


| Item Type | No. of Items | No. of Examinees | Mean |  | Minimum |  | Maximum |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $P$-value | Biserial | $P$ value | Biserial | $P$-value | Biserial |
| Grade 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Drag \& Drop | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| MC | 6 | 3,697 | 0.45 | 0.29 | 0.33 | 0.22 | 0.68 | 0.37 |
| Short CR | 5 | 3,697 | 0.09 | 0.64 | 0.02 | 0.55 | 0.26 | 0.70 |
| Hotspots | 4 | 3,697 | 0.51 | 0.70 | 0.29 | 0.65 | 0.70 | 0.73 |
| Grade 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Drag \& Drop | 2 | 3,637 | 0.40 | 0.44 | 0.32 | 0.30 | 0.48 | 0.58 |
| MC | 9 | 3,637 | 0.46 | 0.44 | 0.14 | 0.28 | 0.67 | 0.69 |
| Short CR | 2 | 3,637 | 0.19 | 0.69 | 0.10 | 0.67 | 0.29 | 0.71 |
| Hotspots | 2 | 3,637 | 0.51 | 0.57 | 0.45 | 0.56 | 0.56 | 0.59 |
| Grade 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Drag \& Drop | 3 | 3,431 | 0.47 | 0.66 | 0.29 | 0.60 | 0.59 | 0.71 |
| MC | 7 | 3,431 | 0.40 | 0.35 | 0.14 | 0.25 | 0.53 | 0.41 |
| Short CR | 3 | 3,431 | 0.14 | 0.66 | 0.10 | 0.51 | 0.21 | 0.75 |
| Hotspots | 2 | 3,431 | 0.43 | 0.59 | 0.43 | 0.58 | 0.43 | 0.60 |
| Grade 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Drag \& Drop | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| MC | 10 | 3,444 | 0.58 | 0.41 | 0.33 | 0.23 | 0.83 | 0.55 |
| Short CR | 1 | 3,444 | 0.21 | 0.74 | 0.21 | 0.74 | 0.21 | 0.74 |
| Hotspots | 4 | 3,444 | 0.36 | 0.53 | 0.32 | 0.43 | 0.47 | 0.69 |
| Grade 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Drag \& Drop | 2 | 3,089 | 0.34 | 0.72 | 0.31 | 0.70 | 0.36 | 0.73 |
| MC | 12 | 3,089 | 0.41 | 0.42 | 0.13 | 0.30 | 0.67 | 0.54 |
| Short CR | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Hotspots | 1 | 3,089 | 0.36 | 0.57 | 0.36 | 0.57 | 0.36 | 0.57 |

## Item Response Theory (IRT) Analyses

Preliminary item response theory (IRT) statistics are helpful when item and ability estimates are used to inform item and test development. However, IRT analyses were not conducted for 2014-15 CAA administration for the following reasons:

- The purpose of the first CAA administration was to try out different item types rather than to measure students' proficiency. The 2014-15 CAA administration was not built to a specific test blueprint. Therefore, the interpretation of the construct, represented by the IRT ability estimate, is not clear.
- The tiered items did not perform as expected. Specifically, item difficulties did not have an ordinal relationship across the three tiers-for example, Tier 1 items were not always the easiest amongst the three tiers.
- Among the tiered item sets, the Table 4.3 shows up to 75 percent of the item setsthree out of four-did not perform as expected at a given grade and content area. This substantial loss of items is likely to contribute to IRT calibration problems.

Table 4.3 Tiered Item Status

|  | English Language Arts |  | Mathematics |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade | Number of Tiered Item Sets Administered | Number of Tiered Item Sets Performing as Expected | Number of Tiered Item Sets Administered | Number of Tiered Item Sets Performing as Expected |
| 3 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 2 |
| 4 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 2 |
| 5 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 3 |
| 6 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 1 |
| 7 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| 8 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| 11 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 1 |

In summary, ETS cannot take full advantage of IRT equal-interval scale for a valid comparison among students with the 2014-15 data, because the 2014-15 CAAs were developed without a clear test blueprint, and the purpose was not to measure student performance. Furthermore, IRT calibration cannot resolve issues related to items and the data as mentioned previously. IRT calibration cannot provide the additional information that classical item analyses could.

## Implications

Results obtained from the 2014-15 administration provide ETS with actionable items for supporting the spring 2015-16 operational administration. These include:

- Provide statewide training that allows test examiners sufficient time to understand the critical role of the DFA for CAA testing.
- Streamline manuals and supporting materials such as the training videos.
- Develop a training test with a variety of item types that allows students to become more familiar with utilizing the technology and technology-enhanced items.
- Update item writing guidelines to inform how the new tiered items will be developed for the 2015-16 administration.
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# Appendix A. Item Development Plans for English Language Arts and Mathematics 

## Table A. 1 Item Development Plans by Grade—English Language Arts

| Common Core State Standard | Core Content Connector | Essential Understandings | Qty of Items to Develop |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ELA Grade 3 |  |  |  |
| Reading |  |  |  |
| 3.RL. 1 Ask and answer questions to demonstrate understanding of a text, referring explicitly to the text as the basis for the answers. | 3.RL.h1 Answer questions related to the relationship between characters, setting, events, or conflicts (e.g., characters and events, characters and conflicts, setting and conflicts). | Identify a character, setting, event, or conflict. |  |
| 3.RL. 2 Recount stories, including fables, folktales, and myths from diverse cultures; determine central message, lesson, or moral and explain how it is conveyed through key details in text. <br> 3.SL. 2 Determine the main ideas and supporting details of a text read aloud or information presented in diverse media and formats, including visually, quantitatively, and orally. | 3.RL.k2 Determine the central message, lesson, moral, and key details of a text read aloud or information presented in diverse media and formats, including visually, quantitatively, and orally. | Identify the topic of a text or information presented in diverse media. |  |
| 3.RI. 7 Use information gained from illustrations (e.g., maps, photographs) and the words in a text to demonstrate understanding of the text (e.g., where, when, why, and how key events occur). | 3.RI.h4 Use illustrations (e.g., maps, photographs, diagrams, timelines) in informational texts to answer questions. | Identify an illustration in text. | 1 |
| 3.RI. 2 Determine the main idea of a text; recount the key details and explain how they support the main idea. <br> 3.SL. 2 Determine the main ideas and supporting details of a text read aloud or information presented in diverse media and formats, including visually, quantitatively, and orally. | 3.RI.i2 Determine the main idea of text read, read aloud or information presented in diverse media and formats, including visually, quantitatively, and orally. | Identify the topic of a text or information presented in diverse media. |  |



| Common Core State Standard | Core Content Connector | Essential Understandings | Qty of Items to Develop |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ELA Grade 4 |  |  |  |
| Reading |  |  |  |
| 4.RL 1 Refer to details and examples in a text when explaining what the text says explicitly and when drawing inferences from the text. | 4.RL.i2 Refer to details and examples in a text when explaining what the text says explicitly. | Recall a detail in a text. |  |
| 4.RL. 3 Describe in depth a character, setting, or event in a story or drama, drawing on specific details in the text (e.g., a characters thoughts, words, or actions). | 4.RL.I1 Describe character traits (e.g., actions, deeds, dialogue, description, motivation, interactions); use details from text to support description. | Identify a character in text. |  |
| 4.RI. 2 Determine the main idea of a text and explain how it is supported by key details; summarize the text. | 4.RI.i3 Determine the main idea of an informational text. | Identify the topic of a text. |  |
| 4.RI. 7 Interpret information presented visually, orally, or quantitatively (e.g., in charts, graphs, diagrams, time lines, animations, or interactive elements on Web pages) and explain how the information contributes to an understanding of the text in which it appears. | 4.RI.I1 Interpret information presented visually, orally, or quantitatively (e.g., in charts, graphs, diagrams, time lines, animations, or interactive elements on Web pages) and explain how the information contributes to an understanding of the text in which it appears. | Locate information within a simplified chart, map or graph. |  |
| 4.L. 4 Determine or clarify the meaning of unknown and multiple-meaning words and phrases based on grade 4 reading and content, choosing flexibly from an array of strategies. <br> 4.L.4a Use context (e.g., definitions, examples, or restatements in text) as a clue to the meaning of the word or phrase. | 4.RWL.i2 Use context as a clue to determine the meaning of unknown words, multiple meaning words, or words showing shades of meaning. | Understand that words can have more than one meaning. |  |
| 4.RF. 3 Know and apply grade-level phonics and word analysis skills in decoding words. <br> 4.RF.3a Use combined knowledge of all letter-sound correspondences, syllabication patterns, and morphology (e.g., roots and affixes) to read accurately unfamiliar multisyllabic words in context and out of context. | 4.RWL.h2 Identify grade level words with accuracy and on successive attempts. | Identify frequently used words (e.g., EDL 2 or 3). | 1 |


| Common Core State Standard | Core Content Connector | Essential Understandings | Qty of Items to Develop |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Writing |  |  |  |
| W.4.2 Write informative/ explanatory texts to examine a topic and convey ideas and information clearly. <br> W.4.2a Introduce a topic clearly and group related information in paragraphs and sections; including formatting (e.g., headings), illustrations, and multimedia when useful to aiding comprehension. | 4.WI.p1 Include formatting (e.g., headings, bulleted information), illustrations, and multimedia when useful to convey information about the topic. | Identify a concluding sentence that signals a close of a paragraph (e.g., In conclusion..., As a result..., Finally...). | 1 |
| W.4.2 Write informative/ explanatory texts to examine a topic and convey ideas and information clearly. <br> W.4.2e Provide a concluding statement or section related to the information or explanation presented. | 4.WI.q1 Provide a concluding statement or section to support the information presented. | Identify the purpose of using different formats, illustrations, or multimedia (e.g., bullets are used for listing items). |  |
| W.4.4 Produce clear and coherent writing in which the development and organization are appropriate to task, purpose, and audience. | 4.WL.o1 Produce a clear coherent permanent that is appropriate to the specific task, purpose (e.g. to entertain), or audience. | Given a specific purpose, produce a permanent product (e.g., select text appropriate to the purpose, identify descriptive sentences, and select a concluding statement). | 1 |
|  |  | ELA Grade 4 Subtotal | 15 |
| ELA Grade 5 |  |  |  |
| Reading |  |  |  |
| 5.RL. 1 Quote accurately from a text when explaining what the text says explicitly and when drawing inferences from the text. | 5.RL.b1 Refer to details and examples in a text when explaining what the text says explicitly. | Recall details in a text. | 1 |
| 5.RL. 2 Determine a theme of a story, drama, or poem from details in the text, including how characters in a story or drama respond to challenges or how the speaker in a poem reflects upon a topic; summarize the text. | 5.RL.c2 Summarize a text from beginning to end in a few sentences. | Identify what happens in the beginning of a story. |  |
| 5RL. 3 Compare and contrast two or more characters, settings, or events in a story or drama, drawing on specific details in the text (e.g., how characters interact). | 5.RL.d1 Compare characters, settings, events within a story; provide or identify specific details in the text to support the comparison. | Identify characters, setting and events in a story. |  |


| Common Core State Standard | Core Content Connector | Essential Understandings | Qty of Items to Develop |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5.RI. 2 Determine two or more main ideas of a text and explain how they are supported by key details; summarize the text. | 5.RI.c4 Determine the main idea, and identify key details to support the main idea. | Identify the topic of text. | 1 |
| 5.RI.8 Explain how an author uses reasons and evidence to support particular points in a text, identifying which reasons and evidence support which point(s). | 5.RI.e2 Explain how an author uses reasons and evidence to support particular points in a text. | Identify main/key ideas/points in a text. |  |
| 5.L. 4 Determine or clarify the meaning of unknown and multiple-meaning words and phrases based on grade 5 reading and content, choosing flexibly from an array of strategies. <br> 5.L.4a Use context (e.g., cause/effect relationships and comparisons in text) as a clue to the meaning of the word or phrase. | 5.RWL.a2 Use context to determine the meaning of unknown or multiple meaning words or phrases. | Identify multiple meaning words (e.g., EDL 3 or 4). |  |
| Writing |  |  |  |
| W.5.2 Write informative/ explanatory texts to examine a topic and convey ideas and information clearly. <br> W.5.2a Introduce a topic clearly, provide a general observation and focus, and group related information logically; include formatting (e.g., headings), illustrations, and multimedia when useful to aiding comprehension. | 5.WI.b3 Organize ideas, concepts, and information (using definition, classification, comparison/contrast, and cause/effect). | Identify relationship of set of items in various categories (definition, classification, compare/contrast, cause/effect). | 1 |
| W.5.2 Write informative/ explanatory texts to examine a topic and convey ideas and information clearly. <br> W.5.2b Develop the topic with facts, definitions, concrete details, quotations, or other information and examples related to the topic. | 5.WI.d1 Support a topic with relevant facts, definitions, concrete details, quotations, or other information and examples. | Identify facts and details related to a specified topic. |  |


| Common Core State Standard | Core Content Connector | Essential Understandings | Qty of Items to Develop |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| W.5.4 Produce clear and coherent writing in which the development and organization are appropriate to task, purpose, and audience. | 5.WL.h1 Produce a clear coherent permanent product that is appropriate to the specific task, purpose (e.g. to entertain), or audience. | Given a specific purpose, produce a permanent product (e.g., select text appropriate to the purpose, identify descriptive sentences, and select a concluding statement). |  |
|  |  | ELA Grade 5 Subtotal | 15 |
| ELA Grade 6 |  |  |  |
| Reading |  |  |  |
| 6.RL. 1 Cite textual evidence to support analysis of what the text says explicitly as well as inferences drawn from the text. | 6.RL.b2 Refer to details and examples in a text when explaining what the text says explicitly. | Recall details in a text. | 1 |
| 6.RL. 2 Determine a theme or central idea of a text and how it is conveyed through particular details; provide a summary of the text distinct from personal opinions or judgments. | 6.RL.c3 Summarize a text from beginning to end in a few sentences without including personal opinions. | Identify what happens in the beginning and ending of a story. | $\begin{array}{r} 3 \\ \text { tiered } \\ \text { items } \end{array}$ |
| 6.RI. 7 Integrate information presented in different media or formats (e.g., visually, quantitatively) as well as in words to develop a coherent understanding of a topic or issue. | 6.RI.b4 Summarize information gained from a variety of sources including media or texts. (Requires paired passage.) | Identify a topic from a single source. | $\begin{array}{r} 3 \\ \text { tiered } \\ \text { items } \end{array}$ |
| 6.RI. 3 Analyze in detail how a key individual, event, or idea is introduced, illustrated, and elaborated in a text (e.g., through examples or anecdotes). | 6.RI.g4 Determine how key individuals, events, or ideas are elaborated or expanded on in a text. | Identify a description of an event or individual in a text. | $\begin{array}{r} 3 \\ \text { tiered } \\ \text { items } \end{array}$ |
| 6.RI. 8 Trace and evaluate the argument and specific claims in a text, distinguishing claims that are supported by reasons and evidence from claims that are not. | 6.RI.g6 Evaluate the claim or argument; determine if it is supported by evidence. | Identify a fact from the text. |  |


| Common Core State Standard | Core Content Connector | Essential Understandings | Qty of Items to Develop |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6.L. 4 Determine or clarify the meaning of unknown and multiple-meaning words and phrases based on grade 6 reading and content, choosing flexibly from an array of strategies. <br> 6.L.4a Use context (e.g., the overall meaning of a sentence or paragraph; a word's position or function in a sentence) as a clue to the meaning of the word or phrase. | 6.RWL.a1 Use context to determine the meaning of unknown or multiple meaning words or phrases. | Identify multiple meaning words (e.g., EDL 4 or 5 ). |  |
| Writing |  |  |  |
| W.6.3 Write narratives to develop real or imagined experiences or events using effective technique, relevant descriptive details, and wellstructured event sequences. <br> W.6.3a Engage and orient the reader by establishing a context and introducing a narrator and/or characters; organize an event sequence that unfolds naturally and logically. | 6.WL.c1 Organize ideas and events so that they unfold naturally. | Identify the order of events given a short passage/text (e.g., sequence a set of events from an adapted chapter). |  |
| W.6.3 Write narratives to develop real or imagined experiences or events using effective technique, relevant descriptive details, and wellstructured event sequences. <br> W.6.3c Use a variety of transition words, phrases, and clauses to convey sequence and signal shifts from one time frame or setting to another. | 6.WL.c3 Use a variety of transition words, phrases, and clauses to convey sequence and signal shifts from one time frame or setting to another. | Match transition words, phrases, and clauses within a text. | 1 |
| W.6.4 Produce clear and coherent writing in which the development, organization, and style are appropriate to task, purpose, and audience. | 6.WI.h2 Produce a clear coherent permanent product that is appropriate to the specific task (e.g., topic), purpose (e.g., to inform), and audience (e.g., reader). | Given a specific purpose, produce a permanent product (e.g., select text appropriate to the purpose, identify descriptive sentences, and select a concluding statement). | 1 |
|  |  | ELA Grade 6 Subtotal | 15 |


| Common Core State Standard | Core Content Connector | Essential Understandings | Qty of Items to Develop |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ELA Grade 7 |  |  |  |
| Reading |  |  |  |
| 7.RL. 1 Cite several pieces of textual evidence to support analysis of what the text says explicitly as well as inferences drawn from the text. | 7.RL.i2 Use two or more pieces of textual evidence to support inferences, conclusions, or summaries of text. | Make an inference from a literary text. |  |
| 7.RL. 2 Determine a theme or central idea of a text and analyze its development over the course of the text; provide an objective summary of the text. | 7.RL.j1 Analyze the development of the theme or central idea over the course of the text. | Identify the theme or central idea of the text. | 1 |
| 7.RI. 1 Cite several pieces of textual evidence to support analysis of what the text says explicitly as well as inferences drawn from the text. | 7.RI.j1 Use two or more pieces of evidence to support inferences, conclusions, or summaries of text. | Identify a conclusion from an informational text. | 1 |
| 7.RI. 3 Analyze the interactions between individuals, events, and ideas in a text (e.g., how ideas influence individuals or events, or how individuals influence ideas or events). | 7.RI.j5 Analyze the interactions between individuals, events, and ideas in a text (e.g., how ideas influence individuals or events, or how individuals influence ideas or events). | Identify the relationship between people, events, or ideas in a text. |  |
| 7.RI. 8 Trace and evaluate the argument and specific claims in a text, assessing whether the reasoning is sound and the evidence is relevant and sufficient to support the claims. | 7.RI.k4 Evaluate the claim or argument to determine if they are supported by evidence. | Identify a claim from the text. |  |
| 7.L. 4 Determine or clarify the meaning of unknown and multiple-meaning words and phrases based on grade 7 reading and content, choosing flexibly from an array of strategies. <br> 7.L.4a Use context (e.g., the overall meaning of a sentence or paragraph; a word's position or function in a sentence) as a clue to the meaning of the word or phrase. | 7.RWL.g1 Use context as a clue to determine the meaning of a grade appropriate word or phrase. | Use context as a clue to determine the meaning of a word (e.g., EDL grade 5 or 6). |  |


| Common Core State Standard | Core Content Connector | Essential Understandings | Qty of Items to Develop |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Writing |  |  |  |
| W.7.3 Write narratives to develop real or imagined experiences or events using effective technique, relevant descriptive details, and wellstructured event sequences. | 7.WL.I1 Use precise words and phrases, relevant descriptive details, and sensory language to capture the action and convey experiences and events. | Identify a visual image to match provided text. | 1 |
| W.7.3d Use precise words and phrases, relevant descriptive details, and sensory language to capture the action and convey experiences and events. |  |  |  |
| W.7.3 Write narratives to develop real or imagined experiences or events using effective technique, relevant descriptive details, and wellstructured event sequences. | 7.WL. 01 Select or provide a conclusion that follows from the narrated experiences or events. | Provide a conclusion (concluding sentence, paragraph or extended ending) that follows from the narrated experiences or events. | $\begin{array}{r} 3 \\ \text { tiered } \end{array}$ |
| W.7.3e Provide a conclusion that follows from and reflects on the narrated experiences or events. |  |  |  |
| W.7.4 Produce clear and coherent writing in which the development, organization, and style are appropriate to task, purpose, and audience. | 7.WI. 01 Produce a clear coherent permanent product that is appropriate to the specific task (e.g., topic), purpose (e.g., to inform), and audience (e.g., reader). | Given a specific purpose, produce a permanent product (e.g., select text appropriate to the purpose, identify descriptive sentences, and select a concluding statement). |  |
| ELA Grade 7 Subtotal |  |  | 15 |
| ELA Grade 8 |  |  |  |
| Reading |  |  |  |
| 8.RL. 1 Cite the textual evidence that most strongly supports an analysis of what the text says explicitly as well as inferences drawn from the text. | 8.RL.i2 Use two or more pieces of evidence to support inferences, conclusions, or summaries of text. | Make an inference from a literary text | $\begin{array}{r} 3 \\ \text { tiered } \\ \text { items } \end{array}$ |
| 8.RL. 2 Determine a theme or central idea of a text and analyze its development over the course of the text, including its relationship to the characters, setting, and plot; provide an objective summary of the text. | 8.RL.j2 Analyze the development of the theme or central idea over the course of the text including its relationship to the characters, setting and plot. | Identify the theme or central idea of the text. | 1 |


| $\begin{array}{l}\text { Common Core } \\ \text { State Standard }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Qty of } \\ \text { Items to }\end{array}$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Core Content Connector |  |  |  |  |$)$


| Common Core State Standard | Core Content Connector | Essential Understandings | Qty of Items to Develop |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| W.8.8 Gather relevant information from multiple print and digital sources, using search terms effectively; assess the credibility and accuracy of each source; and quote or paraphrase the data and conclusions of others while avoiding plagiarism and following a standard format for citation. | 8.WP.j1 Gather relevant information (e.g., highlight in text, quote or paraphrase from text or discussion) from print and or digital sources. | Identify sources of information relevant to the topic (e.g., print and/or digital). |  |
| W.8.4 Produce clear and coherent writing in which the development, organization, and style are appropriate to task, purpose, and audience. | 8.WI.o1 Produce a clear coherent permanent product that is appropriate to the specific task (e.g., topic), purpose (e.g., to inform), and audience (e.g., reader). | Given a specific purpose, produce a permanent product (e.g., select text appropriate to the purpose, identify descriptive sentences, and select a concluding statement). | 1 |
|  |  | Grade 8 Subtotal | 15 |
| ELA Grade 11 |  |  |  |
| Reading |  |  |  |
| 11-12.RL. 1 Cite strong and thorough textual evidence to support analysis of what the text says explicitly as well as inferences drawn from the text, including determining where the text leaves matters uncertain. | 1112.RL.b1 Use two or more pieces of evidence to support inferences, conclusions, or summaries of the plot, purpose or theme within a text. | Identify a summary of the plot of a literary text. | 1 |
| 11-12.RL5 Analyze how an author's choices concerning how to structure specific parts of a text (e.g., the choice of where to begin or end a story, the choice to provide a comedic or tragic resolution) contribute to its overall structure and meaning. | 1112.RL.d1 Analyze how an author's choices concerning how to structure specific parts of a text (e.g., the choice of where to begin or end a story, the choice to provide a comedic or tragic resolution) contribute to its overall structure and meaning. | Identify elements of a story's plot (e.g., exposition, rising action, climax, falling action, resolution). |  |
| 11-12.RI. 1 Cite strong and thorough textual evidence to support analysis of what the text says explicitly as well as inferences drawn from the text, including determining where the text leaves matters uncertain. | 1112.RI.b1 Use two or more pieces of evidence to support inferences, conclusions, or summaries or text. | Identify a conclusion from an informational text. |  |


| Common Core State Standard | Core Content Connector | Essential Understandings | Qty of Items to Develop |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11-12.RI. 2 Determine two or more central ideas of a text and analyze their development over the course of the text, including how they interact and build on one another to provide a complex analysis; provide an objective summary of the text. | 1112.RI.b5 Determine how key details support the development of the central idea of a text. | Identify the central idea or key detail of a text. | 1 |
| 11-12.RI. 7 Integrate and evaluate multiple sources of information presented in different media or formats (e.g., visually, quantitatively) as well as in words in order to address a question or solve a problem. | 1112.RI.e1 Integrate and evaluate multiple sources of information presented in different media or formats (e.g., visually, quantitatively) as well as in words in order to address a question or solve a problem. (Requires paired passage.) | Locate information within a text related to a given topic. |  |
| 11-12.L. 4 Determine or clarify the meaning of unknown and multiple-meaning words and phrases based on grade 1112 reading and content, choosing flexibly from an array of strategies. <br> 11-12.L.4a Use context (e.g., the overall meaning of a sentence, paragraph, or text; a word's position or function in a sentence) as a clue to the meaning of a word or phrase. | 1112.RWL.b1 Use context (e.g., the overall meaning of a sentence, paragraph, or text; a word's position in a sentence) as a clue to the meaning of a word or phrase. | Use context as a clue to determine the meaning of a word in text (e.g., EDL grade 8 or 9 ). |  |
| Writing |  |  |  |
| W.11-12.2 Write informative/ explanatory texts to examine and convey complex ideas, concepts, and information clearly and accurately through the effective selection, organization, and analysis of content. <br> W.11-12.2a Introduce a topic; organize complex ideas, concepts, and information so that each new element builds on that which precedes it to create a unified whole; include formatting (e.g., headings), graphics (e.g., figures, tables), and multimedia when useful to aiding comprehension. | 1112.WI.b2 Create an organizational structure for writing that groups information logically (e.g., cause/effect, compare/contrast, descriptions and examples) to support paragraph focus. | Identify information that doesn't belong in a paragraph based on an organizational structure (e.g., examples, descriptions, cause/effect, compare/contrast). |  |


| Common Core State Standard | Core Content Connector | Essential Understandings | Qty of Items to Develop |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| W.11-12.2 Write informative/ explanatory texts to examine and convey complex ideas, concepts, and information clearly and accurately through the effective selection, organization, and analysis of content. | 1112.WI.b4 Select the facts, extended definitions, concrete details, quotations, or other information and examples that are most relevant to the focus and appropriate for the audience. | Match details, facts, or examples to a topic. |  |
| W.11-12.2b Develop the topic thoroughly by selecting the most significant and relevant facts, extended definitions, concrete details, quotations, or other information and examples appropriate to the audience's knowledge of the topic. |  |  | 1 |
| W.11-12.4 Produce clear and coherent writing in which the development, organization, and style are appropriate to task, purpose, and audience. | 1112.WP.f1 Produce a clear coherent permanent product that is appropriate to the specific task, purpose (to persuade), and audience. | Given a specific purpose, produce a permanent product (e.g., select text appropriate to the purpose, identify descriptive sentences, and select a concluding statement). |  |
|  |  | ELA Grade 11 Subtotal | 15 |
| GRAND TOTAL ALL ELA ITEMS |  |  | 105 |

Table A. 2 Item Development Plans by Grade-Mathematics

| Common Core State Standard | Core Content Connector | Essential Understandings | Qty of Items to Develop |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mathematics Grade 3 |  |  |  |
| 3.OA.A. 1 Interpret products of whole numbers, e.g., interpret $5 \times 7$ as the total number of objects in 5 groups of 7 objects each. For example, describe a context in which a total number of objects can be expressed as $5 \times 7$. | 3.NO.2d3 Solve multiplication problems with neither number greater than 5 . | Create an array of sets (e.g., 3 rows of 2). |  |
| 3.OA.D.9 Identify arithmetic patterns (including patterns in the addition table or multiplication table), and explain them using properties of operations. For example, observe that 4 times a number is always even, and explain why 4 times a number can be decomposed into two equal addends. | 3.PRF.2d1 Identify multiplication patterns in a real world setting. | Concrete understanding of a pattern as a set that repeats regularly or grows according to a rule; Ability to identify a pattern that grows (able to show a pattern) (shapes, symbols, objects). |  |
| 3.NBT.A. 2 Fluently add and subtract within 1000 using strategies and algorithms based on place value, properties of operations, and/or the relationship between addition and subtraction. | 3.NO.2c1 Solve multi-step addition and subtraction problems up to 100. | Combine (+) or decompose (-) with concrete objects; use counting to get the answers. | 2 |
| 3.NF.A. 1 Understand a fraction $1 / b$ as the quantity formed by 1 part when a whole is partitioned into $b$ equal parts; understand a fraction $a / b$ as the quantity formed by a parts of size $1 / b$. | 3.NO.1I3 Identify the fraction that matches the representation (rectangles and circles; halves, fourths, and thirds, eighths). | Identify part and whole when item is divided. Count the number of the parts selected (3 of the 4 parts; have fraction present but not required to read $3 / 4$ ). |  |
| 3.MD.B. 3 Draw a scaled picture graph and a scaled bar graph to represent a data set with several categories. Solve one- and two-step "how many more" and "how many less" problems using information presented in scaled bar graphs. For example, draw a bar graph in which each square in the bar graph might represent 5 pets. | 3.DPS. 1 g1 Collect data, organize into picture or bar graph. | Organize data into a graph using objects (may have number symbols). |  |


| Common Core State Standard | Core Content Connector | Essential Understandings | Qty of Items to Develop |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3.G.A. 2 Partition shapes into parts with equal areas. Express the area of each part as a unit fraction of the whole. For example, partition a shape into 4 parts with equal area, and describe the area of each part as $1 / 4$ of the area of the shape. | 3.GM.1i1 Partition rectangles into equal parts with equal area. | Concept of equal parts; Partitioning with concrete objects; Find the rectangle that is the same or match two congruent rectangles. | 1 |
| Mathematics Grade 3 Subtotal |  |  | 15 |
| Mathematics Grade 4 |  |  |  |
| 4.OA.A. 1 Interpret a multiplication equation as a comparison, e.g., interpret 35 $=5 \times 7$ as a statement that 35 is 5 times as many as 7 and 7 times as many as 5 . Represent verbal statements of multiplicative comparisons as multiplication equations. | 4.NO.2d7 Determine how many objects go into each group when given the total number of objects and groups where the number in each group or number of groups is not > 10 . | Create an array of objects given a specific number of rows and the total number, place one object in each group/row at a time. |  |
| 4.NBT.A. 3 Use place value understanding to round multidigit whole numbers to any place. | 4.NO.1j5 Use place value to round to any place (i.e., ones, tens, hundreds, thousands). | Identify ones, tens, hundreds in bundled sets Similar/different with concrete representations (i.e., is this set of manipulatives (8 tens) closer to this set (a hundred) or this set (a ten)?). | $\begin{array}{r} 3 \\ \text { tiered } \\ \text { items } \end{array}$ |
| 4.NF.A. 1 Explain why a fraction $a / b$ is equivalent to a fraction $(n \times a) /(n \times b)$ by using visual fraction models, with attention to how the number and size of the parts differ even though the two fractions themselves are the same size. Use this principle to recognize and generate equivalent fractions. | 4.NO.1m1 Determine equivalent fractions. | Equivalency: what is and what is not equivalent; this may begin with numbers/sets of objects: e.g., 3=3 or two fraction representations that are identical (two pies showing 2/3). | 3 |
| 4.MD.A. 3 Apply the area and perimeter formulas for rectangles in real world and mathematical problems. For example, find the width of a rectangular room given the area of the flooring and the length, by viewing the area formula as a multiplication equation with an unknown factor. | 4.ME.1g2 Solve word problems using perimeter and area where changes occur to the dimensions of a rectilinear figure. | Identify the perimeter; Identify the area; Show each when size of figure changes. | $\begin{array}{r} 3 \\ \text { tiered } \\ \text { items } \end{array}$ |


| Common Core State Standard | Core Content Connector | Essential Understandings | Qty of Items to Develop |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4.G.A. 2 Classify twodimensional figures based on the presence or absence of parallel or perpendicular lines, or the presence or absence of angles of a specified size. Recognize right triangles as a category, and identify right triangles. | 4GM.1h2 Classify twodimensional shapes based on attributes (\# of angles). | Identify attributes within a 2dimensional figure (e.g., rectangles have sides student identifies sides of rectangle - and angles student identifies angles in rectangle). |  |
| Mathematics Grade 4 Subtotal |  |  | 15 |
| Mathematics Grade 5 |  |  |  |
| 5.OA.B. 3 Generate two numerical patterns using two given rules. Identify apparent relationships between corresponding terms. Form ordered pairs consisting of corresponding terms from the two patterns, and graph the ordered pairs on a coordinate plane. For example, given the rule "Add 3" and the starting number 0 , and given the rule "Add 6" and the starting number 0 , generate terms in the resulting sequences, and observe that the terms in one sequence are twice the corresponding terms in the other sequence. Explain informally why this is so. | 5.PRF.2b1 Generate or select a comparison between two graphs from a similar situation. | Compare two pieces of information provided in a single display. |  |
| 5.NBT.A.3a Read and write decimals to thousandths using base-ten numerals, number names, and expanded form, e.g., 347.392 $\begin{aligned} & =3 \times 100+4 \times 10+7 \times 1+ \\ & 3 \times(1 / 10)+9 \times(1 / 100)+2 \times \\ & (1 / 1000) . \end{aligned}$ | 5.NO.1b1 Read, write, or select a decimal to the hundredths place. | Recognize part whole using materials divided into tenths Count tenths to determine how many (e.g., 4 tenths) (. 4 have the decimal present but not required to read). |  |


| Common Core State Standard | Core Content Connector | Essential Understandings | Qty of Items to Develop |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5.NF.A. 2 Solve word problems involving addition and subtraction of fractions referring to the same whole, including cases of unlike denominators, e.g., by using visual fraction models or equations to represent the problem. Use benchmark fractions and number sense of fractions to estimate mentally and assess the reasonableness of answers. For example, recognize an incorrect result 2/5 + 1/2 = $3 / 7$, by observing that $3 / 7<$ 1/2. | 5.NO.2c2 Solve word problems involving the addition, subtraction, multiplication or division of fractions. | Identify what to do with the parts when given the key word (using the fractional parts). |  |
| 5.MD.A. 1 Convert among different-sized standard measurement units within a given measurement system (e.g., convert 5 cm to 0.05 m ), and use these conversions in solving multistep, real world problems. | 5.ME.2a1 Solve problems involving conversions of standard measurement units when finding area, volume, time-lapse, or mass. | Identify what measures time (clock used to measure time; calendar used to measure days); identify past/present (for lapsed time). |  |
| 5.G.A. 1 Use a pair of perpendicular number lines, called axes, to define a coordinate system, with the intersection of the lines (the origin) arranged to coincide with the 0 on each line and a given point in the plane located by using an ordered pair of numbers, called its coordinates. Understand that the first number indicates how far to travel from the origin in the direction of one axis, and the second number indicates how far to travel in the direction of the second axis, with the convention that the names of the two axes and the coordinates correspond (e.g., $x$-axis and $x$-coordinate, $y$-axis and $y$ coordinate). | 5.GM.1c3 Use ordered pairs to graph given points. | Identify the $x$ - and $y$-axis; or concept of intersection. | 3 |
| Mathematics Grade 5 Subtotal |  |  | 15 |


| Common Core State Standard | Core Content Connector | Essential Understandings | Qty of Items to Develop |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mathematics Grade 6 |  |  |  |
| 6.RP.A. 1 Understand the concept of a ratio and use ratio language to describe a ratio relationship between two quantities. For example, "The ratio of wings to beaks in the bird house at the zoo was 2:1, because for every 2 wings there was 1 beak." "For every vote candidate $A$ received, candidate $C$ received nearly three votes." | 6.PRF.1c1 Describe the ratio relationship between two quantities for a given situation. | Match/identify a simple ratio (1:X) to the relationship between two quantities. |  |
| 6.NS.C.6a Recognize opposite signs of numbers as indicating locations on opposite sides of 0 on the number line; recognize that the opposite of the opposite of a number is the number itself, e.g., $-(-3)=3$, and that 0 is its own opposite. | 6.NO.1d2 Locate positive and negative numbers on a number line. | Recognize how values/numbers lie on either side of zero. |  |
| 6.EE.B. 7 Solve real-world and mathematical problems by writing and solving equations of the form $x+p=$ $q$ and $p x=q$ for cases in which $p, q$ and $x$ are all nonnegative rational numbers. | 6.NO.2a6 Solve problems or word problems using up to three digit numbers and any of the four operations. | Decompose ( $\div$ ) with concrete objects; use counting to get the answer. | 3 |
| 6.G.A. 1 Find the area of right triangles, other triangles, special quadrilaterals, and polygons by composing into rectangles or decomposing into triangles and other shapes; apply these techniques in the context of solving real-world and mathematical problems. | 6.GM.1d1 Find the area of quadrilaterals. | Use manipulatives to measure the area of a rectangle (e.g., tiling). |  |
| 6.SP.A. 2 Understand that a set of data collected to answer a statistical question has a distribution which can be described by its center, spread, and overall shape. | 6.DPS.1d3 Select statement that matches mean, mode, and spread of data for 1 measure of central tendency for given data set. | Identify the highest and lowest value in a data set given a number line and matching symbols; Identify the representation (Plastic snap cubes, wiki sticks) of the mode; Use concrete materials to produce the mean (leveled plastic snap cubes). |  |
| Mathematics Grade 6 Subtotal |  |  | 15 |


| Common Core State Standard | Core Content Connector | Essential Understandings | Qty of Items to Develop |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mathematics Grade 7 |  |  |  |
| 7.RP.A. 3 Use proportional relationships to solve multistep ratio and percent problems. Examples: simple interest, tax, markups and markdowns, gratuities and commissions, fees, percent increase and decrease, percent error. | 7.NO.2f6 Solve word problems involving ratios. | Show rate when asked; Show proportion when asked; Select a set for the ratio given (Maria stamps three letters every minute which we write as $3: 1$. Show me the letters she stamps in a minute). |  |
| 7.NS.A. 2 Apply and extend previous understandings of multiplication and division and of fractions to multiply and divide rational numbers. | 7.NO.2i1 Solve multiplication problems with positive/negative numbers. | Create an array of objects for the mathematical equation and match answer symbol (+ or -) following multiplication rules for an equation. | 3 |
| 7.EE.B. 4 Use variables to represent quantities in a realworld or mathematical problem, and construct simple equations and inequalities to solve problems by reasoning about the quantities. | 7.PRF. 1 g 2 Use variables to represent quantities in a realworld or mathematical problem, and construct simple equations and inequalities to solve problems by reasoning about the quantities. | Record/replace a variable in an equation with a fact from a story on a graphic organizer. |  |
| 7.G.B. 6 Solve real-world and mathematical problems involving area, volume and surface area of two- and three-dimensional objects composed of triangles, quadrilaterals, polygons, cubes, and right prisms. | 7.GM.1h2 Find the surface area of three-dimensional figures using nets of rectangles or triangles. | Demonstrate the concept of the surface area of a rectangular prism; Fill rectangular prism. |  |
| 7.SP.B. 4 Use measures of center and measures of variability for numerical data from random samples to draw informal comparative inferences about two populations. For example, decide whether the words in a chapter of a seventh-grade science book are generally longer than the words in a chapter of a fourth-grade science book. | 7.DPS.1k1 Analyze graphs to determine or select appropriate comparative inferences about two samples or populations. | Understand basic information from simple graphs (e.g., interpret a bar graph using the understanding that the taller column on a graph has a higher frequency, the shorter column on a graph has a lower frequency). |  |
| Mathematics Grade 8 Subtotal |  |  | 15 |


| Common Core State Standard | Core Content Connector | Essential Understandings | Qty of Items to Develop |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mathematics Grade 8 |  |  |  |
| 8.NS.A. 2 Use rational approximations of irrational numbers to compare the size of irrational numbers, locate them approximately on a number line diagram, and estimate the value of expressions (e.g., $\pi^{2}$ ). For example, by truncating the decimal expansion of $\sqrt{ } 2$, show that $\sqrt{ } 2$ is between 1 and 2 , then between 1.4 and 1.5, and explain how to continue on to get better approximations. | 8.NO.1k3 Use approximations of irrational numbers to locate them on a number line. | Recognize how values/numbers can lie between whole number values on a number line. | $\begin{array}{r} 3 \\ \text { tiered } \\ \text { items } \end{array}$ |
| 8.F.B. 5 Describe qualitatively the functional relationship between two quantities by analyzing a graph (e.g., where the function is increasing or decreasing, linear or nonlinear). Sketch a graph that exhibits the qualitative features of a function that has been described verbally. | 8.PRF.1f2 Describe or select the relationship between the two quantities given a line graph of the situation. | Use a graph to recognize the quantity in two sets, without counting, to determine which is relatively larger. | 3 |
| 8.G.A. 4 Understand that a two-dimensional figure is similar to another if the second can be obtained from the first by a sequence of rotations, reflections, translations, and dilations; given two similar twodimensional figures, describe a sequence that exhibits the similarity between them. | 8.ME.1e1 Describe the changes in surface area, area, and volume when the figure is changed in some way (e.g., scale drawings). | Recognize how the space inside a figure increases when the sides are lengthened. | $\begin{array}{r} 3 \\ \text { tiered } \\ \text { items } \end{array}$ |
| 8.SP.A. 1 Construct and interpret scatter plots for bivariate measurement data to investigate patterns of association between two quantities. Describe patterns such as clustering, outliers, positive or negative association, linear association, and nonlinear association. | 8.DPS.1h1 Graph bivariate data using scatter plots and identify possible associations between the variable. | Locate points on the x-axis and $y$-axis of an adapted grid (not necessarily numeric). | $\begin{array}{r} 3 \\ \text { tiered } \\ \text { items } \end{array}$ |


| Common Core State Standard | Core Content Connector | Essential Understandings | Qty of Items to Develop |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8.EE.B. 5 Graph proportional relationships, interpreting the unit rate as the slope of the graph. Compare two different proportional relationships represented in different ways. For example, compare a distance-time graph to a distance-time equation to determine which of two moving objects has greater speed. | 8.PRF.1e2 Represent proportional relationships on a line graph. | Recognize a positive relationship between two variables. |  |
| Mathematics Grade 8 Subtotal |  |  | 15 |
| Mathematics Grade 11 |  |  |  |
| HSN-RN.A. 2 Rewrite expressions involving radicals and rational exponents using the properties of exponents. | HS.NO.1a1 Simplify expressions that include exponents. | Create an array with a number multiplied by itself (Show me 3 rows of 3 ). |  |
| HSN-Q.A. 1 Use units as a way to understand problems and to guide the solution of multi-step problems; choose and interpret units consistently in formulas; choose and interpret the scale and the origin in graphs and data displays. | H.ME.1a2 Solve real world problems involving units of measurement. | Ability to solve real world measurement problems that require interpretation and use of a table. | 2 |
| HSA-CED.A. 1 Create equations and inequalities in one variable and use them to solve problems. Include equations arising from linear and quadratic functions, and simple rational and exponential functions. | H.PRF.2b1 Translate a realworld problem into a onevariable linear equation. | Match an equation with one variable to the real world context. |  |
| HSF-LE.A. 3 Observe using graphs and tables that a quantity increasing exponentially eventually exceeds a quantity increasing linearly, quadratically, or (more generally) as a polynomial function. | H.PRF. 2c1 Make predictions based on a given model (for example, a weather model, data for athletes over years). | Extend a graph when provided a relationship and two choices. |  |
| HSS-ID.A. 1 Represent data with plots on the real number line (dot plots, histograms, and box plots). | H.DPS. 1 b 1 Complete a graph given the data, using dot plots, histograms, or box plots. | Make a connection between categories in a data table to the appropriate axis of a graph. |  |


| $\begin{array}{l}\text { Common Core } \\ \text { State Standard }\end{array}$ | Core Content Connector |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |\(\left.\quad \begin{array}{l}Qty of <br>

Items to <br>
Develop\end{array}\right]\)

## Appendix B: 2014-15 CAA Administration Observation Reports

Educational Testing Service visited selected testing sites during the 2014-15 administration to observe, first hand, administration of the California Alternate Assessments.

## English Language Arts

The type of classrooms observed were self-contained and ranged from mild to moderate to severe cognitive disabilities. Observations were completed in Riverside, Encinitas, and Chula Vista school districts.

## Directions for Administration (DFAs)

- It was evident that, at the time of the observation, one of the three test examiners had read through the Directions for Administration (DFA) and had already completed some administrations.
- One test examiner was not aware of the DFA and had already administered an assessment without the DFA prior to the observation.
- The third test examiner had not administered the assessment or read the test examiner's manual prior to testing.


## Testing Environment/Administration

- All three test examiners created an environment with only the test examiner, the student, and the observer. The test examiners would notify the students that they would be taking a test in either mathematics or reading. In all classrooms observed, only one content was administered at a time; the text examiner would administer the other content at a later time.
- In all cases, the test examiner set up the testing platform while the student was seated and waiting to begin. In some cases, logging on or navigating to the proper Web site took some time, but there were no major technology issues. In cases where a student had already taken mathematics or reading assessments, the test examiner did not administer the student response check (SRC) again but would fill in the responses, as they were the same for both content areas.
- The testing time per session for either mathematics or reading ranged between 20 to 45 minutes. Most students completed the entire content area assessment in one session. However, some students with shorter attention spans or higher frustration levels were only able to answer between two to four questions before they had to stop and return at a later time.
- During testing, navigation of the assessment also varied. Some students were able to navigate with verbal cues from the test examiner. Other students responded using eye gaze, a communication device, nodding, pointing, or some other means. One test examiner used a projector to increase the visibility of the assessment for a student with a visual impairment. In all cases, either a desktop or laptop computer were used. No tablets were during the administrations observed. DFAs were also printed out. The students' understanding of the test content ranged from low to moderate to high.
- Test examiners recommended more than one or two items in the SRC in order to obtain consistency from each student. They also recommended graphic support within the

SRC. Adding a transition in the DFA between the SRC and the actual assessment was suggested. Some test examiners did not realize until further into the assessment that they were actually in the test.

- All test examiners expressed positive feedback regarding the administration of an online assessment vs. a paper-based assessment.


## Mathematics

The type of classrooms observed were self-contained and ranged from mild to moderate to severe cognitive disabilities. Observations were completed in the Butte County Office of Education, Sacramento City and San Juan Unified school districts.

## Directions for Administration (DFAs)

- In all but one site, there seemed to be confusion about whether the practice test was the actual test and also where to find the DFA.
- In all but one site, test examiners were not able to find the DFA although the day the site was observed was not the first day of testing. Upon asking how they had administered the test without instructions, teachers assured that they "kind of read the question to the student and waited" for an answer.


## Testing Environment/Administration

- As stated previously, the use of the DFAs was sporadic. The administration of the test was nonstandard; testing was paused a couple of times and not reattempted. Few test examiners realized they could restate a question.
- Many were confused by the first three response type questions. A few test examiners said the student was so confused by the first two or three questions that they ended the session there.
- Some students were tested on a device they were not accustomed to using (laptop vs. desktop).
- Some students were given the option to refuse the test while others were forced to go through every item.
- Several times it was noted that these students have never seen the information being tested.
- Several teachers voiced concerns about the difficulty level of the questions. Their concerns were with the appropriateness of the standards to this population.
- Several teachers voiced concerns about the confusing nature of the Web site and trying to locate DFAs.
- There were many concerns about the situation where a student had been entered into the Test Operations Management System, where students were assigned tests; but when the test examiner went to administer the assessment, it was unavailable until the student was re-entered into the system by school district personnel.
- Nearly every teacher noted the confusing nature of the response items at the beginning of the test.
- The innovative item types were of concern as well since these students do not have fulltime access to the technology upon which they were tested. A few test examiners informed the ETS representative that, although tablets and laptops were available in the school, they were not available to or used by this population.


## Appendix C: Learning Characteristic Inventory

Table C. 1 LCI Summary-English Language Arts

| Questionnaire | Grade 3 <br> (\%) | Grade 4 (\%) | Grade 5 (\%) | Grade 6 (\%) | Grade 7 <br> (\%) | Grade 8 <br> (\%) | Grade 11 (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Q1: Expressive Communication (check the one that best describes this student) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A. Uses symbolic language to communicate: Student uses verbal or written words, signs, braille, or language-based augmentative systems to request, initiate, and respond to questions, describe things or events, and express refusal. | 43.7 | 45.0 | 45.2 | 48.8 | 46.5 | 47.8 | 48.8 |
| B. Uses intentional communication, but not at a symbolic language level: Student uses understandable communication through such modes as gestures, pictures, objects/textures, points, etc., to clearly express a variety of intentions. | 18.1 | 15.2 | 14.8 | 13.2 | 13.9 | 12.8 | 11.3 |
| C. Student communicates primarily through cries, facial expressions, change in muscle tone, etc., but no clear use of objects/textures, regularized gestures, pictures, signs, etc., to communicate. | 3.7 | 2.5 | 3.1 | 2.4 | 3.3 | 2.5 | 3.7 |
| NO RESPONSES | 34.6 | 37.3 | 36.9 | 35.6 | 36.4 | 37.0 | 36.2 |
| Q2: Does this student use an augmentative communication system in addition to or in place of oral speech? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A. Yes | 10.4 | 8.9 | 10.5 | 8.8 | 9.5 | 8.7 | 8.0 |
| B. No | 54.7 | 53.6 | 52.4 | 55.7 | 54.1 | 54.3 | 55.9 |
| NO RESPONSES | 34.9 | 37.6 | 37.2 | 35.6 | 36.4 | 37.0 | 36.2 |
| Q3: Receptive Language (check the one that best describes this student) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A. Independently follows $1-2$ step directions presented through words (e.g. words may be spoken, signed, printed, or any combination) and does NOT need additional cues. | 28.8 | 29.7 | 32.6 | 35.1 | 35.0 | 37.0 | 38.3 |
| B. Requires additional cues (e.g., gestures, pictures, objects, or demonstrations/models) to follow 1-2 step directions. | 31.3 | 29.5 | 26.5 | 26.1 | 24.8 | 23.1 | 21.6 |
| C. Alerts to sensory input from another person (auditory, visual, touch, movement) BUT requires actual physical assistance to follow simple directions. | 4.0 | 2.8 | 3.3 | 2.5 | 2.8 | 2.2 | 3.2 |
| D. Uncertain response to sensory stimuli (e.g., sound/voice; sight/gesture; touch; movement; smell). | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 0.8 |
| NO RESPONSES | 35.1 | 37.5 | 37.2 | 35.7 | 36.5 | 37.2 | 36.2 |


| Questionnaire | Grade 3 (\%) | Grade 4 (\%) | Grade 5 (\%) | Grade 6 (\%) | Grade 7 <br> (\%) | Grade 8 <br> (\%) | Grade 11 (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Q4: Vision (check the one that best describes this student) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A. Vision within normal limits. | 49.9 | 48.1 | 47.8 | 49.3 | 47.1 | 46.0 | 44.9 |
| B. Corrected vision within normal limits. | 12.4 | 12.4 | 13.0 | 12.4 | 13.9 | 14.9 | 15.9 |
| C. Low vision; uses vision for some activities of daily living. | 2.3 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 2.2 |
| D. No functional use of vision for activities of daily living, or unable to determine functional use of vision. | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.9 |
| NO RESPONSES | 34.8 | 37.6 | 37.2 | 35.8 | 36.4 | 36.9 | 36.2 |
| Q5: Hearing (check the one that best describes this student) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A. Hearing within normal limits. | 61.7 | 59.7 | 59.7 | 61.5 | 60.0 | 59.8 | 60.0 |
| B. Corrected hearing loss within normal limits. | 1.2 | 0.9 | 1.3 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.4 | 1.1 |
| C. Hearing loss aided, but still with a significant loss. | 0.9 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 0.9 |
| D. Profound loss, even with aids. | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.9 |
| E. Unable to determine functional use of hearing. | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 1.1 | 0.5 | 0.9 |
| NO RESPONSES | 34.9 | 37.5 | 37.2 | 35.8 | 36.4 | 36.9 | 36.2 |
| Q6: Motor (check the one that best describes this student) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A. No significant motor dysfunction that requires adaptations. | 58.2 | 56.7 | 56.3 | 57.9 | 56.9 | 57.0 | 57.2 |
| B. Requires adaptations to support motor functioning (e.g., walker, adapted utensils, and/or keyboard). | 3.8 | 3.2 | 3.4 | 3.6 | 3.0 | 2.9 | 3.1 |
| C. Uses wheelchair, positioning equipment, and/or assistive devices for most activities. | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 1.5 |
| D. Needs personal assistance for most/all motor activities. | 1.6 | 1.0 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 1.9 | 1.1 | 2.0 |
| NO RESPONSES | 34.9 | 37.6 | 37.2 | 35.8 | 36.5 | 37.0 | 36.3 |
| Q7: Engagement (check the one that best describes this student) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A. Initiates and sustains social interactions. | 33.6 | 34.7 | 35.5 | 36.2 | 36.3 | 38.2 | 40.2 |
| B. Responds with social interaction, but does not initiate or sustain social interactions. | 25.8 | 23.8 | 22.4 | 24.1 | 22.1 | 20.9 | 19.0 |
| C. Alerts to others. | 4.8 | 3.4 | 4.1 | 3.3 | 4.4 | 3.4 | 3.8 |
| D. Does not alert to others. | 0.9 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.6 |
| NO RESPONSES | 34.9 | 37.6 | 37.3 | 35.6 | 36.4 | 36.9 | 36.5 |


| Questionnaire | Grade 3 <br> (\%) | Grade 4 (\%) | Grade 5 <br> (\%) | Grade 6 <br> (\%) | Grade 7 (\%) | Grade 8 <br> (\%) | Grade 11 (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Q8: Health Issues/Attendance (check the one that best describes this student) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A. Attends at least $90 \%$ of school days. | 56.0 | 54.5 | 55.3 | 57.0 | 56.9 | 56.7 | 55.8 |
| B. Attends approximately $75 \%$ of school days; absences primarily due to health issues. | 7.5 | 6.7 | 6.0 | 6.3 | 5.5 | 5.0 | 5.9 |
| C. Attends approximately $50 \%$ or less of school days; absences primarily due to health issues. | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 1.1 |
| D. Receives Homebound Instruction due to health issues. | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| E. Highly irregular attendance or homebound instruction due to issues other than health. | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.9 |
| NO RESPONSES | 34.9 | 37.5 | 37.3 | 35.7 | 36.4 | 37.0 | 36.3 |
| Q9: Reading (check the one that best describes this student) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A. Reads fluently with basic (literal) understanding from paragraphs/short passages with narrative/informational texts in print or braille. | 5.0 | 8.0 | 10.2 | 13.8 | 14.2 | 17.1 | 20.6 |
| B. Reads basic sight words, simple sentences, directions, bullets, and/or lists in print or braille. | 31.3 | 32.1 | 31.6 | 33.4 | 31.8 | 31.4 | 27.1 |
| C. Aware of text/braille, follows directionality, makes letter distinctions, or tells a story from the pictures that is not linked to the text. | 21.4 | 17.3 | 15.2 | 13.4 | 12.7 | 10.5 | 10.5 |
| D. No observable awareness of print or braille. | 7.4 | 4.8 | 5.6 | 3.7 | 4.9 | 4.0 | 5.6 |
| NO RESPONSES | 35.0 | 37.7 | 37.4 | 35.8 | 36.4 | 37.0 | 36.3 |
| Q10: Mathematics (check the one that best describes this student) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A. Applies computational procedures to solve real-life or routine word problems from a variety of contexts. | 1.8 | 2.5 | 3.1 | 4.3 | 4.5 | 6.6 | 7.8 |
| B. Does computational procedures with or without a calculator. | 21.5 | 25.6 | 28.4 | 33.9 | 34.2 | 36.1 | 34.6 |
| C. Counts with $1: 1$ correspondence to at least 10 , and/or makes numbered sets of items. | 25.3 | 22.6 | 19.6 | 17.1 | 14.9 | 11.9 | 11.9 |
| D. Counts by rote to 5 . | 10.8 | 7.6 | 7.1 | 5.9 | 5.5 | 4.6 | 4.8 |
| E. No observable awareness or use of numbers. | 5.6 | 4.1 | 4.6 | 3.0 | 4.4 | 3.7 | 4.7 |
| NO RESPONSES | 35.1 | 37.6 | 37.3 | 35.8 | 36.4 | 37.1 | 36.2 |

Table C. 2 LCI Summary-Mathematics

| Questionnaire | Grade 3 <br> (\%) | Grade 4 (\%) | Grade 5 <br> (\%) | Grade 6 (\%) | Grade 7 <br> (\%) | Grade 8 <br> (\%) | Grade 11 (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Q1: Expressive Communication (check the one that best describes this student) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A. Uses symbolic language to communicate: Student uses verbal or written words, signs, braille, or language-based augmentative systems to request, initiate, and respond to questions, describe things or events, and express refusal. | 44.6 | 44.9 | 46.6 | 48.7 | 47.4 | 48.5 | 49.8 |
| B. Uses intentional communication, but not at a symbolic language level: Student uses understandable communication through such modes as gestures, pictures, objects/textures, points, etc., to clearly express a variety of intentions. | 17.5 | 15.4 | 14.3 | 13.2 | 13.8 | 12.4 | 10.7 |
| C. Student communicates primarily through cries, facial expressions, change in muscle tone, etc., but no clear use of objects/textures, regularized gestures, pictures, signs, etc., to communicate. | 2.8 | 2.4 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 2.2 | 2.4 | 2.7 |
| NO RESPONSES | 35.1 | 37.3 | 36.8 | 35.8 | 36.6 | 36.7 | 36.7 |
| Q2: Does this student use an augmentative communication system in addition to or in place of oral speech? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A. Yes | 9.7 | 8.8 | 9.6 | 8.6 | 9.1 | 8.3 | 7.4 |
| B. No | 54.8 | 53.7 | 53.2 | 55.7 | 54.4 | 54.9 | 55.9 |
| NO REPONSES | 35.5 | 37.6 | 37.1 | 35.7 | 36.5 | 36.8 | 36.7 |
| Q3: Receptive Language (check the one that best describes this student) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A. Independently follows 1-2 step directions presented through words (e.g. words may be spoken, signed, printed, or any combination) and does NOT need additional cues. | 29.4 | 29.8 | 33.6 | 35.1 | 35.9 | 37.5 | 39.0 |
| B. Requires additional cues (e.g., gestures, pictures, objects, or demonstrations/models) to follow 1-2 step directions. | 31.1 | 29.4 | 26.2 | 26.0 | 25.0 | 23.0 | 21.3 |
| C. Alerts to sensory input from another person (auditory, visual, touch, movement) BUT requires actual physical assistance to follow simple directions. | 3.3 | 2.9 | 2.7 | 2.5 | 2.2 | 2.1 | 2.5 |
| D. Uncertain response to sensory stimuli (e.g., sound/voice; sight/gesture; touch; movement; smell). | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.4 |
| NO RESPONSES | 35.8 | 37.5 | 37.2 | 35.9 | 36.6 | 36.9 | 36.7 |
| Q4: Vision (check the one that best describes this student) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A. Vision within normal limits. | 49.8 | 48.3 | 48.0 | 48.9 | 47.2 | 46.1 | 44.6 |
| B. Corrected vision within normal limits. | 12.4 | 12.2 | 13.0 | 12.6 | 14.1 | 15.2 | 16.2 |
| C. Low vision; uses vision for some activities of daily living. | 2.0 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.9 |
| D. No functional use of vision for activities of daily living, or unable to determine functional use of vision. | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.5 |
| NO RESPONSES | 35.5 | 37.6 | 37.2 | 36.0 | 36.6 | 36.7 | 36.8 |


| Questionnaire | Grade 3 <br> (\%) | Grade 4 (\%) | Grade 5 <br> (\%) | Grade 6 <br> (\%) | Grade 7 <br> (\%) | Grade 8 <br> (\%) | Grade 11 (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Q5: Hearing (check the one that best describes this student) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A. Hearing within normal limits. | 61.2 | 59.6 | 59.7 | 61.2 | 60.2 | 60.0 | 59.8 |
| B. Corrected hearing loss within normal limits. | 1.3 | 0.9 | 1.4 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.5 | 1.1 |
| C. Hearing loss aided, but still with a significant loss. | 0.9 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| D. Profound loss, even with aids. | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 1.0 |
| E. Unable to determine functional use of hearing. | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.4 |
| NO RESPONSES | 35.6 | 37.6 | 37.1 | 36.0 | 36.5 | 36.6 | 36.8 |
| Q6: Motor (check the one that best describes this student) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A. No significant motor dysfunction that requires adaptations. | 58.3 | 56.9 | 56.9 | 57.9 | 57.6 | 57.6 | 57.6 |
| B. Requires adaptations to support motor functioning (e.g., walker, adapted utensils, and/or keyboard). | 3.7 | 3.1 | 3.3 | 3.6 | 3.0 | 2.9 | 2.7 |
| C. Uses wheelchair, positioning equipment, and/or assistive devices for most activities. | 1.4 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 1.5 |
| D. Needs personal assistance for most/all motor activities. | 1.1 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 1.5 |
| NO RESPONSES | 35.5 | 37.6 | 37.2 | 36.0 | 36.6 | 36.7 | 36.8 |
| Q7: Engagement (check the one that best describes this student) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A. Initiates and sustains social interactions. | 34.1 | 34.8 | 36.3 | 36.3 | 37.1 | 38.8 | 41.2 |
| B. Responds with social interaction, but does not initiate or sustain social interactions. | 25.6 | 23.5 | 22.3 | 23.7 | 22.1 | 20.6 | 18.1 |
| C. Alerts to others. | 4.0 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 3.3 |
| D. Does not alert to others. | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.4 |
| NO RESPONSES | 35.5 | 37.6 | 37.3 | 35.8 | 36.5 | 36.7 | 37.0 |
| Q8: Health Issues/Attendance (check the one that best describes this student) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A. Attends at least $90 \%$ of school days. | 55.8 | 54.4 | 55.3 | 56.9 | 57.0 | 57.1 | 55.5 |
| B. Attends approximately $75 \%$ of school days; absences primarily due to health issues. | 7.1 | 6.9 | 5.9 | 6.1 | 5.3 | 5.0 | 5.7 |
| C. Attends approximately $50 \%$ or less of school days; absences primarily due to health issues. | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 1.1 |
| D. Receives Homebound Instruction due to health issues. | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 |  |
| E. Highly irregular attendance or homebound instruction due to issues other than health. | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.9 |
| NO RESPONSES | 35.5 | 37.5 | 37.3 | 35.9 | 36.5 | 36.7 | 36.8 |


| Questionnaire | Grade 3 <br> (\%) | Grade 4 <br> (\%) | Grade 5 <br> (\%) | Grade 6 <br> (\%) | Grade 7 <br> (\%) | Grade 8 <br> (\%) | Grade 11 (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Q9: Reading (check the one that best describes this student) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A. Reads fluently with basic (literal) understanding from paragraphs/short passages with narrative/informational texts in print or braille. | 5.2 | 8.0 | 10.7 | 14.0 | 14.7 | 17.5 | 21.4 |
| B. Reads basic sight words, simple sentences, directions, bullets, and/or lists in print or braille. | 32.1 | 32.4 | 32.3 | 33.6 | 32.8 | 31.9 | 27.5 |
| C. Aware of text/braille, follows directionality, makes letter distinctions, or tells a story from the pictures that is not linked to the text. | 21.0 | 17.2 | 15.2 | 13.1 | 12.6 | 10.3 | 9.8 |
| D. No observable awareness of print or braille. | 6.1 | 4.7 | 4.5 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.4 |
| NO RESPONSES | 35.6 | 37.7 | 37.3 | 35.9 | 36.5 | 36.8 | 36.9 |
| Q10: Mathematics (check the one that best describes this student) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A. Applies computational procedures to solve real-life or routine word problems from a variety of contexts. | 1.9 | 2.5 | 3.3 | 4.3 | 4.6 | 6.7 | 8.1 |
| B. Does computational procedures with or without a calculator. | 22.3 | 25.7 | 29.1 | 34.4 | 35.2 | 36.8 | 35.6 |
| C. Counts with 1:1 correspondence to at least 10 , and/or makes numbered sets of items. | 25.5 | 22.6 | 19.8 | 17.1 | 15.2 | 11.9 | 11.6 |
| D. Counts by rote to 5 . | 10.2 | 7.7 | 6.9 | 5.6 | 5.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 |
| E. No observable awareness or use of numbers. | 4.4 | 3.9 | 3.7 | 2.7 | 3.0 | 3.3 | 3.8 |
| NO RESPONSES | 35.7 | 37.6 | 37.3 | 35.9 | 36.5 | 36.9 | 36.7 |

## Appendix D: Student Response Check by Grade

Table D. 1 Student Response Check Outcome and Test Completion Status by Grade

| Grade | Outcome | Overall |  | ELA |  |  |  |  |  | Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | N | \% | $\mathbf{z}$ $\mathbf{\#}$ $\mathbf{0}$ $\mathbf{O}$ 0 0 |  |  |  |  |  | 2 $\mathbf{\#}$ $\mathbf{0}$ $\mathbf{0}$ 0 0 | $\begin{aligned} & \pm \\ & \text { む } \\ & \text { 을 } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $3$ | The student demonstrates an observable, consistent response. | 2,635 | 56\% | 2,608 | 68\% | 5 | 5\% | 13 | 2\% | 2,564 | 70\% | 30 | 20\% | 16 | 2\% |
| 3 | The student demonstrates an observable, but inconsistent, response. | 1,135 | 24\% | 997 | 26\% | 80 | 72\% | 51 | 7\% | 900 | 25\% | 93 | 61\% | 119 | 16\% |
|  | The student does not demonstrate any observable responses. | 828 | 18\% | 216 | 6\% | 25 | 23\% | 578 | 78\% | 183 | 5\% | 29 | 19\% | 521 | 72\% |
| 3 | No SRC | 121 | 3\% | 19 | 0\% | 1 | 1\% | 101 | 14\% | 19 | 1\% | 1 | 1\% | 72 | 10\% |
|  | Grade 3 Total | 4,719 | 100\% | 3,840 | 100\% | 111 | 100\% | 743 | 100\% | 3,666 | 100\% | 153 | 100\% | 728 | 100\% |
| 4 | The student demonstrates an observable, consistent response. | 2,815 | 59\% | 2,779 | 72\% | 10 | 8\% | 14 | 2\% | 2,729 | 72\% | 24 | 18\% | 25 | 4\% |
| 4 | The student demonstrates an observable, but inconsistent, response. | 1,098 | 23\% | 918 | 24\% | 94 | 72\% | 80 | 11\% | 903 | 24\% | 84 | 62\% | 84 | 13\% |
|  | The student does not demonstrate any observable responses. | 733 | 15\% | 132 | 3\% | 25 | 19\% | 573 | 76\% | 142 | 4\% | 27 | 20\% | 479 | 74\% |
| 4 | No SRC | 98 | 2\% | 14 | 0\% | 1 | 1\% | 83 | 11\% | 14 | 0\% | 1 | 1\% | 59 | 9\% |
|  | Grace 4 Total | 4,744 | 100\% | 3,843 | 100\% | 130 | 100\% | 750 | 100\% | 3,788 | 100\% | 136 | 100\% | 647 | 100\% |
| 5 | The student demonstrates an observable, consistent response. | 2,814 | 60\% | 2,777 | 72\% | 7 | 5\% | 15 | 2\% | 2,738 | 74\% | 21 | 15\% | 24 | 3\% |
| 5 | The student demonstrates an observable, but inconsistent, response. | 1,096 | 23\% | 915 | 24\% | 99 | 74\% | 80 | 12\% | 841 | 23\% | 93 | 67\% | 135 | 20\% |
| 5 | The student does not demonstrate any observable responses. | 672 | 14\% | 133 | 3\% | 27 | 20\% | 504 | 74\% | 103 | 3\% | 23 | 17\% | 465 | 67\% |
| 5 | No SRC | 105 | 2\% | 16 | 0\% | 1 | 1\% | 86 | 13\% | 15 | 0\% | 1 | 1\% | 66 | 10\% |
|  | Grade 5 Total | 4,687 | 100\% | 3,841 | 100\% | 134 | 100\% | 685 | 100\% | 3,697 | 100\% | 138 | 100\% | 690 | 100\% |



## Appendix E: Demographic Information

Table E. 1 Demographic Information-English Language Arts

|  | Grade 3 |  | Grade 4 |  | Grade 5 |  | Grade 6 |  | Grade 7 |  | Grade 8 |  | Grade 11 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |
| All | 3,840 | 100 | 3,843 | 100 | 3,841 | 100 | 3,718 | 100 | 3,560 | 100 | 3,515 | 100 | 3,284 | 100 |
| Female | 1,181 | 31 | 1,234 | 32 | 1,241 | 32 | 1,191 | 32 | 1,179 | 33 | 1,209 | 34 | 1,147 | 35 |
| Male | 2,659 | 69 | 2,609 | 68 | 2,600 | 68 | 2,527 | 68 | 2,381 | 67 | 2,306 | 66 | 2,137 | 65 |
| American Indian | 26 | 0.7 | 34 | 0.9 | 28 | 0.7 | 33 | 0.9 | 37 | 1 | 34 | 1 | 35 | 1.1 |
| Asian American | 281 | 7.3 | 244 | 6.3 | 267 | 7 | 275 | 7.4 | 266 | 7.5 | 272 | 7.7 | 255 | 7.8 |
| African American | 302 | 7.9 | 305 | 7.9 | 317 | 8.3 | 290 | 7.8 | 323 | 9.1 | 320 | 9.1 | 316 | 9.6 |
| Filipino | 130 | 3.4 | 126 | 3.3 | 143 | 3.7 | 160 | 4.3 | 127 | 3.6 | 153 | 4.4 | 138 | 4.2 |
| Hispanic | 2,215 | 58 | 2,170 | 57 | 2,175 | 57 | 2,035 | 55 | 1,898 | 53 | 1,893 | 54 | 1,626 | 50 |
| Pacific Islander | 23 | 0.6 | 20 | 0.5 | 14 | 0.4 | 15 | 0.4 | 10 | 0.3 | 19 | 0.5 | 18 | 0.5 |
| White | 741 | 19 | 828 | 22 | 790 | 21 | 799 | 22 | 802 | 23 | 738 | 21 | 809 | 25 |
| Two or more | 122 | 3.2 | 116 | 3 | 107 | 2.8 | 111 | 3 | 97 | 2.7 | 86 | 2.4 | 87 | 2.6 |
| English Learner | 1,494 | 39 | 1,451 | 38 | 1,353 | 35 | 1,237 | 33 | 1,181 | 33 | 1,139 | 32 | 953 | 29 |
| English only | 2,214 | 58 | 2,246 | 58 | 2,261 | 59 | 2,206 | 59 | 2,083 | 59 | 2,051 | 58 | 2,014 | 61 |
| Initially-Fluent English Proficient | 40 | 1 | 38 | 1 | 58 | 1.5 | 55 | 1.5 | 58 | 1.6 | 65 | 1.8 | 57 | 1.7 |
| Reclassified-Fluent English Proficient | 82 | 2.1 | 102 | 2.7 | 161 | 4.2 | 217 | 5.8 | 233 | 6.5 | 248 | 7.1 | 257 | 7.8 |
| TBD | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0.1 | - | - | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| English Proficient Unknown | 9 | 0.2 | 5 | 0.1 | 5 | 0.1 | 3 | 0.1 | 4 | 0.1 | 11 | 0.3 | 2 | 0.1 |
| Autism | 1,353 | 35 | 1,301 | 34 | 1,257 | 33 | 1,229 | 33 | 1,093 | 31 | 1,114 | 32 | 842 | 26 |
| Deaf-blindness | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0.1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0.1 | 1 | 0 | - | - | - | - |
| Emotional disturbance | 10 | 0.3 | 18 | 0.5 | 20 | 0.5 | 16 | 0.4 | 23 | 0.6 | 18 | 0.5 | 25 | 0.8 |
| Hearing impairment | 34 | 0.9 | 43 | 1.1 | 49 | 1.3 | 35 | 0.9 | 31 | 0.9 | 41 | 1.2 | 52 | 1.6 |
| Intellectual disability | 1,268 | 33 | 1,371 | 36 | 1,425 | 37 | 1,439 | 39 | 1,484 | 42 | 1,464 | 42 | 1,480 | 45 |
| Multiple disabilities | 113 | 2.9 | 83 | 2.2 | 118 | 3.1 | 117 | 3.1 | 107 | 3 | 104 | 3 | 127 | 3.9 |
| Other hearing impairment | 237 | 6.2 | 191 | 5 | 233 | 6.1 | 184 | 4.9 | 164 | 4.6 | 145 | 4.1 | 145 | 4.4 |
| Orthopedic impairment | 168 | 4.4 | 156 | 4.1 | 165 | 4.3 | 135 | 3.6 | 167 | 4.7 | 160 | 4.6 | 160 | 4.9 |
| Specific learning disability | 249 | 6.5 | 286 | 7.4 | 243 | 6.3 | 250 | 6.7 | 214 | 6 | 203 | 5.8 | 270 | 8.2 |
| Speech or language impairment | 208 | 5.4 | 189 | 4.9 | 166 | 4.3 | 113 | 3 | 79 | 2.2 | 85 | 2.4 | 50 | 1.5 |
| Traumatic brain injury | 22 | 0.6 | 18 | 0.5 | 21 | 0.5 | 15 | 0.4 | 20 | 0.6 | 25 | 0.7 | 30 | 0.9 |
| Visual Impairment | 15 | 0.4 | 25 | 0.7 | 12 | 0.3 | 20 | 0.5 | 22 | 0.6 | 20 | 0.6 | 27 | 0.8 |
| Unknown | 162 | 4.2 | 160 | 4.2 | 131 | 3.4 | 163 | 4.4 | 155 | 4.4 | 136 | 3.9 | 76 | 2.3 |
| Not Economically Disadvantaged | 1,266 | 33 | 1,261 | 33 | 1,307 | 34 | 1,299 | 35 | 1,196 | 34 | 1,198 | 34 | 1,273 | 39 |
| Economically Disadvantaged | 2,574 | 67 | 2,582 | 67 | 2,534 | 66 | 2,419 | 65 | 2,364 | 66 | 2,317 | 66 | 2,011 | 61 |

Table E. 2 Demographic Information-Mathematics

|  | Grade 3 |  | Grade 4 |  | Grade 5 |  | Grade 6 |  | Grade 7 |  | Grade 8 |  | Grade 11 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |
| All | 3,666 | 100 | 3,788 | 100 | 3,697 | 100 | 3,637 | 100 | 3,431 | 100 | 3,444 | 100 | 3,089 | 100 |
| Female | 1,131 | 30.9 | 1,222 | 32.3 | 1,193 | 32.3 | 1,170 | 32.2 | 1,150 | 33.5 | 1,184 | 34.4 | 1,079 | 34.9 |
| Male | 2,535 | 69.1 | 2,566 | 67.7 | 2,504 | 67.7 | 2,467 | 67.8 | 2,281 | 66.5 | 2,260 | 65.6 | 2,010 | 65.1 |
| American Indian | 25 | 0.7 | 32 | 0.8 | 28 | 0.8 | 31 | 0.9 | 35 | 1 | 34 | 1 | 34 | 1.1 |
| Asian American | 270 | 7.4 | 247 | 6.5 | 248 | 6.7 | 270 | 7.4 | 253 | 7.4 | 264 | 7.7 | 237 | 7.7 |
| African American | 282 | 7.7 | 303 | 8 | 314 | 8.5 | 288 | 7.9 | 312 | 9.1 | 322 | 9.3 | 299 | 9.7 |
| Filipino | 125 | 3.4 | 125 | 3.3 | 132 | 3.6 | 159 | 4.4 | 119 | 3.5 | 149 | 4.3 | 132 | 4.3 |
| Hispanic | 2,119 | 57.8 | 2,143 | 56.6 | 2,108 | 57 | 1,986 | 54.6 | 1,846 | 53.8 | 1,859 | 54 | 1,534 | 49.7 |
| Pacific Islander | 20 | 0.5 | 22 | 0.6 | 13 | 0.4 | 15 | 0.4 | 10 | 0.3 | 20 | 0.6 | 16 | 0.5 |
| White | 705 | 19.2 | 799 | 21.1 | 749 | 20.3 | 777 | 21.4 | 762 | 22.2 | 712 | 20.7 | 757 | 24.5 |
| Two or more | 120 | 3.3 | 117 | 3.1 | 105 | 2.8 | 111 | 3.1 | 94 | 2.7 | 84 | 2.4 | 80 | 2.6 |
| English Learner | 1,426 | 38.9 | 1,437 | 37.9 | 1,317 | 35.6 | 1,216 | 33.4 | 1,160 | 33.8 | 1,114 | 32.3 | 896 | 29 |
| English only | 2,113 | 57.6 | 2,213 | 58.4 | 2,168 | 58.6 | 2,156 | 59.3 | 1,989 | 58 | 2,006 | 58.2 | 1,898 | 61.4 |
| Initially-Fluent English Proficient | 37 | 1 | 37 | 1 | 50 | 1.4 | 53 | 1.5 | 58 | 1.7 | 66 | 1.9 | 47 | 1.5 |
| Reclassified-Fluent English Proficient | 80 | 2.2 | 96 | 2.5 | 155 | 4.2 | 209 | 5.7 | 222 | 6.5 | 245 | 7.1 | 245 | 7.9 |
| TBD | 1 | 0 | - | - | 2 | 0.1 | - | - | - | - | 2 | 0.1 | 1 | 0 |
| English Proficient Unknown | 9 | 0.2 | 5 | 0.1 | 5 | 0.1 | 3 | 0.1 | 2 | 0.1 | 11 | 0.3 | 2 | 0.1 |
| Autism | 1,304 | 35.6 | 1,299 | 34.3 | 1,200 | 32.5 | 1,209 | 33.2 | 1,059 | 30.9 | 1,092 | 31.7 | 796 | 25.8 |
| Deaf-blindness | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0.1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0.1 | 1 | 0 | - | - | - | - |
| Emotional disturbance | 10 | 0.3 | 18 | 0.5 | 20 | 0.5 | 15 | 0.4 | 23 | 0.7 | 19 | 0.6 | 25 | 0.8 |
| Hearing impairment | 36 | 1 | 42 | 1.1 | 49 | 1.3 | 37 | 1 | 32 | 0.9 | 41 | 1.2 | 50 | 1.6 |
| Intellectual disability | 1,193 | 32.5 | 1,341 | 35.4 | 1,375 | 37.2 | 1,399 | 38.5 | 1,439 | 41.9 | 1,441 | 41.8 | 1,396 | 45.2 |
| Multiple disabilities | 96 | 2.6 | 75 | 2 | 108 | 2.9 | 111 | 3.1 | 90 | 2.6 | 95 | 2.8 | 107 | 3.5 |
| Other hearing impairment | 233 | 6.4 | 190 | 5 | 229 | 6.2 | 180 | 4.9 | 159 | 4.6 | 143 | 4.2 | 134 | 4.3 |
| Orthopedic impairment | 152 | 4.1 | 150 | 4 | 146 | 3.9 | 132 | 3.6 | 147 | 4.3 | 151 | 4.4 | 148 | 4.8 |
| Specific learning disability | 248 | 6.8 | 284 | 7.5 | 240 | 6.5 | 244 | 6.7 | 213 | 6.2 | 199 | 5.8 | 264 | 8.5 |
| Speech or language impairment | 207 | 5.6 | 188 | 5 | 167 | 4.5 | 117 | 3.2 | 78 | 2.3 | 84 | 2.4 | 46 | 1.5 |
| Traumatic brain injury | 22 | 0.6 | 18 | 0.5 | 21 | 0.6 | 15 | 0.4 | 21 | 0.6 | 24 | 0.7 | 27 | 0.9 |
| Visual Impairment | 11 | 0.3 | 24 | 0.6 | 12 | 0.3 | 17 | 0.5 | 19 | 0.6 | 20 | 0.6 | 25 | 0.8 |
| Unknown | 153 | 4.2 | 157 | 4.1 | 129 | 3.5 | 159 | 4.4 | 150 | 4.4 | 135 | 3.9 | 71 | 2.3 |
| Not Economically Disadvantaged | 1,195 | 32.6 | 1,242 | 32.8 | 1,238 | 33.5 | 1,274 | 35 | 1,121 | 32.7 | 1,163 | 33.8 | 1,187 | 38.4 |
| Economically Disadvantaged | 2,471 | 67.4 | 2,546 | 67.2 | 2,459 | 66.5 | 2,363 | 65 | 2,310 | 67.3 | 2,281 | 66.2 | 1,902 | 61.6 |

## Appendix F: Classical Analyses: Item Statistics

Note: Flag values are as follows:
A = low average item score/ low p-value
$\mathrm{R}=$ low correlation with criterion score
$\mathrm{O}=$ high percent of omits/not responding
$\mathrm{P}=$ any distractor with positive correlation

Table F. 1 Item Statistics: ELA, Grade Three

| Item ID | Item Type | Response types | $P$ value AIS | Itemtotal corr. | Flag | Omit | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Response } \\ \text { A } / \\ \text { score } \\ \text { point } 0 \end{gathered}$ | Response B/ score point 1 | ```Response C/ score point 2``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CLTR30029T1 | MC | MCSS | 0.87 | 0.41 |  | 2.6 | 87.4 | 10.0 | 0.0 |
| CLTR30030T2 | MC | MCSS | 0.67 | 0.40 |  | 2.4 | 16.1 | 14.7 | 66.7 |
| CLTR30031T3 | MC | MCSS | 0.47 | 0.32 |  | 5.0 | 24.4 | 23.4 | 47.2 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Drag } \\ & \text { \& } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLTR30032T1 | Drop | Match-MS | 0.78 | 0.77 |  | 3.4 | 18.5 | 78.1 |  |
|  | Short CR | MCSS | 0.49 | 0.79 |  | 5.1 | 34.4 | 23.5 | 37.0 |
| CLTR30033T2 | Short |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLTR30034T3 | CR | MCSS | 0.47 | 0.80 |  | 4.5 | 36.6 | 22.9 | 36.0 |
| CLTR30035 | MC | MCSS | 0.62 | 0.54 |  | 4.8 | 16.8 | 61.7 | 16.7 |
| CLTR30114T1 | MC | MCSS | 0.47 | 0.40 |  | 4.2 | 49.2 | 46.6 | 0.0 |
| CLTR30115T2 | MC | MCSS | 0.67 | 0.56 |  | 4.0 | 14.7 | 14.0 | 67.3 |
| CLTR30116T3 | MC | MCSS | 0.33 | 0.44 | 0 | 5.2 | 23.4 | 33.4 | 38.1 |
| CLTR30117T1 | MC | MCSS | 0.81 | 0.45 |  | 4.4 | 80.6 | 15.0 | 0.0 |
| CLTR30118T2 | Zone | ZNSS | 0.44 | 0.68 |  | 4.6 | 51.8 | 43.6 |  |
| CLTR30119T3 | MC | MCSS | 0.55 | 0.41 | 0 | 5.9 | 21.3 | 17.9 | 54.9 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Drag } \\ & \text { \& } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLTR30120 | Drop | Match-SS | 0.55 | 0.63 |  | 14.5 | 30.9 | 54.6 |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Drag } \\ & \text { \& } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLTW30020 | Drop | Match-MS | 0.32 | 0.67 |  | 7.9 | 59.6 | 32.5 |  |

## Legend:

| CR | constructed response | SS | single select |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| MC | multiple choice | ZN | zone |
| MS | multiple select |  |  |

Table F. 2 Item Statistics: ELA, Grade Four

| Item ID | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Item } \\ & \text { Type } \end{aligned}$ | Response Types | $P$ value AIS | Itemtotal corr. | Flag | Omit | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Response } \\ \text { A/ } \\ \text { score } \\ \text { point } 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Response } \\ \text { B/ } \\ \text { score } \\ \text { point } 1 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | ```Response C/ score point 2``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CLTR40008T1 | MC | MCSS | 0.88 | 0.40 |  | 2.7 | 87.8 | 9.5 | 0.0 |
| CLTR40009T2 | MC | MCSS | 0.67 | 0.48 |  | 2.2 | 11.9 | 19.1 | 66.7 |
| CLTR40010T3 | MC | MCSS | 0.27 | 0.39 | 0 | 5.0 | 31.1 | 27.4 | 36.4 |
| CLTR40011 | MC | MCSS | 0.56 | 0.47 |  | 4.5 | 39.0 | 56.4 | 0.0 |
| CLTR40012T1 | MC | MCSS | 0.65 | 0.46 |  | 3.9 | 31.4 | 64.7 | 0.0 |
| CLTR40013T2 | MC | MCMS | 0.27 | 0.69 |  | 4.2 | 68.7 | 27.1 |  |
| CLTR40014T3 | MC | MCSS | 0.69 | 0.55 | 0 | 6.3 | 13.7 | 11.2 | 68.7 |
| CLTR40067T1 | MC | MCSS | 0.85 | 0.38 |  | 2.7 | 85.4 | 11.9 | 0.0 |
| CLTR40068T1 | MC | MCSS | 0.67 | 0.53 |  | 3.8 | 17.4 | 11.3 | 67.5 |
| CLTR40070T2 | MC | MCMS | 0.60 | 0.75 |  | 3.4 | 36.7 | 60.0 |  |
| CLTR40071T2 | Zone <br> Drop <br>  | ZNSS | 0.54 | 0.73 |  | 3.8 | 42.1 | 54.0 |  |
| CLTR40072T2 | Drag | Match-MS | 0.22 | 0.71 | A | 7.4 | 70.9 | 21.8 |  |
| CLTW40022 | MC | MCMS | 0.10 | 0.46 | A | 9.2 | 81.2 | 9.6 |  |
| CLTW40069T1 | MC | MCSS | 0.66 | 0.57 |  | 2.4 | 16.0 | 66.3 | 15.4 |

Table F. 3 Item Statistics: ELA, Grade Five

| Item ID | Item Type | Response Types | $\begin{gathered} P- \\ \text { value } \\ \text { AIS } \end{gathered}$ | Itemtotal corr. | Flag | Omit | ```Response A/ score point 0``` | Response B/ score point 1 | Response C/ score point 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLTR50050T1 | MC | MCSS | 0.23 | 0.17 | R | 3.0 | 74.4 | 22.6 | 0.0 |
| CLTR50051T2 | MC | MCSS | 0.56 | 0.50 |  | 2.9 | 25.3 | 15.5 | 56.4 |
| CLTR50052T3 | MC | MCSS | 0.38 | 0.28 | 0 | 5.3 | 36.3 | 20.4 | 38.0 |
| CLTR50053T1 | MC | MCSS | 0.57 | 0.60 |  | 3.2 | 16.3 | 56.9 | 23.6 |
| CLTR50054T2 | MC | MCSS | 0.56 | 0.56 |  | 3.3 | 56.5 | 22.6 | 17.6 |
| CLTR50055T3 | MC | MCSS | 0.52 | 0.29 | PO | 6.0 | 19.9 | 22.1 | 52.0 |
| CLTR50056 | MC | MCSS | 0.67 | 0.60 |  | 3.1 | 14.8 | 66.9 | 15.1 |
| CLTR50060 | Zone | ZNMS | 0.30 | 0.60 |  | 14.6 | 55.6 | 29.8 |  |
| CLTR50061T1 | MC | MCSS | 0.46 | 0.44 |  | 3.6 | 50.0 | 46.4 | 0.0 |
| CLTR50062T2 | MC | MCSS | 0.62 | 0.64 |  | 2.8 | 14.6 | 61.5 | 21.1 |
| CLTR50063T3 | MC | MCMS | 0.18 | 0.76 | A | 4.5 | 77.9 | 17.7 |  |
| CLTR50064T1 | MC | MCSS | 0.78 | 0.41 |  | 3.6 | 78.4 | 17.9 | 0.0 |
| CLTR50065T2 | MC | MCSS | 0.59 | 0.53 |  | 2.8 | 20.0 | 58.6 | 18.5 |
| CLTR50066T3 | MC | MCSS | 0.34 | 0.45 | 0 | 5.2 | 24.3 | 33.5 | 36.9 |
|  | Drag <br>  <br> Drop |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLTW50021 | Drop | Match-MS | 0.23 | 0.67 | A | 8.1 | 68.8 | 23.1 |  |

## Legend:

| CR | constructed response | SS | single select |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| MC | multiple choice | ZN | zone |
| MS | multiple select |  |  |

Table F. 4 Item Statistics: ELA, Grade Six

| Item ID | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Item } \\ & \text { Type } \end{aligned}$ | Response Types | $P$ value AIS | Itemtotal corr. | Flag | Omit | $\begin{gathered} \text { Response } \\ \text { A/ } \\ \text { score } \\ \text { point } 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Response } \\ \text { B/ } \\ \text { score } \\ \text { point } 1 \end{gathered}$ | ```Response C/ score point 2``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CLTR60024T1 | Drag \& |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Drop | Match-SS | 0.43 | 0.57 |  | 3.3 | 54.0 | 42.8 |  |
| CLTR60025T2 | MC | MCSS | 0.39 | 0.54 |  | 3.2 | 31.5 | 38.9 | 26.3 |
| CLTR60026T3 | MC | MCSS | 0.43 | 0.33 |  | 3.7 | 30.3 | 23.3 | 42.6 |
| CLTR60027 | MC | MCSS | 0.32 | 0.42 |  | 2.7 | 26.0 | 32.3 | 38.9 |
| CLTR60112 | MC | MCMS | 0.34 | 0.54 |  | 1.9 | 63.7 | 34.5 |  |
| CLTR60113 | MC | MCSS | 0.35 | 0.44 |  | 3.2 | 22.2 | 34.6 | 40.0 |
| CLTR60121T1 | MC | MCSS | 0.83 | 0.28 |  | 1.7 | 82.8 | 15.5 | 0.0 |
| CLTR60122T1 | MC | MCSS | 0.55 | 0.48 |  | 2.2 | 25.8 | 55.5 | 16.6 |
| CLTR60123T1 | Zone | ZNMS | 0.33 | 0.60 |  | 3.4 | 63.1 | 33.4 |  |
| CLTR60125T2 | MC | MCSS | 0.49 | 0.56 |  | 3.6 | 18.8 | 49.1 | 28.6 |
| CLTR60126T2 | MC | MCSS | 0.30 | 0.30 |  | 2.9 | 43.9 | 29.8 | 23.3 |
| CLTW60018 | Zone | ZNMS | 0.20 | 0.52 | A O | 23.3 | 57.0 | 19.7 |  |
|  | Drag <br>  <br> Drop | Match-MS | 0.24 | 0.49 | A | 4.3 | 72.2 | 23.5 |  |
| CLTW60023 | Drag \& |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLTW60124T2 | Drop | Match-MS | 0.57 | 0.61 |  | 3.3 | 39.6 | 57.1 |  |

## Legend:

| CR | constructed response | SS | single select |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| MC | multiple choice | ZN | zone |
| MS | multiple select |  |  |

Table F. 5 Item Statistics: ELA, Grade Seven

| Item ID | Item Type | Response Types | Pvalue AIS | Itemtotal corr. | Flag | Omit | ```Response A/ score point 0``` | Response B/ score point 1 | ```Response C/ score point 2``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CLTR70073 | MC | MCSS | 0.47 | 0.49 |  | 4.3 | 25.0 | 46.7 | 24.0 |
| CLTR70074T1 | MC | MCSS | 0.34 | 0.29 |  | 4.6 | 36.7 | 24.8 | 33.9 |
| CLTR70075T2 | MC | MCSS | 0.63 | 0.54 |  | 4.5 | 62.7 | 12.2 | 20.6 |
| CLTR70076T3 | MC | MCMS | 0.21 | 0.47 | A | 5.4 | 73.9 | 20.7 |  |
| CLTR70077T1 | MC | MCSS | 0.72 | 0.59 |  | 4.2 | 13.0 | 11.2 | 71.6 |
| CLTR70078T2 | MC | MCMS | 0.42 | 0.56 |  | 4.3 | 53.9 | 41.7 |  |
|  | Short |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLTR70079T3 | CR | MCSS | 0.23 | 0.75 | A | 3.1 | 58.7 | 30.8 | 7.4 |
| CLTR70087T1 | MC | MCSS | 0.47 | 0.49 |  | 4.8 | 47.3 | 20.0 | 27.9 |
| CLTR70088T2 | MC | MCSS | 0.31 | 0.37 | 0 | 5.2 | 38.0 | 30.6 | 26.2 |
| CLTR70089T3 | MC | MCSS | 0.48 | 0.36 | 0 | 5.2 | 27.1 | 20.2 | 47.5 |
| CLTR70091T1 | MC | MCSS | 0.35 | 0.31 | P | 5.0 | 26.3 | 35.4 | 33.4 |
| CLTR70092T2 | MC | MCSS | 0.68 | 0.48 |  | 3.8 | 14.7 | 13.5 | 67.9 |
| CLTR70093T3 | MC | MCSS | 0.50 | 0.42 |  | 3.7 | 23.4 | 22.9 | 50.1 |
| CLTW70015T1 | MC | MCSS | 0.44 | 0.41 |  | 4.4 | 28.7 | 43.6 | 23.3 |
| CLTW70016T2 | MC | MCSS | 0.58 | 0.58 |  | 4.7 | 19.4 | 58.0 | 17.9 |
| CLTW70017T3 | Short CR | MCSS | 0.34 | 0.39 | 0 | 5.5 | 17.8 | 34.3 | 42.3 |

Table F. 6 Item Statistics: ELA, Grade Eight

| Item ID | Item Type | Response Types | $\begin{gathered} P- \\ \text { value } \\ \text { AIS } \end{gathered}$ | Itemtotal corr. | Flag | Omit | ```Response A/ score point 0``` | ```Response B/ score point 1``` | ```Response C/ score point 2``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CLTR80001T1 | MC | MCSS | 0.64 | 0.32 |  | 2.8 | 64.4 | 32.8 | 0.0 |
| CLTR80002T2 | Zone | ZNMS | 0.15 | 0.58 | A O | 19.9 | 65.4 | 14.7 |  |
|  | Short |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLTR80003T3 | CR | MCSS | 0.39 | 0.75 |  | 4.1 | 44.9 | 23.8 | 27.3 |
| CLTR80004T1 | MC | MCSS | 0.68 | 0.50 |  | 2.6 | 19.3 | 10.3 | 67.8 |
| CLTR80005T2 | MC | MCSS | 0.28 | 0.11 | PR | 2.7 | 28.3 | 16.4 | 52.6 |
| CLTR80006T3 | Zone | ZNMS | 0.16 | 0.42 | AO | 15.4 | 68.8 | 15.8 |  |
| CLTR80007 | MC | MCSS | 0.82 | 0.29 |  | 2.3 | 82.0 | 15.7 | 0.0 |
| CLTR80036T1 | MC | MCSS | 0.37 | 0.50 |  | 2.8 | 48.6 | 36.8 | 11.7 |
| CLTR80037T3 | MC | MCMS | 0.24 | 0.60 | A | 6.5 | 69.4 | 24.0 |  |
| CLTR80038T2 | MC | MCMS | 0.21 | 0.59 | A | 4.4 | 74.7 | 21.0 |  |
| CLTR80039T1 | MC | MCSS | 0.37 | 0.42 |  | 3.1 | 39.4 | 36.9 | 20.5 |
| CLTR80040T2 | MC | MCSS | 0.32 | 0.28 | 0 | 5.1 | 41.5 | 21.8 | 31.6 |
| CLTR80041T3 | MC | MCSS | 0.44 | 0.40 |  | 4.5 | 24.8 | 26.2 | 44.5 |
| CLTR80042 | MC | MCSS | 0.26 | 0.28 |  | 4.0 | 40.5 | 25.6 | 29.9 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Drag } \\ & \& \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLTW80028 | Drop | Match-MS | 0.12 | 0.63 | A | 6.1 | 81.8 | 12.1 |  |

Legend:

| CR | constructed response | SS | single select |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| MC | multiple choice | ZN | zone |
| MS | multiple select |  |  |

Table F. 7 Item Statistics: ELA, Grade Eleven

| Item ID | Item Type | Response Types | $P$ value AIS | Itemtotal corr. | Flag | Omit | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Response } \\ \text { A/ } \\ \text { score } \\ \text { point } 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | ```Response B/ score point }``` | Response C/ score point 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CLTRH0080T1 | MC | MCSS | 0.39 | 0.31 |  | 4.3 | 39.4 | 38.5 | 17.7 |
| CLTRH0081T2 | MC | MCMS | 0.06 | 0.50 | A | 4.5 | 89.6 | 5.8 |  |
| CLTRH0082T3 | Short CR | MCSS | 0.43 | 0.78 |  | 3.8 | 35.5 | 34.7 | 26.0 |
|  | MC |  |  |  | P |  |  |  |  |
| CLTRH0083T1 |  | MCSS | 0.25 | 0.23 | A | 4.4 | 15.6 | 24.6 | 55.4 |
| CLTRH0084T2 | MC | MCMS | 0.37 | 0.62 |  | 4.3 | 59.2 | 36.5 |  |
| CLTRH0085T3 | Zone | ZNMS | 0.13 | 0.43 | A | 6.3 | 80.5 | 13.3 |  |
|  | Short |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLTRH0086 | CR | MCSS | 0.42 | 0.77 |  | 3.6 | 30.8 | 47.7 | 17.8 |
| CLTRH0101T1 | MC | MCSS | 0.66 | 0.58 |  | 4.3 | 66.0 | 22.2 | 7.6 |
| CLTRH0102T2 | Zone | ZNSS | 0.70 | 0.66 |  | 10.8 | 19.6 | 69.6 |  |
| CLTRH0103T3 | Zone | ZNMS | 0.25 | 0.65 | A O | 44.9 | 30.4 | 24.7 |  |
| CLTRH0104T1 | MC | MCSS | 0.44 | 0.43 |  | 3.7 | 52.0 | 44.3 | 0.0 |
| CLTRH0105T2 | MC | MCSS | 0.35 | 0.30 | 0 | 6.4 | 35.4 | 19.4 | 38.8 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Drag } \\ & \& \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLTRH0106T3 | Drop | Match-MS | 0.04 | 0.51 | A | 8.1 | 87.9 | 4.0 |  |
| CLTRH0107 | MC | MCSS | 0.53 | 0.52 | 0 | 5.1 | 18.3 | 23.4 | 53.2 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Drag } \\ & \& \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLTWH0108 | Drop | Match-MS | 0.17 | 0.67 | A | 7.7 | 75.7 | 16.6 |  |

## Legend:

| CR | constructed response | SS | single select |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| MC | multiple choice | ZN | zone |
| MS | multiple select |  |  |

Table F. 8 Item Statistics: Mathematics, Grade Three

| Item ID | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Item } \\ & \text { Type } \end{aligned}$ | Response Types | Pvalue AIS | Itemtotal corr. | Flag | Omit | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Response } \\ \text { A/ } \\ \text { score } \\ \text { point } 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | ```Response B/ score point 1``` | ```Response C/ score point 2``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CLTM30007 | Short CR | Numeric | 0.47 | 0.70 |  | 6.2 | 46.3 | 47.4 |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Drag } \\ & \& \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLTM30012 | Drop | Match-SS | 0.63 | 0.64 |  | 4.0 | 33.4 | 62.6 |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Drag } \\ & \& \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLTM30013 | Drop | Match-SS | 0.48 | 0.70 |  | 10.0 | 41.7 | 48.3 |  |
|  | Drag <br>  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLTM30014 | Drop | Match-SS | 0.63 | 0.70 |  | 9.1 | 27.9 | 63.1 |  |
| CLTM30016 | MC | MCSS | 0.24 | 0.31 | A O | 5.2 | 28.6 | 23.6 | 42.6 |
| CLTM30023 | MC | MCSS | 0.39 | 0.28 |  | 3.8 | 36.7 | 20.6 | 38.9 |
| CLTM30039T1 | MC | MCSS | 0.63 | 0.30 |  | 2.1 | 62.7 | 35.2 | 0.0 |
| CLTM30040T2 | MC | MCSS | 0.33 | 0.23 |  | 3.4 | 44.1 | 19.5 | 33.0 |
|  | Short |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLTM30041T3 | CR | Numeric | 0.10 | 0.72 | A | 7.0 | 82.8 | 10.2 |  |
| CLTM30051T1 | MC | MCSS | 0.55 | 0.35 |  | 2.9 | 41.7 | 55.3 | 0.0 |
| CLTM30095T1 | MC | MCSS | 0.60 | 0.30 |  | 2.9 | 59.9 | 37.2 | 0.0 |
| CLTM30096T2 | MC | MCSS | 0.39 | 0.41 |  | 3.7 | 25.5 | 31.5 | 39.3 |
|  | Short |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLTM30097T3 | CR | Numeric | 0.10 | 0.74 | A | 6.9 | 83.5 | 9.6 |  |
| CLTM30104T2 | MC | MCSS | 0.46 | 0.45 |  | 3.4 | 29.2 | 45.9 | 21.5 |
| CLTM30105T3 | MC | MCSS | 0.47 | 0.32 |  | 3.7 | 47.4 | 17.1 | 31.9 |

## Legend:

| CR | constructed response | SS | single select |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| MC | multiple choice | ZN | zone |
| MS | multiple select |  |  |

Table F. 9 Item Statistics: Mathematics, Grade Four

| Item ID | Item Type | Response Types | $P$ value AIS | Itemtotal corr. | Flag | Omit | $\begin{gathered} \text { Response } \\ \text { A/ } \\ \text { score } \\ \text { point } 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Response } \\ \text { B/ } \\ \text { score } \\ \text { point } 1 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | ```Response C/ score point 2``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CLTM40004 | MC | MCSS | 0.48 | 0.55 |  | 2.2 | 13.4 | 36.7 | 47.7 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Drag } \\ & \text { \& } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLTM40005 | Drop | Match-SS | 0.28 | 0.54 |  | 7.4 | 64.9 | 27.7 |  |
|  | Short |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLTM40006 | CR | Numeric | 0.10 | 0.61 | A | 7.9 | 82.3 | 9.8 |  |
|  | Hot |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLTM40007 | Spot | ZNMS | 0.08 | 0.43 | A | 9.1 | 83.3 | 7.6 |  |
| CLTM40017 | MC | MCSS | 0.47 | 0.53 |  | 1.0 | 20.4 | 31.5 | 47.1 |
|  | MC |  |  |  | P A |  |  |  |  |
| CLTM40021 |  | MCSS | 0.24 | 0.06 | R O | 5.5 | 23.7 | 33.9 | 36.9 |
| CLTM40030T1 | MC | MCSS | 0.66 | 0.52 |  | 2.0 | 32.0 | 66.0 | 0.0 |
| CLTM40031T2 | MC | MCSS | 0.54 | 0.53 |  | 2.3 | 19.1 | 54.5 | 24.1 |
| CLTM40032T3 | MC | MCSS | 0.36 | 0.25 | P | 4.7 | 46.0 | 13.5 | 35.8 |
| CLTM40045T1 | MC | MCSS | 0.56 | 0.42 |  | 2.2 | 41.5 | 56.3 | 0.0 |
|  | Short |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLTM40046T3 | CR | Numeric | 0.11 | 0.47 | A | 7.2 | 82.0 | 10.8 |  |
| CLTM40047T2 | MC | MCSS | 0.45 | 0.52 |  | 5.0 | 25.3 | 44.7 | 25.0 |
| CLTM40119T1 | MC | MCSS | 0.65 | 0.34 |  | 3.0 | 65.2 | 31.9 | 0.0 |
| CLTM40120T2 | MC | MCSS | 0.44 | 0.42 | 0 | 5.7 | 44.4 | 23.0 | 26.9 |

## Legend:

| CR | constructed response | SS | single select |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| MC | multiple choice | ZN | zone |
| MS | multiple select |  |  |

Table F. 10 Item Statistics: Mathematics, Grade Five

| Item ID | Item Type | Response Types | $P$ value AIS | Itemtotal corr. | Flag | Omit | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Response } \\ \text { A/ } \\ \text { score } \\ \text { point } 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Response } \\ \text { B/ } \\ \text { score } \\ \text { point } 1 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | ```Response C/ score point 2``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CLTM50010 | MC | MCSS | 0.68 | 0.34 |  | 1.9 | 67.8 | 30.3 | 0.0 |
| CLTM50013 | Hot Spot | ZNSS | 0.47 | 0.69 |  | 10.6 | 42.7 | 46.7 |  |
| CLTM50014 | Hot Spot | ZNMS | 0.29 | 0.73 |  | 11.1 | 59.9 | 29.0 |  |
| CLTM50015 | Short CR | Graph | 0.08 | 0.63 | A | 14.1 | 77.8 | 8.1 |  |
| CLTM50033T1 | Hot Spot | ZNSS | 0.70 | 0.65 |  | 5.0 | 24.6 | 70.4 |  |
| CLTM50034T2 | MC | MCSS | 0.33 | 0.37 | 0 | 5.7 | 31.0 | 29.9 | 33.3 |
| CLTM50035T3 | Short <br> CR | Numeric | 0.06 | 0.66 | A | 9.3 | 84.9 | 5.9 |  |
| CLTM50042T1 | MC | MCSS | 0.46 | 0.25 |  | 4.8 | 49.1 | 46.1 | 0.0 |
| CLTM50043T2 | MC | MCSS | 0.35 | 0.22 |  | 4.9 | 34.6 | 24.7 | 35.7 |
| CLTM50044T3 | Short <br> CR | Numeric | 0.02 | 0.70 | A | 8.2 | 89.9 | 2.0 |  |
| CLTM50114 | Hot Spot | ZNSS | 0.57 | 0.71 |  | 13.5 | 29.9 | 56.7 |  |
| CLTM50115 | Short CR | Numeric | 0.26 | 0.55 |  | 6.2 | 67.5 | 26.3 |  |
| CLTM50116T1 | MC | MCSS | 0.50 | 0.37 | 0 | 6.4 | 43.3 | 50.3 | 0.0 |
| CLTM50117T2 | MC | MCSS | 0.38 | 0.22 |  | 4.3 | 38.2 | 28.1 | 29.3 |
| CLTM50118T3 | Short CR | Numeric | 0.04 | 0.64 | A | 8.0 | 87.7 | 4.3 |  |

## Legend:

| CR | constructed response | SS | single select |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| MC | multiple choice | ZN | zone |
| MS | multiple select |  |  |

Table F. 11 Item Statistics: Mathematics, Grade Six

| Item ID | Item Type | Response Types | $P$ value AIS | Itemtotal corr. | Flag | Omit | ```Response A/ score point 0``` | $\begin{gathered} \text { Response } \\ \text { B/ } \\ \text { score } \\ \text { point } 1 \end{gathered}$ | Response C/ score point 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CLTM60007 | Short CR | Numeric | 0.29 | 0.67 |  | 5.0 | 66.0 | 29.0 |  |
|  | Hot |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLTM60013 | Spot | ZNSS | 0.56 | 0.59 |  | 3.6 | 40.7 | 55.7 |  |
|  | Drag |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLTM60014 | Drop | Match-SS | 0.32 | 0.30 |  | 4.3 | 63.2 | 32.4 |  |
| CLTM60015 | MC | Grid-MS | 0.25 | 0.40 | A | 4.0 | 71.4 | 24.7 |  |
| CLTM60053 | MC | MCSS | 0.44 | 0.50 |  | 3.4 | 20.8 | 44.5 | 31.3 |
| CLTM60055 | MC | MCSS | 0.29 | 0.32 |  | 2.3 | 19.1 | 28.9 | 49.7 |
| CLTM60083T1 | MC | MCSS | 0.67 | 0.41 |  | 4.8 | 67.4 | 27.8 | 0.0 |
| CLTM60084T2 | MC | MCSS | 0.48 | 0.28 |  | 3.7 | 20.8 | 27.7 | 47.8 |
|  | Short |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLTM60085T3 | CR | Numeric | 0.10 | 0.71 | A | 6.0 | 84.3 | 9.7 |  |
| CLTM60086T1 | MC | MCSS | 0.57 | 0.43 |  | 2.1 | 57.4 | 40.4 | 0.0 |
|  | Hot |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLTM60087T2 | Spot | ZNSS | 0.45 | 0.56 |  | 5.3 | 49.3 | 45.4 |  |
|  | Drag \& |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLTM60088T3 | Drop | Match-SS | 0.48 | 0.58 |  | 6.8 | 45.7 | 47.5 |  |
|  |  | Inline Choice |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLTM60089T1 | MC | List-SS | 0.63 | 0.65 |  | 4.1 | 32.9 | 63.0 |  |
|  |  | Inline Choice |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLTM60090T2 | MC | List-MS | 0.65 | 0.69 |  | 4.1 | 31.1 | 64.9 |  |
|  |  | Inline Choice |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLTM60091T3 | MC | List-MS | 0.14 | 0.31 | A | 5.1 | 81.2 | 13.8 |  |

## Legend:

| CR | constructed response | SS | single select |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| MC | multiple choice | ZN | zone |
| MS | multiple select |  |  |

Table F. 12 Item Statistics: Mathematics, Grade Seven

| Item ID | Item Type | Response Types | Pvalue AIS | Itemtotal corr. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Fla } \\ \mathbf{g} \end{gathered}$ | Omit | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Response } \\ \text { A/ } \\ \text { score } \\ \text { point } 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | ```Response B/ score point 1``` | ```Respons e C/ score point 2``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CLTM70004 | MC | MCSS | 0.49 | 0.39 |  | 1.9 | 28.8 | 48.8 | 20.5 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Drag } \\ & \& \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLTM70007 | Drop | Match-SS | 0.59 | 0.60 |  | 6.9 | 34.4 | 58.7 |  |
|  | Drag \& |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLTM70008 | Drop | Match-SS | 0.52 | 0.71 |  | 5.9 | 42.4 | 51.6 |  |
|  | Drag |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLTM70009 | Drop | Match-MS | 0.29 | 0.68 |  | 7.0 | 63.5 | 29.4 |  |
|  |  | Inline Choice |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLTM70020 | MC | List-MS | 0.14 | 0.38 | A | 5.4 | 80.9 | 13.7 |  |
|  | Hot |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLTM70036T2 | Spot | ZNSS | 0.43 | 0.58 |  | 5.3 | 52.1 | 42.6 |  |
| CLTM70037T1 | MC | MCSS | 0.44 | 0.41 |  | 3.6 | 52.1 | 44.3 | 0.0 |
|  | Short |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLTM70038T3 | CR | Numeric | 0.10 | 0.75 | A | 5.4 | 85.0 | 9.5 |  |
| CLTM70068T1 | MC | MCSS | 0.53 | 0.27 |  | 2.0 | 45.1 | 52.8 | 0.0 |
| CLTM70069T2 | MC | MCSS | 0.43 | 0.40 |  | 3.9 | 28.4 | 24.3 | 43.4 |
| CLTM70070T3 | MC | MCSS | 0.36 | 0.25 |  | 4.1 | 36.4 | 29.8 | 29.7 |
|  | Short CR | Numeric | 0.10 | 0.71 | A | 5.5 | 84.5 | 10.0 |  |
| CLTM70079 | Hot |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLTM70127T1 | Spot | ZNSS | 0.43 | 0.60 |  | 14.7 | 42.6 | 42.6 |  |
| CLTM70128T2 | MC | MCSS | 0.41 | 0.39 |  | 2.4 | 22.5 | 34.1 | 41.0 |
| CLTM70129T3 | Short <br> CR | Numeric | 0.21 | 0.51 | A | 5.3 | 73.4 | 21.3 |  |

## Legend:

| CR | constructed response | SS | single select |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| MC | multiple choice | ZN | zone |
| MS | multiple select |  |  |

Table F. 13 Item Statistics: Mathematics, Grade Eight

| Item ID | Item Type | Response Types | Pvalue AIS | Itemtotal corr. | Flag | Omit | ```Response A/ score point 0``` | ```Response B/ score point 1``` | ```Response C/ score point 2``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CLTM80004 | MC | MCSS | 0.76 | 0.46 |  | 1.9 | 21.7 | 76.4 | 0.0 |
| CLTM80007 | MC | MCSS | 0.67 | 0.40 |  | 3.3 | 67.2 | 29.4 | 0.0 |
| CLTM80008 | MC | MCSS | 0.57 | 0.42 |  | 3.0 | 22.3 | 17.3 | 57.4 |
| CLTM80009 | Short CR | Numeric | 0.21 | 0.74 | A | 7.7 | 71.0 | 21.3 |  |
| CLTM80071T1 | MC | MCSS | 0.51 | 0.42 | 0 | 5.2 | 50.8 | 18.3 | 25.7 |
| CLTM80072T2 | MC | MCSS | 0.66 | 0.50 |  | 3.0 | 18.4 | 12.8 | 65.7 |
| CLTM80073T3 | MC | MCSS | 0.53 | 0.54 |  | 3.7 | 13.4 | 52.9 | 30.1 |
| CLTM80075 | Hot Spot | ZNSS | 0.32 | 0.48 |  | 8.6 | 59.4 | 32.0 |  |
| CLTM80076 | MC | MCSS | 0.33 | 0.23 | P | 4.0 | 33.0 | 35.8 | 27.2 |
| CLTM80080 | Hot Spot | ZNMS | 0.32 | 0.69 |  | 7.3 | 60.7 | 32.0 |  |
| CLTM80122T2 | Hot <br> Spot | ZNSS | 0.47 | 0.52 |  | 5.5 | 47.5 | 47.0 |  |
|  | Hot |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLTM80123T3 | Spot | ZNSS | 0.33 | 0.43 |  | 5.8 | 60.8 | 33.4 |  |
| CLTM80124T2 | MC | MCSS | 0.55 | 0.55 |  | 3.6 | 22.6 | 54.7 | 19.0 |
| CLTM80125T3 | MC | MCSS | 0.35 | 0.28 |  | 3.7 | 32.6 | 28.7 | 35.0 |
| CLTM80126T1 | MC | MCSS | 0.83 | 0.33 |  | 1.8 | 83.3 | 14.9 | 0.0 |

## Legend:

| CR | constructed response | SS | single select |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| MC | multiple choice | ZN | zone |
| MS | multiple select |  |  |

Table F. 14 Item Statistics: Mathematics, Grade Eleven

| Item ID | Item Type | Response Types | $P$ value AIS | Itemtotal corr. | Flag | Omit | ```Response A/ score point 0``` | Response B/ score point 1 | ```Response C/ score point 2``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CLTMH0004 | MC | MCSS | 0.34 | 0.43 |  | 2.4 | 48.9 | 33.6 | 15.2 |
| CLTMH0006 | MC | MCSS | 0.56 | 0.46 |  | 2.5 | 41.6 | 55.9 | 0.0 |
| CLTMH0007 | Drag \& Drop Drag \& | Match-MS | 0.36 | 0.70 |  | 6.3 | 57.4 | 36.2 |  |
| CLTMH0008 | Drop | Match-MS | 0.31 | 0.73 |  | 7.3 | 61.9 | 30.8 |  |
| CLTMH0064 | MC | Grid | 0.13 | 0.54 | A | 3.2 | 83.4 | 13.3 |  |
| CLTMH0078 | MC | MCSS | 0.47 | 0.37 |  | 2.3 | 15.2 | 35.4 | 47.1 |
| CLTMH0130T1 | Hot Spot | ZNSS | 0.36 | 0.57 |  | 12.5 | 51.8 | 35.6 |  |
| CLTMH0131T2 | MC | MCSS | 0.46 | 0.50 | 0 | 6.9 | 31.0 | 16.5 | 45.6 |
| CLTMH0132T3 | MC | MCSS | 0.43 | 0.50 | 0 | 7.2 | 17.0 | 42.8 | 33.0 |
| CLTMH0133T1 | MC | MCSS | 0.49 | 0.38 |  | 1.4 | 49.2 | 49.4 | 0.0 |
| CLTMH0134T2 | MC | MCSS | 0.32 | 0.34 |  | 3.0 | 38.5 | 26.8 | 31.8 |
| CLTMH0135T3 | MC | MCSS | 0.31 | 0.33 |  | 2.8 | 36.4 | 30.5 | 30.3 |
| CLTMH0136T1 | MC | MCSS | 0.67 | 0.30 |  | 1.9 | 67.4 | 30.8 | 0.0 |
| CLTMH0137T2 | MC | MCSS | 0.43 | 0.50 |  | 2.4 | 33.4 | 21.1 | 43.1 |
| CLTMH0138T3 | MC | MCSS | 0.36 | 0.33 |  | 2.3 | 36.3 | 25.9 | 35.5 |

## Legend:

| CR | constructed response | SS | single select |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| MC | multiple choice | ZN | zone |
| MS | multiple select |  |  |

## Appendix G: Differential Item Functioning

Table G. 1 Items Exhibiting Significant DIF by Subgroup-English Language Arts

| Grade | Item ID | N Focal | N Reference | MHDIF | SMD | Comparison | In Favor Of |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | CLTR30118T2 | 249 | 1,268 |  | 0.13 | ID - SLD | C+ |
| 3 | CLTR30034T3 | 130 | 741 |  | 0.27 | White - Filipino | C+ |
| 3 | CLTR30033T2 | 1,353 | 1,268 |  | 0.24 | ID - AU | C+ |
| 3 | CLTR30034T3 | 1,353 | 1,268 |  | 0.35 | ID - AU | C+ |
| 3 | CLTR30119T3 | 1,353 | 1,268 | -1.56 |  | ID - AU | C- |
| 4 | CLTR40071T2 | 183 | 912 |  | 0.13 | ID - SLD | C+ |
| 4 | CLTR40011 | 127 | 912 | -2.19 |  | ID - SLI | C- |
| 5 | CLTR50056 | 243 | 1,425 | 2.63 |  | ID - SLD | C+ |
| 5 | CLTR50054T2 | 243 | 1,425 | 2.20 |  | ID - SLD | C+ |
| 5 | CLTR50055T3 | 243 | 1,425 | -2.85 |  | ID - SLD | C- |
| 5 | CLTR50062T2 | 243 | 1,425 | 3.38 |  | ID - SLD | C+ |
| 5 | CLTR50063T3 | 243 | 1,425 |  | 0.21 | ID - SLD | C+ |
| 5 | CLTR50062T2 | 166 | 1,425 | 2.41 |  | ID - SLI | C+ |
| 5 | CLTR50063T3 | 166 | 1,425 |  | 0.10 | ID - SLI | C+ |
| 6 | CLTR60026T3 | 113 | 1,439 | -1.90 |  | ID - SLI | C- |
| 8 | CLTR80003T3 | 203 | 1,464 |  | 0.22 | ID - SLD | C+ |
| 8 | CLTR80004T1 | 203 | 1,464 | 2.33 |  | ID - SLD | C+ |
| 8 | CLTR80006T3 | 203 | 1,464 |  | -0.10 | ID - SLD | C- |
| 11 | CLTRH0101T1 | 270 | 1,480 | 2.02 |  | ID - SLD | C+ |
| 11 | CLTRH0084T2 | 270 | 1,480 |  | -0.14 | ID - SLD | C- |

## Legend:

| AU | autism | SLD |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| ID | intellectual disability learning disability |  |
| OHI | other health impairment | SLI |
| speech or language impairment |  |  |

Table G. 2 Items Exhibiting Significant DIF by Subgroup-Mathematics

| Grade | Item ID | N Focal | N Reference | MHDIF | SMD | Comparison | In Favor Of |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | CLTM30013 | 248 | 1,193 |  | 0.15 | ID - SLD | C+ |
| 3 | CLTM30007 | 248 | 1,193 |  | 0.13 | ID - SLD | C+ |
| 3 | CLTM30095T1 | 248 | 1,193 | -2.04 |  | ID - SLD | C- |
| 3 | CLTM30097T3 | 248 | 1,193 |  | 0.10 | ID - SLD | C+ |
| 3 | CLTM30023 | 248 | 1,193 | -1.84 |  | ID - SLD | C- |
| 3 | CLTM30040T2 | 248 | 1,193 | -3.02 |  | ID - SLD | C- |
| 3 | CLTM30041T3 | 248 | 1,193 |  | 0.11 | ID - SLD | C+ |
| 3 | CLTM30097T3 | 207 | 1,193 |  | 0.12 | ID - SLI | C+ |
| 3 | CLTM30023 | 207 | 1,193 | -1.79 |  | ID - SLI | C- |
| 3 | CLTM30040T2 | 207 | 1,193 | -1.81 |  | ID - SLI | C- |
| 3 | CLTM30041T3 | 207 | 1,193 |  | 0.09 | ID - SLI | C+ |
| 3 | CLTM30097T3 | 1,304 | 1,193 |  | 0.07 | ID - AU | C+ |
| 4 | CLTM40007 | 284 | 1,341 |  | -0.09 | ID - SLD | C- |
| 4 | CLTM40031T2 | 284 | 1,341 | 1.92 |  | ID - SLD | C+ |
| 4 | CLTM40032T3 | 284 | 1,341 | -1.84 |  | ID - SLD | C- |
| 4 | CLTM40017 | 284 | 1,341 | 2.09 |  | ID - SLD | C+ |
| 4 | CLTM40021 | 284 | 1,341 | -4.29 |  | ID - SLD | C- |
| 5 | CLTM50114 | 229 | 1,375 |  | 0.14 | ID - OHI | C+ |
| 5 | CLTM50014 | 240 | 1,375 |  | 0.17 | ID - SLD | C+ |
| 5 | CLTM50118T3 | 240 | 1,375 |  | 0.05 | ID-SLD | C+ |
| 5 | CLTM50114 | 240 | 1,375 |  | 0.17 | ID - SLD | C+ |
| 5 | CLTM50042T1 | 240 | 1,375 | -2.18 |  | ID - SLD | C- |
| 5 | CLTM50043T2 | 240 | 1,375 | -1.68 |  | ID - SLD | C- |
| 5 | CLTM50013 | 167 | 1,375 |  | 0.14 | ID - SLI | C+ |
| 5 | CLTM50117T2 | 167 | 1,375 | -2.06 |  | ID - SLI | C- |
| 5 | CLTM50114 | 167 | 1,375 |  | 0.17 | ID - SLI | C+ |
| 6 | CLTM60053 | 244 | 1,399 | 2.84 |  | ID - SLD | C+ |
| 6 | CLTM60085T3 | 244 | 1,399 |  | 0.08 | ID - SLD | C+ |
| 6 | CLTM60091T3 | 244 | 1,399 |  | -0.09 | ID - SLD | C- |
| 6 | CLTM60015 | 117 | 1,399 |  | -0.15 | ID - SLD | C- |
| 6 | CLTM60085T3 | 117 | 1,399 |  | 0.06 | ID - SLD | C+ |
| 6 | CLTM60091T3 | 117 | 1,399 |  | -0.09 | ID - SLI | C- |
| 6 | CLTM60013 | 159 | 777 |  | -0.13 | White - Filipino | C- |
| 7 | CLTM70127T1 | 159 | 1,439 |  | 0.140 | ID - OHI | C+ |
| 7 | CLTM70037T1 | 213 | 1,439 | -1.77 |  | ID - SLD | C- |
| 7 | CLTM70038T3 | 213 | 1,439 |  | 0.08 | ID - SLD | C+ |
| 8 | CLTM80080 | 199 | 1,441 |  | 0.20 | ID -- SLD | C+ |

## Legend:

| AU | autism | SLD |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| ID | intellectual disability | SLI |
| Speech learning disability |  |  |
| OHI | other health impairment |  |

